Published July 25, 2012

Dallas Independent School District

Data Packet for 2012-13 Planning

Evaluation and Accountability Data Analysis, Reporting, and Research Services

> http://mydata.dallasisd.org OIR@dallasisd.org

School Number 110

ANNIE WEBB BLANTON

2012-13 Data Packet: Standard Issue

Table of Contents

2. Contents Table of Contents	3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5. Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6. Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7. Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9. Teachers Teacher Statistics	
<u>STAAR</u>	
10. 3-8 Reading STAAR 3-8 Reading	13. 3-8 Writing STAAR 3-8 Writing
15. 3-8 Math STAAR 3-8 Mathematics	18. 3-8 Science STAAR 3-8 Science
20. Spanish Reading Spanish STAAR 3-8 Reading	23. Spanish Writing Spanish STAAR 3-8 Writing
25. Spanish Science Spanish STAAR 3-8 Science	
ITBS & LOGRAMOS	
27. Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	28. ITBS Read ITBS Reading
30. ITBS Math ITBS Mathematics	34. LOG Read Logramos Reading
ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
36. WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	37. TELPAS Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2011-12 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

Notes

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11 forward, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2011-12. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2010-11 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2010-11 and 2011-12.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR M

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from the standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR M statistics are computed separately, following the TEA's reporting practice.
- Exclusive for 2012: Until standards are released in Spring 2013, the "Percentage Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Percentage Meeting Equivalent TAKS Standard" for reading and mathematics. (Equivalent standards not produced by TEA for other STAAR subjects.) The "Number not Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Average Number of Items Correct."
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC reading tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. STAAR compositions are rated twice on a scale of 0 to 4. The two ratings are summed for a range of possible score points from 0 to 8.
- STAAR M reports not currently available. At time of publication, insufficient information was available from the TEA to produce meaningful statistics for reporting.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading statistics are based on the Reading Profile Total (a combination of the Vocabulary, Word Analysis, and Listening subtests) for all years available. All other grades' ITBS reading statistics, and Logramos reading statistics for all grades, are based on Reading Total results. (Reading Total results are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Kindergarten ITBS mathematics statistics are based on the Mathematics subtest. All other grades' statistics are based on Mathematics Total results. (Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

TELPAS

Notes

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2011 to 2012, the TEA did not report the 2011 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2012, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2011.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment							
PK	45							
KN	140							
1	118							
2	127							
3	121							
4	118							
5	145							
ALL	814							

STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Race	Stud	dents	Teac	hers
Etimicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	157	19.3	19	36.5
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0.0	*	*
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2	0.2	*	*
Hispanic	632	77.6	22	42.3
White	23	2.8	9	17.3
Multiple	0	0.0	1	1.9
Other* (teachers only)	_	_	1	1.9
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0		

*For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	651	80.0
Economically disadvantaged	792	97.3
Limited English proficient (LEP)	471	57.9
Special education	67	8.2
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	72	8.8

Enrollment (1)

			African A	American	America	in Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wł	nite	Multiple	category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2010	84	9	10.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	75	89.3	0	0.0	—	—
PK	2011	88	14	15.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	73	83.0	1	1.1	0	0.0
	2012	45	5	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	40	88.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	126	24	19.0	1	0.8	0	0.0	100	79.4	1	0.8	—	—
KN	2011	125	27	21.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	95	76.0	3	2.4	0	0.0
	2012	140	22	15.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	111	79.3	7	5.0	0	0.0
	2010	107	22	20.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	84	78.5	1	0.9	—	—
1	2011	117	20	17.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	95	81.2	2	1.7	0	0.0
	2012	118	19	16.1	0	0.0	1	0.8	96	81.4	2	1.7	0	0.0
	2010	128	26	20.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	100	78.1	2	1.6	—	—
2	2011	108	29	26.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	78	72.2	1	0.9	0	0.0
	2012	127	28	22.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	96	75.6	3	2.4	0	0.0
	2010	145	32	22.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	109	75.2	4	2.8	—	—
3	2011	125	23	18.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	96	76.8	3	2.4	2	1.6
	2012	121	34	28.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	83	68.6	4	3.3	0	0.0
	2010	133	31	23.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	100	75.2	2	1.5	—	—
4	2011	136	33	24.3	0	0.0	2	1.5	98	72.1	2	1.5	1	0.7
	2012	118	20	16.9	0	0.0	1	0.8	92	78.0	5	4.2	0	0.0
	2010	108	23	21.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	83	76.9	2	1.9	—	—
5	2011	120	22	18.3	1	0.8	0	0.0	94	78.3	2	1.7	0	0.0
	2012	145	29	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	114	78.6	2	1.4	0	0.0
	2010	831	167	20.1	1	0.1	0	0.0	651	78.3	12	1.4	—	—
PK-5	2011	819	168	20.5	1	0.1	2	0.2	629	76.8	14	1.7	3	0.4
	2012	814	157	19.3	0	0.0	2	0.2	632	77.6	23	2.8	0	0.0

Enrollment (2)

			Econo Disadva	mically antaged	LE	P	Special E	ducation	At I	Rlsk	TA	AG	New (to	District)	Ge	nder	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2010	84	82	97.6	56	66.7	0	0.0	45	53.6	0	0.0	84	100.0	52.4	47.6	0.0
PK	2011	88	87	98.9	61	69.3	1	1.1	71	80.7	0	0.0	88	100.0	56.8	43.2	0.0
	2012	45	45	100.0	35	77.8	1	2.2	35	77.8	0	0.0	45	100.0	53.3	46.7	0.0
	2010	126	121	96.0	75	59.5	2	1.6	102	81.0	3	2.4	47	37.3	53.2	46.8	0.8
KN	2011	125	120	96.0	74	59.2	2	1.6	101	80.8	3	2.4	35	28.0	52.8	47.2	0.0
	2012	140	138	98.6	85	60.7	5	3.6	87	62.1	0	0.0	55	39.3	55.7	44.3	1.4
	2010	107	104	97.2	60	56.1	1	0.9	72	67.3	8	7.5	7	6.5	52.3	47.7	2.8
1	2011	117	113	96.6	71	60.7	2	1.7	84	71.8	5	4.3	4	3.4	47.0	53.0	0.9
	2012	118	116	98.3	70	59.3	4	3.4	78	66.1	14	11.9	10	8.5	59.3	40.7	0.8
	2010	128	122	95.3	73	57.0	4	3.1	106	82.8	9	7.0	9	7.0	57.8	42.2	3.1
2	2011	108	101	93.5	59	54.6	2	1.9	85	78.7	9	8.3	6	5.6	51.9	48.1	0.0
	2012	127	122	96.1	75	59.1	10	7.9	110	86.6	7	5.5	14	11.0	50.4	49.6	1.6
	2010	145	139	95.9	80	55.2	17	11.7	116	80.0	10	6.9	11	7.6	53.1	46.9	0.0
3	2011	125	120	96.0	70	56.0	13	10.4	110	88.0	9	7.2	4	3.2	60.8	39.2	0.0
	2012	121	119	98.3	62	51.2	12	9.9	105	86.8	7	5.8	13	10.7	52.9	47.1	0.8
	2010	133	128	96.2	80	60.2	9	6.8	108	81.2	20	15.0	8	6.0	45.9	54.1	1.5
4	2011	136	128	94.1	73	53.7	15	11.0	98	72.1	24	17.6	8	5.9	53.7	46.3	0.7
	2012	118	114	96.6	61	51.7	16	13.6	109	92.4	15	12.7	12	10.2	62.7	37.3	0.0
	2010	108	104	96.3	53	49.1	5	4.6	71	65.7	18	16.7	11	10.2	55.6	44.4	0.9
5	2011	120	114	95.0	74	61.7	8	6.7	97	80.8	24	20.0	5	4.2	45.8	54.2	0.8
	2012	145	138	95.2	83	57.2	19	13.1	127	87.6	29	20.0	10	6.9	51.7	48.3	4.1
	2010	831	800	96.3	477	57.4	38	4.6	620	74.6	68	8.2	177	21.3	52.8	47.2	1.3
PK-5	2011	819	783	95.6	482	58.9	43	5.3	646	78.9	74	9.0	150	18.3	52.6	47.4	0.4
	2012	814	792	97.3	471	57.9	67	8.2	651	80.0	72	8.8	159	19.5	55.2	44.8	1.5

Attendance

			ige Daily bership		Average D	aily Attendanc	e	Ye	arly Transa	ctions	Continuously Enrolled		Stability Rate	
Grade	Year	Ν	District	Ν	%	District N	District %	Ν	%	District %	N	District	%	District
	2010	124	13,234	119	95.4	12,616	95.3	29	23.3	21.5	100	11,233	80.5	84.9
KN	2011	119	13,211	114	95.3	12,645	95.7	23	19.3	21.4	109	11,602	91.4	87.8
	2012	138	13,633	132	96.0	13,086	96.0	19	13.8	20.1	127	11,731	92.2	86.0
	2010	108	13,848	104	95.9	13,286	95.9	25	23.1	20.0	91	11,978	84.2	86.5
1	2011	113	13,458	108	95.9	12,967	96.4	26	23.0	19.0	102	12,047	90.2	89.5
	2012	119	14,026	115	96.3	13,533	96.5	18	15.1	18.6	106	12,292	88.9	87.6
	2010	127	13,441	122	95.6	12,947	96.3	31	24.3	18.9	105	11,794	82.5	87.7
2	2011	104	13,013	100	96.5	12,593	96.8	27	26.1	17.1	91	11,853	87.9	91.1
	2012	126	13,338	121	96.4	12,932	97.0	22	17.5	17.0	112	11,883	89.2	89.1
	2010	145	13,291	140	96.3	12,854	96.7	25	17.2	17.6	120	11,815	82.5	88.9
3	2011	123	12,619	118	95.9	12,254	97.1	28	22.8	16.6	103	11,604	84.0	92.0
	2012	123	12,874	119	96.8	12,508	97.2	28	22.8	15.6	102	11,592	83.0	90.0
	2010	132	12,300	127	96.3	11,900	96.8	20	15.2	17.2	119	10,987	90.2	89.3
4	2011	133	12,506	128	96.5	12,150	97.1	28	21.1	15.7	119	11,544	89.5	92.3
	2012	117	12,493	113	96.9	12,139	97.2	24	20.6	16.7	103	11,218	88.4	89.8
	2010	105	11,688	102	97.1	11,310	96.8	27	25.7	17.0	92	10,453	87.5	89.4
5	2011	117	11,742	114	97.5	11,419	97.2	9	7.7	8.2	108	10,892	92.0	92.8
	2012	144	12,562	140	97.3	12,220	97.3	2	1.4	5.4	136	11,364	94.8	90.5
	2010	742	77,802	713	96.1	74,914	96.3	157	21.2	18.8	627	68,260	84.5	87.7
KN-5	2011	709	76,549	682	96.3	74,028	96.7	141	19.9	16.5	632	69,542	89.1	90.8
	2012	766	78,926	740	96.6	76,419	96.8	113	14.8	15.7	686	70,080	89.6	88.8

Teachers

Teachers: 52

DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	19	36.5			
Hispanic	22	42.3			
White	9	17.3			
Multiple	1	1.9			
Other	1	1.9			

Gender	Number	Percentage			
Female	43	82.7			
Male	9	17.3			

ATTENDANCE / RETENTION

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2009-10	7.6	—
2010-11	6.0	77.6
2011-12	2.6	81.6

YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage				
Beginning (0)	6	11.5				
1	4	7.7				
2	3	5.8				
3	3	5.8				
4	7	13.5 5.8				
5	3					
1-3	10	19.2				
More than 3	36	69.2				
1 - 5	20	38.5				
6 - 10	9	17.3				
11 - 20	8	15.4				
More than 20	9	17.3				

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	76.7	64.0	69.6	*	62.5	61.4		62.1	79.3	70.7	84.0	58
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	55.6	60.7	55.3	*	*	25.0		62.9	50.0	58.8	76.8	51
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	68.0	77.1	70.4	*	60.0	51.4		71.0	73.3	72.1	80.3	61
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	55.6	68.5	68.2	65.6	66.7	59.1	49.5		65.3	70.7	67.6	80.3	170

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE		D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	9	73	88	157	6	22	103	0	95	75	170	22,169

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	17	20	18	*	21	16		17	21	19	23	58
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	21	22	21	*	*	17		23	21	22	26	51
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	25	28	27	*	25	22		26	28	27	29	61
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	21	21	24	22	20	22	18		22	24	23	26	170

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	9	73	88	157	6	22	103	0	95	75	170	22,169

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	53.4	42.5	48.2
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	53.5	49.8	48.9
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	59.8	55.1	62.4
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	55.8	49.2	53.5

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): WRITING

STAAR Writing (2)

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	23	22	22	*	*	18		22	22	22	24	49

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	5	17	27	45	2	4	22	0	33	16	49	7,036

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	2. Revision	3. Editing	
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.4	3.9	50.3	53.4

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

¹Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=between Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=betw een Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=betw een Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

STAAR Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	46.7	65.4	59.6	*	67.8	56.8		51.7	69.8	60.4	77.0	111
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	66.7	47.1	81.5	75.0	*	83.9	72.0		78.1	70.0	75.0	79.5	104
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	52.0	67.6	62.1	*	64.5	56.9		63.6	63.6	63.6	76.2	132
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	54.5	48.6	71.2	65.2	62.5	71.2	61.0		64.9	67.3	66.0	77.6	347

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	11	72	264	333	8	191	272	0	188	159	347	35,367

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): MATHEMATICS

STAAR Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	19	24	22	*	24	21		21	24	22	27	111
4	2010													
	2011													
	2012	24	21	28	26	*	29	27		27	26	26	28	104
	2010													
	2011													
	2012	*	24	27	26	*	27	25		27	27	27	30	132

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

STAAR Math (4)

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	1. Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	2. Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	3. Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	45.8	45.7	63.2	47.2	37.5
	2010					
4	2011					
	2012	54.5	57.1	58.7	50.7	52.7
	2010					
5	2011					
	2012	55.1	52.9	54.5	44.7	55.8
	2010					
3-5	2011					
	2012	51.9	51.8	58.5	47.3	49.0

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): SCIENCE

STAAR Science (2)

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	27	29	27	*	26	24		28	27	28	30	63

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	1	25	37	56	2	11	37	0	33	30	63	10,697

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	4. Organisms and Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	66.9	70.2	64.0	55.9

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			85.7	85.5	*	86.8	87.0		75.9	96.3	85.7	88.7	56
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*		74.1	74.5	*	73.6	73.6		80.0	68.0	74.5	84.2	55
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			71.4	72.5	*	70.1	69.7		71.4	71.4	71.4	77.4	70
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*		76.7	77.1	*	76.3	76.3		75.5	78.2	76.8	84.3	181

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE		d in grai	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	1	0	180	179	3	173	173	0	94	87	181	14,101

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			21	21	*	21	21		20	22	21	23	56
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*		25	25	*	24	24		26	23	25	26	55
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			27	27	*	27	27		27	27	27	28	70
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*		24	25	*	24	24		25	24	24	25	181

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE		D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	1	0	180	179	3	173	173	0	94	87	181	14,101

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	63.7	54.7	44.0
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	50.9	59.4	55.5
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	54.1	62.4	58.2
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	56.1	59.1	52.9

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*		23	23	*	23	23		24	22	23	26	55

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 4												
2010												0		
2011												0		
2012	1	0	54	55	1	53	53	0	30	25	55	4,902		

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.4	3.9	50.3	53.4

¹Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4. The ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition.

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			26	26	*	25	25		28	24	26	27	69

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 5												
2010												0		
2011												0		
2012	0	0	69	68	1	66	66	0	34	35	69	1,164		

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	4. Organisms and Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	64.9	61.0	56.8	53.5

State Compensatory Education (SCE) Compliance for At-Risk Students

Compliance

		READING TOTAL (**READING PROFILE TOTAL)										
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)						
	2010	38.2	50.0	42.6	61.2	54						
K**	2011	55.6	89.5	67.3	63.3	55						
	2012	15.4	54.0	46.0	62.2	Number Tested (All Students) 61.2 54 63.3 55 62.2 63 55.2 53 58.1 48 55.4 53 48.6 56 50.6 53 49.8 55 37.2 71 51.9 109 47.2 157						
	2010	35.3	55.6	49.1	55.2	53						
1	2011	17.6	25.8	22.9	58.1	48						
	2012	35.3	52.8	47.2	55.4	53						
	2010	18.9	36.8	25.0	48.6	56						
2	2011	17.6	89.5	43.4	50.6	53						
	2012	7.9	58.8	23.6	49.8	55						
	2010					0						
5	2011	12.8	52.9	25.0	36.2	56						
	2012	10.5	28.6	14.1	37.2	71						
	2010	24.1	49.1	36.7	51.9	109						
1-5	2011	15.6	50.7	30.6	47.2	157						
	2012	13.4	49.3	26.8	46.3	179						

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

		I	MATHEMATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHEM	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	49.0	44.0	48.0	51.2	127
K**	2011	54.5	77.3	58.5	56.8	123
	2012	19.5	50.0	30.7	55.1	137
	2010	33.8	51.3	40.0	48.8	110
1	2011	36.6	14.3	29.9	53.1	117
	2012	20.8	35.9	25.9	50.7	116
	2010	36.9	52.2	39.7	54.6	126
2	2011	32.9	57.7	38.7	56.8	111
	2012	20.6	29.4	21.8	57.9	124
	2010					0
5	2011	40.7	42.1	40.9	44.8	110
	2012	18.3	50.0	22.1	43.8	136
	2010	40.6	49.4	42.7	51.5	363
K-5	2011	41.8	44.1	42.3	53.3	461
	2012	19.7	42.6	25.1	52.2	513
		070	07	0.00	40,400	

	2010	88	75	163	20,252	
Number Tested	2011	126	86	212	29,740	
Tested	2012	125	117	242	30,807	

	2010	276	87	363	40,163	
Number Tested	2011	359	102	461	51,019	
Testeu	2012	391	122	513	51,521	

ITBS: READING TOTAL (**READING PROFILE TOTAL)

ITBS Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	17.4	65.5	39.2	*	*	38.2		33.3	50.0	42.6	61.2	54
K**	2011		62.5	71.0	67.3	*	81.8	55.6		60.0	73.3	67.3	63.3	55
	2012	*	38.1	47.4	44.3	*	18.2	15.4		37.5	54.8	46.0	62.2	63
	2010	*	40.9	56.7	49.0	*	*	35.3		38.5	59.3	49.1	55.2	53
1	2011	*	10.0	33.3	25.0		*	17.6		6.3	31.3	22.9	58.1	48
	2012		47.1	45.7	49.0	*	55.6	35.3		40.6	57.1	47.2	55.4	53
	2010	*	24.0	24.1	25.9	*	*	18.9		22.6	28.0	25.0	48.6	56
2	2011	*	39.3	50.0	41.7	*	42.9	17.6		44.0	42.9	43.4	50.6	53
	2012	*	11.1	34.6	21.2	*	*	7.9		19.0	26.5	23.6	49.8	55
	2010	*	31.9	40.7	36.9	*	25.0	24.1		29.8	44.2	36.7	51.9	109
1-2	2011	*	27.1	41.2	33.7	*	41.7	17.6		29.3	36.7	33.7	54.4	101
	2012	*	25.0	41.0	35.0	*	42.9	16.4		32.1	38.2	35.2	52.7	108
	2010													0
5	2011	*	34.8	16.7	16.7	0.0	13.3	12.8		26.9	23.3	25.0	36.2	56
	2012	*	10.0	17.9	12.3	0.0	0.0	10.5		15.8	12.1	14.1	37.2	71
	2010	*	31.9	40.7	36.9	*	25.0	24.1		29.8	44.2	36.7	51.9	109
1-5	2011	*	29.6	32.1	27.9	0.0	25.9	15.6		28.4	32.2	30.6	47.2	157
	2012	*	18.9	32.0	26.2	10.5	22.2	13.4		25.3	28.4	26.8	46.3	179
	2010	3	47	59	103	2	8	54	0	57	52	109	13,990	
Number Tested	2011	4	71	81	140	7	27	90	0	67	90	157	22,979	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

ANNIE WEBB BLANTON (110)

Tested

23,868

ITBS: READING TOTAL (**READING PROFILE TOTAL)

ITBS Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	1	2	2	*	*	2		0	3	3	1,717	54
K**	2011		6	12	16	*	3	7		7	11	18	2,106	55
	2012	*	2	1	3	*	0	0		1	4	5	2,027	63
	2010	*	1	4	5	*	*	0		3	2	5	1,729	53
1	2011	*	0	3	3		*	1		0	3	3	1,963	48
	2012		3	5	9	*	2	3		3	6	9	1,963	53
	2010	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	954	56
2	2011	*	2	2	3	*	1	1		2	2	4	1,018	53
	2012	*	1	2	2	*	*	1		1	2	3	1,017	55
	2010	*	1	4	5	*	0	0		3	2	5	2,683	109
1-2	2011	*	2	5	6	*	2	2		2	5	7	2,981	101
	2012	*	4	7	11	*	2	4		4	8	12	2,981	108
	2010													0
5	2011	*	1	1	1	0	0	0		2	0	2	701	56
	2012	*	0	1	1	0	0	0		0	1	1	822	71
	2010	*	1	4	5	*	0	0		3	2	5	2,683	109
1-5	2011	*	3	6	7	0	2	2		4	5	9	3,692	157
	2012	*	4	8	12	0	2	4		4	9	13	3,803	179
	2010	3	47	59	103	2	8	54	0	57	52	109	13,990	
Number Tested	2011	4	71	81	140	7	27	90	0	67	90	157	22,979	
			1	1				1		1				

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

ANNIE WEBB BLANTON (110)

23,868

ITBS Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	25.0	54.5	47.2	*	52.0	49.0		46.3	50.0	48.0	51.2	127
K**	2011	*	62.5	57.7	57.6	*	50.7	54.5		56.9	60.3	58.5	56.8	123
	2012	50.0	28.6	30.0	29.6	*	18.8	19.5		28.0	33.9	30.7	55.1	137
	2010	*	42.9	39.8	40.0	*	35.0	33.8		39.3	40.7	40.0	48.8	110
1	2011	*	10.0	33.7	31.3	*	39.1	36.6		29.6	30.2	29.9	53.1	117
	2012	*	11.1	27.4	25.7	*	23.2	20.8		22.9	30.4	25.9	50.7	116
	2010	*	32.0	40.4	39.2	*	41.1	36.9		41.7	37.0	39.7	54.6	126
2	2011	*	31.0	42.0	37.6	*	40.7	32.9		29.8	48.1	38.7	56.8	111
	2012	*	4.0	26.0	21.0	0.0	25.3	20.6		19.7	23.8	21.8	57.9	124
	2010	*	32.9	45.1	42.2	0.0	43.3	40.6		42.6	42.9	42.7	51.5	363
K-2	2011	*	35.6	44.7	42.6	16.7	43.8	42.2		39.8	45.7	42.7	55.6	351
	2012	45.5	14.1	27.9	25.6	20.0	22.3	20.3		23.8	29.2	26.3	54.5	377
	2010													0
5	2011	*	21.1	44.3	42.0	*	49.3	40.7		49.0	33.9	40.9	44.8	110
	2012	*	10.7	25.5	22.5	0.0	25.6	18.3	*	27.0	16.1	22.1	43.8	136
	2010	*	32.9	45.1	42.2	0.0	43.3	40.6		42.6	42.9	42.7	51.5	363
K-5	2011	57.1	32.6	44.6	42.5	12.5	45.2	41.8		41.9	42.7	42.3	53.3	461
	2012	38.5	13.0	27.3	24.8	7.1	23.1	19.7	*	24.6	25.8	25.1	52.2	513
														•
4			1					1						

	2010	4	70	288	348	8	208	276	0	195	168	363	40,163
Number Tested	2011	7	92	361	431	8	272	359	0	227	234	461	51,019
resteu	2012	13	92	407	496	28	307	391	1	280	233	513	51,521

ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL (MATHEMATICS)**

51,019

51,521

ITBS Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	2	22	24	*	19	22		11	13	24	2,358	127
K**	2011	*	6	22	27	*	14	22		16	13	29	2,815	123
	2012	0	2	4	5	*	2	2		5	1	6	2,472	137
	2010	*	2	9	11	*	5	7		4	7	11	2,266	110
1	2011	*	0	6	6	*	6	6		4	2	6	2,716	117
	2012	*	0	8	8	*	5	5		5	4	9	2,473	116
	2010	*	0	8	6	*	7	7		4	4	8	2,484	126
2	2011	*	2	12	14	*	7	8		7	7	14	2,746	111
	2012	*	1	6	5	0	6	6		5	2	7	2,843	124
	2010	*	4	39	41	0	31	36		19	24	43	7,108	363
K-2	2011	*	8	40	47	0	27	36		27	22	49	8,277	351
	2012	1	3	18	18	0	13	13		15	7	22	7,788	377
	2010													0
5	2011	*	1	3	4	*	3	3		3	2	5	1,395	110
	2012	*	1	4	4	0	4	4	*	5	0	5	1,426	136
	2010	*	4	39	41	0	31	36		19	24	43	7,108	363
K-5	2011	2	9	43	51	0	30	39		30	24	54	9,696	461
	2012	1	4	22	22	0	17	17	*	20	7	27	9,214	513
	2010	4	70	288	348	8	208	276	0	195	168	363	40,163	
Number	2011	7	92	361	431	8	272	359	0	227	234	461	51 019	

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

ANNIE WEBB BLANTON (110)

Tested

ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

ITBS Math (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	52.4	53.4	55.2	*	51.7	49.3		53.6	53.7	53.6	63.5	110
1	2011	*	50.0	45.3	45.5	*	44.9	43.9		35.2	55.6	46.2	66.7	117
	2012	*	35.3	38.9	40.2	*	42.0	37.7		41.4	37.8	40.0	62.5	115
	2010	*	40.0	47.5	45.0	*	53.4	45.6		44.4	48.1	46.0	61.4	126
2	2011	*	48.3	53.1	50.5	*	54.2	48.2		50.9	51.9	51.4	62.0	111
	2012	*	4.0	25.3	19.5	0.0	28.0	20.8		18.3	22.2	20.3	63.6	123
	2010	*	45.7	50.3	49.8	*	52.6	47.1		48.4	50.9	49.6	62.5	236
1-2	2011	*	49.0	48.9	47.9	*	49.2	46.1		43.2	53.8	48.7	64.4	228
	2012	*	16.7	32.1	29.6	12.5	34.7	27.9		30.8	28.7	29.8	63.0	238
	2010													0
5	2011	*	31.8	58.7	55.7	66.7	66.7	55.1		58.2	50.0	53.8	48.6	117
	2012	*	10.3	26.9	22.7	16.7	29.1	23.6	*	22.7	23.4	23.0	45.9	139
	2010	*	45.7	50.3	49.8	*	52.6	47.1		48.4	50.9	49.6	62.5	236
1-5	2011	*	43.7	52.2	50.5	40.0	55.5	49.4		48.2	52.5	50.4	59.7	345
	2012	28.6	14.1	30.2	27.1	15.4	32.7	26.1	*	27.8	26.7	27.3	57.8	377
	2010	3	46	187	225	5	133	174	0	128	108	236	26,937	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

	2010	3	46	187	225	5	133	174	0	128	108	236	26,937
Number Tested	2011	5	71	268	319	10	200	265	0	166	179	345	38,176
	2012	7	71	298	362	26	223	306	1	205	172	377	38,650

ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

ITBS Math (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	4	20	24	*	14	18		14	10	24	4,129	110
1	2011	*	1	12	14	*	12	13		9	5	14	4,427	117
	2012	*	1	10	11	*	7	7		8	4	12	4,060	115
	2010	*	2	15	16	*	12	13		10	7	17	3,776	126
2	2011	*	3	18	20	*	12	13		8	13	21	3,810	111
	2012	*	0	7	6	0	7	7		4	3	7	3,820	123
	2010	*	6	35	40	*	26	31		24	17	41	7,905	236
1-2	2011	*	4	30	34	*	24	26		17	18	35	8,237	228
	2012	*	1	17	17	0	14	14		12	7	19	7,880	238
	2010													0
5	2011	*	1	21	22	3	20	21		11	11	22	1,416	117
	2012	*	0	2	2	0	2	2	*	1	1	2	1,169	139
	2010	*	6	35	40	*	26	31		24	17	41	7,905	236
1-5	2011	*	5	51	56	3	44	47		28	29	57	9,660	345
	2012	1	1	19	19	0	16	16	*	13	8	21	9,049	377
														-
	2010	3	46	187	225	5	133	174	0	128	108	236	26,937	
Number	2011	F	71	269	210	10	200	265	0	166	170	245	20 176	

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	2010	3	46	187	225	5	133	174	0	128	108	236	26,937
Number Tested	2011	5	71	268	319	10	200	265	0	166	179	345	38,176
	2012	7	71	298	362	26	223	306	1	205	172	377	38,650

Logramos: READING TOTAL

LOG Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			85.9	85.7	*	85.9	85.1		80.5	93.3	85.9	89.3	71
к	2011	*		92.4	92.4		92.2	93.8		95.0	89.3	92.6	90.9	68
	2012	*		75.0	75.7		75.7	75.7		72.1	80.6	75.7	89.9	74
	2010			79.3	78.9		80.4	81.8		70.0	89.3	79.3	89.1	58
1	2011	*		78.6	78.6	*	78.8	79.1		72.5	87.1	78.9	89.4	71
	2012	*		85.0	85.2		85.2	85.2		86.8	83.3	85.5	89.2	62
	2010			88.4	87.9	*	88.4	89.4		92.5	82.8	88.4	93.8	69
2	2011			86.0	86.5	*	86.5	86.3		81.3	92.0	86.0	94.9	57
	2012	*		84.1	83.8	*	84.3	84.3		78.0	93.1	84.3	93.3	70
	2010			84.8	84.5	*	85.2	85.6		82.0	88.5	84.8	90.7	198
K-2	2011	*		85.5	85.6	*	85.7	86.3		83.0	89.3	85.7	91.7	196
	2012	*		81.1	81.3	*	81.5	81.5		78.7	85.7	81.6	90.8	206
	2010													0
5	2011			91.8	93.1		93.0	91.5		92.6	91.2	91.8	93.5	61
	2012			86.3	87.5	*	85.7	85.9	*	83.8	88.9	86.3	91.4	73
	2010			84.8	84.5	*	85.2	85.6		82.0	88.5	84.8	90.7	198
K-5	2011	*		87.0	87.4	*	87.4	87.6		84.9	89.8	87.2	91.9	257
	2012	*		82.5	82.9	62.5	82.5	82.6	*	79.9	86.7	82.8	90.9	279
														-

	2010	0	0	198	193	4	196	188	0	111	87	198	19,041
Number Tested	2011	3	0	254	246	3	239	242	0	139	118	257	21,141
	2012	5	0	274	275	8	275	276	1	159	120	279	21,150

Logramos: READING TOTAL

LOG Read (2)

NUMBER A		E THE 80th	PERCENTILE
----------	--	------------	------------

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			40	40	*	40	39		20	20	40	3,523	71
к	2011	*		34	35		35	36		21	15	36	3,964	68
	2012	*		22	24		24	24		13	11	24	3,679	74
	2010			12	12		12	12		5	7	12	2,529	58
1	2011	*		12	12	*	12	12		7	5	12	2,459	71
	2012	*		15	15		16	16		12	4	16	2,437	62
	2010			30	29	*	30	29		18	12	30	3,040	69
2	2011			16	15	*	15	14		7	9	16	3,227	57
	2012	*		23	22	*	23	23		12	11	23	2,934	70
	2010			82	81	*	82	80		43	39	82	9,092	198
K-2	2011	*		62	62	*	62	62		35	29	64	9,650	196
	2012	*		60	61	*	63	63		37	26	63	9,050	206
	2010													0
5	2011			24	23		22	22		9	15	24	856	61
	2012			21	21	*	19	19	*	14	7	21	912	73
	2010			82	81	*	82	80		43	39	82	9,092	198
K-5	2011	*		86	85	*	84	84		44	44	88	10,519	257
	2012	*		81	82	1	82	82	*	51	33	84	9,962	279
	2010	0	0	198	193	4	196	188	0	111	87	198	19.041	

	2010	0	0	198	193	4	196	188	0	111	87	198	19,041
Number Tested	2011	3	0	254	246	3	239	242	0	139	118	257	21,141
rootou	2012	5	0	274	275	8	275	276	1	159	120	279	21,150

	Level 1	in 2011	Level 2	in 2011	Level 3	in 2011	Levels 1-	-3 in 2011
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Tested Improved		Percentage Improved in 2012	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2012	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2012
5	1	0.0	1	100.0	0	-	2	50.0
ALL	1	0.0	1	100.0	0	-	2	50.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

TELPAS

PERFORMANCE IN 2012

PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite		Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level	
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning	63	74.1	66	77.6	78	91.8	78	91.8	78	91.8	Ī		Beginning		-	-	
KN	Intermediate	19	22.4	15	17.6	6	7.1	6	7.1	6	7.1		-	Intermediate	-		-	
(85)	Advanced	3	3.5	4	4.7	1	1.2	1	1.2	1	1.2			Advanced	-	-	-	-
	Advanced High	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			Advanced High	-	-	-	-
	Beginning	39	54.2	42	58.3	61	84.7	59	81.9	59	81.9	ľ		Beginning		5	7	
1	Intermediate	24	33.3	22	30.6	4	5.6	6	8.3	6	8.3		69	Intermediate	6		0	
(72)	Advanced	5	6.9	4	5.6	3	4.2	3	4.2	3	4.2		12 (17.4%)	Advanced	1	1	(C
	Advanced High	4	5.6	4	5.6	4	5.6	4	5.6	4	5.6			Advanced High	1	2	,	I
	Beginning	23	30.3	26	34.2	29	38.2	20	26.3	20	26.3			Beginning		2	0	
2	Intermediate	14	18.4	17	22.4	35	46.1	31	40.8	34	44.7		75	Intermediate	22		12	
(76)	Advanced	23	30.3	19	25.0	10	13.2	15	19.7	15	19.7		34 (45.3%)	Advanced	5	1	ç	9
	Advanced High	16	21.1	14	18.4	2	2.6	10	13.2	7	9.2			Advanced High	2	2	2	2
	Beginning	4	6.5	9	14.5	16	25.8	16	25.8	16	25.8			Beginning		1	6	
3	Intermediate	27	43.5	24	38.7	28	45.2	18	29.0	18	29.0		60	Intermediate	2		16	
(62)	Advanced	18	29.0	19	30.6	12	19.4	19	30.6	20	32.3		19 (31.7%)	Advanced	0	11	ç	9
	Advanced High	13	21.0	10	16.1	6	9.7	9	14.5	8	12.9			Advanced High	0	0	6	6
	Beginning	0	0.0	3	4.8	8	12.9	9	14.5	8	12.9	ľ		Beginning		(6	
4	Intermediate	6	9.7	8	12.9	18	29.0	16	25.8	17	27.4		59	Intermediate	1		16	
(62)	Advanced	34	54.8	37	59.7	21	33.9	25	40.3	26	41.9		17 (28.8%)	Advanced	1	5	2	:0
	Advanced High	22	35.5	14	22.6	15	24.2	12	19.4	11	17.7			Advanced High	0	0	1	0

PERFORMANCE IN 2012

PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	oosite
(N Rated)	2012 Level	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%
	Beginning	6	7.2	7	8.4	8	9.6	12	14.5	11	13.3
5	Intermediate	10	12.0	11	13.3	25	30.1	14	16.9	15	18.1
(83)	Advanced	34	41.0	31	37.3	25	30.1	21	25.3	22	26.5
	Advanced High	33	39.8	34	41.0	25	30.1	36	43.4	35	42.2
	Beginning	135	30.7	153	34.8	200	45.5	194	44.1	192	43.6
ALL	Intermediate	100	22.7	97	22.0	116	26.4	91	20.7	96	21.8
(440)	Advanced	117	26.6	114	25.9	72	16.4	84	19.1	87	19.8
	Advanced High	88	20.0	76	17.3	52	11.8	71	16.1	65	14.8

Number Rated Both Years		2011 Level				
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High	
	Beginning		10			
80	Intermediate	1		14		
39 (48.8%)	Advanced	0	5	17		
	Advanced High	0	2	3	1	
	Beginning		110 58			
344	Intermediate	32				
121 (35.2%)	Advanced	7	23	5	5	
	Advanced High	3	6	5	0	

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2011 to 2012.