Published July 25, 2012

Dallas Independent School District

# Data Packet for 2012-13 Planning

**Evaluation and Accountability** Data Analysis, Reporting, and Research Services

> http://mydata.dallasisd.org OIR@dallasisd.org

School Number 117 RUFUS C. BURLESON

#### 2012-13 Data Packet: Standard Issue

#### **Table of Contents**

2. Contents Table of Contents	3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5. Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6. Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7. Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9. Teachers Teacher Statistics	
<u>STAAR</u>	
10. 3-8 Reading STAAR 3-8 Reading	13. 3-8 Writing STAAR 3-8 Writing
15. 3-8 Math STAAR 3-8 Mathematics	18. 3-8 Science STAAR 3-8 Science
20. Spanish Reading Spanish STAAR 3-8 Reading	23. Spanish Writing Spanish STAAR 3-8 Writing
ITBS & LOGRAMOS	
25. Compliance	26. ITBS Read ITBS Reading
28. ITBS Math ITBS Mathematics	32. LOG Read Logramos Reading
ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
34. WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	35. TELPASTexas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

#### 2011-12 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

Notes

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11 forward, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2011-12. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2010-11 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2010-11 and 2011-12.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

### **TEACHERS**

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

#### STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR M

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from the standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR M statistics are computed separately, following the TEA's reporting practice.
- Exclusive for 2012: Until standards are released in Spring 2013, the "Percentage Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Percentage Meeting Equivalent TAKS Standard" for reading and mathematics. (Equivalent standards not produced by TEA for other STAAR subjects.) The "Number not Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Average Number of Items Correct."
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC reading tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. STAAR compositions are rated twice on a scale of 0 to 4. The two ratings are summed for a range of possible score points from 0 to 8.
- STAAR M reports not currently available. At time of publication, insufficient information was available from the TEA to produce meaningful statistics for reporting.

## SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading statistics are based on the Reading Profile Total (a combination of the Vocabulary, Word Analysis, and Listening subtests) for all years available. All other grades' ITBS reading statistics, and Logramos reading statistics for all grades, are based on Reading Total results. (Reading Total results are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Kindergarten ITBS mathematics statistics are based on the Mathematics subtest. All other grades' statistics are based on Mathematics Total results. (Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

### **TELPAS**

Notes

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2011 to 2012, the TEA did not report the 2011 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2012, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2011.

#### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	42
KN	102
1	88
2	117
3	128
4	110
5	108
6	84
ALL	779

#### STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethniaity/Paga	Stud	dents	Teachers			
Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Black/African American	247	31.7	23	45.1		
American Indian/Alaska Native	2	0.3	*	*		
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1	0.1	*	*		
Hispanic	517	66.4	13	25.5		
White	10	1.3	13	25.5		
Multiple	1	0.1	1	2.0		
Other* (teachers only)	—	—	1	2.0		
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0	_			

\*For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

#### SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	556	71.4
Economically disadvantaged	773	99.2
Limited English proficient (LEP)	366	47.0
Special education	63	8.1
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	51	6.5

## Enrollment (1)

			African A	American	America	an Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	W	nite	Multiple	category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%
	2010	43	9	20.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	34	79.1	0	0.0	—	
PK	2011	41	13	31.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	65.9	1	2.4	0	0.0
	2012	42	10	23.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	31	73.8	1	2.4	0	0.0
	2010	105	25	23.8	1	1.0	0	0.0	77	73.3	2	1.9	—	—
KN	2011	81	25	30.9	0	0.0	1	1.2	53	65.4	1	1.2	1	1.2
	2012	102	37	36.3	1	1.0	0	0.0	63	61.8	1	1.0	0	0.0
	2010	118	33	28.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	85	72.0	0	0.0	—	—
1	2011	104	25	24.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	78	75.0	1	1.0	0	0.0
	2012	88	32	36.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	52	59.1	3	3.4	1	1.1
	2010	117	27	23.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	90	76.9	0	0.0	—	—
2	2011	117	28	23.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	89	76.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	117	34	29.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	81	69.2	2	1.7	0	0.0
	2010	130	41	31.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	86	66.2	3	2.3	—	—
3	2011	107	29	27.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	71.0	1	0.9	0	0.0
	2012	128	37	28.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	90	70.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	112	36	32.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	73	65.2	3	2.7	—	—
4	2011	114	38	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	74	64.9	2	1.8	0	0.0
	2012	110	33	30.0	0	0.0	1	0.9	75	68.2	1	0.9	0	0.0
	2010	104	32	30.8	1	1.0	1	1.0	68	65.4	2	1.9	—	—
5	2011	106	37	34.9	1	0.9	0	0.0	66	62.3	2	1.9	0	0.0
	2012	108	37	34.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	70	64.8	1	0.9	0	0.0
	2010	91	19	20.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	70	76.9	2	2.2	—	—
6	2011	82	25	30.5	1	1.2	0	0.0	55	67.1	1	1.2	0	0.0
	2012	84	27	32.1	1	1.2	0	0.0	55	65.5	1	1.2	0	0.0
	2010	820	222	27.1	2	0.2	1	0.1	583	71.1	12	1.5	—	—
PK-6	2011	752	220	29.3	2	0.3	1	0.1	518	68.9	9	1.2	1	0.1
	2012	779	247	31.7	2	0.3	1	0.1	517	66.4	10	1.3	1	0.1

## Enrollment (2)

			Econo Disadva	mically antaged	LE	P	Special E	ducation	At F	Rlsk	TÆ	٨G	New (to	District)	Gei	nder	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2010	43	41	95.3	21	48.8	0	0.0	21	48.8	0	0.0	42	97.7	53.5	46.5	0.0
PK	2011	41	41	100.0	20	48.8	0	0.0	20	48.8	0	0.0	41	100.0	39.0	61.0	0.0
	2012	42	41	97.6	21	50.0	0	0.0	21	50.0	0	0.0	42	100.0	45.2	54.8	0.0
	2010	105	101	96.2	56	53.3	4	3.8	58	55.2	8	7.6	31	29.5	54.3	45.7	2.9
KN	2011	81	79	97.5	36	44.4	0	0.0	46	56.8	0	0.0	30	37.0	55.6	44.4	1.2
	2012	102	102	100.0	44	43.1	2	2.0	45	44.1	0	0.0	47	46.1	53.9	46.1	2.9
	2010	118	116	98.3	64	54.2	4	3.4	98	83.1	9	7.6	8	6.8	47.5	52.5	5.1
1	2011	104	102	98.1	56	53.8	4	3.8	80	76.9	9	8.7	6	5.8	56.7	43.3	6.7
	2012	88	87	98.9	35	39.8	7	8.0	44	50.0	2	2.3	13	14.8	50.0	50.0	9.1
	2010	117	117	100.0	61	52.1	5	4.3	107	91.5	14	12.0	3	2.6	47.9	52.1	6.8
2	2011	117	115	98.3	70	59.8	2	1.7	111	94.9	10	8.5	0	0.0	44.4	55.6	9.4
	2012	117	116	99.1	57	48.7	6	5.1	87	74.4	9	7.7	14	12.0	56.4	43.6	7.7
	2010	130	128	98.5	67	51.5	11	8.5	106	81.5	12	9.2	10	7.7	49.2	50.8	7.7
3	2011	107	105	98.1	54	50.5	9	8.4	93	86.9	13	12.1	9	8.4	48.6	51.4	11.2
	2012	128	128	100.0	64	50.0	6	4.7	116	90.6	9	7.0	12	9.4	42.2	57.8	10.2
	2010	112	110	98.2	50	44.6	10	8.9	70	62.5	16	14.3	7	6.3	47.3	52.7	1.8
4	2011	114	107	93.9	53	46.5	13	11.4	72	63.2	15	13.2	7	6.1	57.9	42.1	2.6
	2012	110	109	99.1	52	47.3	15	13.6	95	86.4	7	6.4	12	10.9	50.9	49.1	3.6
	2010	104	103	99.0	39	37.5	15	14.4	61	58.7	18	17.3	8	7.7	52.9	47.1	1.9
5	2011	106	104	98.1	45	42.5	14	13.2	72	67.9	19	17.9	7	6.6	45.3	54.7	5.7
	2012	108	107	99.1	50	46.3	16	14.8	87	80.6	11	10.2	11	10.2	59.3	40.7	3.7
	2010	91	91	100.0	32	35.2	4	4.4	52	57.1	19	20.9	2	2.2	52.7	47.3	0.0
6	2011	82	79	96.3	24	29.3	14	17.1	44	53.7	20	24.4	2	2.4	56.1	43.9	0.0
	2012	84	83	98.8	43	51.2	11	13.1	61	72.6	13	15.5	9	10.7	45.2	54.8	0.0
	2010	820	807	98.4	390	47.6	53	6.5	573	69.9	96	11.7	111	13.5	50.2	49.8	3.8
PK-6	2011	752	732	97.3	358	47.6	56	7.4	538	71.5	86	11.4	102	13.6	51.1	48.9	5.3
	2012	779	773	99.2	366	47.0	63	8.1	556	71.4	51	6.5	160	20.5	50.8	49.2	5.3

#### Attendance

			ge Daily bership		Average Da	aily Attendanc	e	Ye	arly Transa	ctions		nuously olled	Stabili	ty Rate
Grade	Year	Ν	District	Ν	%	District N	District %	Ν	%	District %	Ν	District	%	District
	2010	105	13,234	100	96.0	12,616	95.3	26	24.8	21.5	84	11,233	80.3	84.9
KN	2011	82	13,211	79	96.8	12,645	95.7	17	20.8	21.4	71	11,602	86.9	87.8
	2012	104	13,633	99	95.2	13,086	96.0	40	38.6	20.1	80	11,731	77.1	86.0
	2010	118	13,848	115	97.1	13,286	95.9	21	17.8	20.0	106	11,978	89.8	86.5
1	2011	102	13,458	100	97.6	12,967	96.4	20	19.5	19.0	95	12,047	92.8	89.5
	2012	84	14,026	81	95.4	13,533	96.5	26	30.8	18.6	66	12,292	78.2	87.6
	2010	117	13,441	113	96.5	12,947	96.3	17	14.5	18.9	111	11,794	94.7	87.7
2	2011	116	13,013	114	98.0	12,593	96.8	15	12.9	17.1	108	11,853	93.0	91.1
	2012	111	13,338	108	97.5	12,932	97.0	40	36.1	17.0	90	11,883	81.2	89.1
	2010	130	13,291	125	96.5	12,854	96.7	28	21.5	17.6	108	11,815	83.1	88.9
3	2011	103	12,619	100	97.5	12,254	97.1	18	17.5	16.6	99	11,604	96.2	92.0
	2012	127	12,874	124	97.1	12,508	97.2	28	22.0	15.6	113	11,592	88.6	90.0
	2010	115	12,300	111	96.8	11,900	96.8	28	24.4	17.2	93	10,987	81.1	89.3
4	2011	110	12,506	107	96.9	12,150	97.1	23	20.8	15.7	101	11,544	91.5	92.3
	2012	106	12,493	103	96.5	12,139	97.2	33	31.0	16.7	89	11,218	83.6	89.8
	2010	104	11,688	99	96.1	11,310	96.8	26	25.1	17.0	84	10,453	81.1	89.4
5	2011	103	11,742	101	97.6	11,419	97.2	23	22.3	8.2	94	10,892	91.2	92.8
	2012	108	12,562	105	96.9	12,220	97.3	10	9.3	5.4	85	11,364	78.8	90.5
	2010	92	10,602	89	97.1	10,111	95.4	13	14.1	18.3	83	9,083	90.2	85.7
6	2011	83	10,126	80	96.7	9,742	96.2	11	13.2	17.1	73	9,074	87.8	89.6
	2012	85	10,683	82	96.2	10,315	96.6	6	7.1	17.9	73	9,420	86.0	88.2
	2010	780	88,404	753	96.6	85,025	96.2	159	20.4	18.7	669	77,343	85.8	87.5
KN-6	2011	700	86,676	681	97.3	83,770	96.6	127	18.2	16.6	641	78,616	91.6	90.7
	2012	726	89,609	700	96.5	86,734	96.8	183	25.2	16.0	596	79,500	82.1	88.7

#### Teachers

#### Teachers: 51

#### DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	23	45.1			
Hispanic	13	25.5			
White	13	25.5			
Multiple	1	2.0			
Other	1	2.0			

Gender	Number	Percentage			
Female	36	70.6			
Male	15	29.4			

#### **ATTENDANCE / RETENTION**

	Average Absences	Retention Rate			
2009-10	7.1	—			
2010-11	6.9	69.6			
2011-12	5.2	81.1			

#### YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage			
Beginning (0)	5	9.8			
1	9	17.6			
2	3	5.9			
3	6	11.8			
4	3	5.9			
5	4	7.8			
1-3	18	35.3			
More than 3	28	54.9			
1 - 5	25	49.0			
6 - 10	8	15.7			
11 - 20	9	17.6			
More than 20	4	7.8			

## State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012		80.0	88.0	82.5	*	*	81.8		80.8	82.9	82.0	84.0	61
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		72.0	74.1	72.5	50.0	66.7	57.1		66.7	80.0	74.1	76.8	54
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	52.9	76.2	61.1	20.0	*	53.6		55.9	72.7	62.5	80.3	56
	2010													
6	2011													
	2012	*	84.6	80.0	80.2	80.0	78.6	78.3		75.0	85.1	80.7	77.6	83
	2010													
3-6	2011													
	2012	*	71.7	79.7	74.9	51.6	77.8	71.3		69.2	81.3	75.6	79.5	254

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	d in grai	DES 3-6				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	2	120	128	243	31	54	160	0	120	134	254	32,228

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012		20	22	21	*	*	19		20	21	21	23	61
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		24	26	25	23	25	22		24	26	25	26	54
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	22	27	24	17	*	21		24	26	25	29	56
	2010													
6	2011													
	2012	*	29	30	30	29	29	29		28	31	30	30	83
	2010													
3-6	2011													
	2012	*	24	27	25	22	29	24		24	26	25	27	254

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE		d in grai	DES 3-6				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	2	120	128	243	31	54	160	0	120	134	254	32,228

#### REPORTING CATEGORY 1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 2. Understanding/Analysis 3. Understanding/Analysis Grade Year 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8) of Literary Texts of Informational Texts 2010 3 2011 2012 54.6 50.5 51.6 2010 4 2011 2012 59.1 59.8 53.4 2010 5 2011 2012 55.9 56.3 49.5 2010 6 2011 2012 65.4 61.9 60.1 2010 3-6 2011 2012 59.4 56.0 55.8

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

## State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): WRITING

## **STAAR Writing (2)**

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		23	26	25	19	27	23		23	26	25	24	64

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	25	37	61	8	15	37	0	31	33	64	7,036

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	4.3	3.6	64.6	58.3

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

<sup>1</sup>Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=between Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=betw een Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=betw een Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

#### STAAR Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012		77.8	94.3	90.8	*	98.4	91.5		90.7	87.3	88.8	77.0	125
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		76.0	93.3	90.8	75.0	96.2	87.8		87.5	90.7	89.2	79.5	102
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	58.8	87.0	77.8	50.0	89.6	76.4		79.7	75.6	77.9	76.2	104
	2010													
6	2011													
	2012	*	76.9	89.1	85.2	90.0	90.5	85.0		72.2	93.6	84.3	73.3	83
	2010													
3-6	2011													
	2012	*	71.9	91.3	86.4	66.7	94.2	85.9		83.2	87.1	85.3	76.6	414

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	d in grai	DES 3-6				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	2	121	287	397	33	206	312	0	197	217	414	45,260

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): MATHEMATICS

STAAR Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012		26	33	31	*	34	31		31	31	31	27	125
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		26	31	30	23	31	29		30	29	30	28	102
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	24	32	29	18	32	29		29	29	29	30	104
	2010													
6	2011													
	2012	*	26	31	29	25	31	28		26	31	29	27	83

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

### STAAR Math (4)

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	1. Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	2. Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	3. Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	60.8	73.7	78.2	66.1	60.9
	2010					
4	2011					
	2012	65.9	67.3	63.0	55.1	52.9
	2010					
5	2011					
	2012	59.7	52.1	57.8	58.3	61.3
	2010					
6	2011					
	2012	55.3	61.1	53.0	49.8	59.6
	2010					
3-6	2011					
	2012	60.7	64.2	64.3	58.2	58.8

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

**STAAR Science (2)** 

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	26	31	29	23	31	29		30	29	29	30	102

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	1	33	68	97	8	49	74	0	58	44	102	10,697

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	4. Organisms and Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	70.5	76.6	67.1	58.0

## State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			92.1	91.9	*	93.5	93.5		92.6	91.7	92.1	88.7	63
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			95.8	95.7		95.7	95.7		91.7	100.0	95.8	84.2	48
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			75.0	73.3		75.0	75.0		76.0	73.9	75.0	77.4	48
	2010													
3-6	2011													
	2012			88.1	87.7	*	88.8	88.8		86.8	89.2	88.1	84.3	159

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-6				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	159	154	1	152	152	0	76	83	159	14,101

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			23	23	*	23	23		21	25	23	23	63
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			27	27		27	27		26	28	27	26	48
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			27	27		27	27		27	27	27	28	48
	2010													
3-6	2011													
	2012			26	25	*	26	26		25	26	26	25	159

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-6				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	159	154	1	152	152	0	76	83	159	14,101

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	68.8	60.8	50.6
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	55.8	64.1	61.8
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	52.5	62.4	58.8
	2010			
3-6	2011			
	2012	60.0	62.3	56.5

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			26	27		26	26		25	27	26	26	38

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	38	37	0	37	37	0	17	21	38	4,902

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	4.3	3.6	64.6	58.3

<sup>1</sup>Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4. The ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition.

#### State Compensatory Education (SCE) Compliance for At-Risk Students

#### Compliance

		READING TOTAL (**READING PROFILE TOTAL)									
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)					
	2010	71.4	82.9	81.0	61.2	42					
K**	2011	62.5	88.6	83.7	63.3	43					
	2012	*	92.3	90.7	62.2	54					
	2010	9.4	12.5	10.4	55.2	48					
1	2011	35.0	80.0	60.0	58.1	45					
	2012	20.0	39.0	35.3	55.4	51					
	2010	25.0	80.0	35.2	48.6	54					
2	2011	12.2	83.3	21.3	50.6	47					
	2012	24.2	28.6	26.2	49.8	61					
	2010					0					
5	2011	33.3	34.8	34.1	36.2	41					
	2012	12.2	60.0	25.0	37.2	56					
	2010	18.4	38.5	23.5	51.9	102					
1-5	2011	22.8	61.1	38.3	47.2	133					
	2012	17.9	39.3	28.6	46.3	168					

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

		I	MATHEMATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHEM	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	NotAtRisk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	52.6	85.1	67.3	51.2	104
K**	2011	73.3	89.2	80.5	56.8	82
	2012	60.0	85.7	74.3	55.1	101
	2010	11.5	25.0	13.8	48.8	116
1	2011	46.8	81.5	55.7	53.1	106
	2012	58.1	63.4	60.7	50.7	84
	2010	13.6	72.7	19.3	54.6	114
2	2011	55.4	100.0	57.6	56.8	118
	2012	44.7	60.7	48.7	57.9	113
	2010					0
5	2011	13.0	32.0	19.0	44.8	79
	2012	26.8	43.8	29.6	43.8	98
	2010	21.5	67.9	32.3	51.5	334
K-5	2011	47.9	72.6	54.0	53.3	385
	2012	43.9	69.5	53.0	52.2	396
	2010	256	78	334	40,163	

Niu wala a u	2010	83	61	144	20,252	
Number Tested	2011	87	89	176	29,740	
Testeu	2012	86	136	222	30,807	

	2010	256	78	334	40,163	
Number Tested	2011	290	95	385	51,019	
100100	2012	255	141	396	51,521	

#### ITBS: READING TOTAL (\*\*READING PROFILE TOTAL)

## ITBS Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	91.3	70.6	80.0		*	71.4		72.7	90.0	81.0	61.2	42
K**	2011	*	88.0	86.7	85.4			62.5		80.8	88.2	83.7	63.3	43
	2012	*	88.9	94.1	92.3	*	*	*		87.5	95.5	90.7	62.2	54
	2010		0.0	29.4	10.9	*		9.4		7.1	15.0	10.4	55.2	48
1	2011	*	56.0	68.4	57.1		*	35.0	*	50.0	73.7	60.0	58.1	45
	2012	*	29.0	50.0	34.0	*	*	20.0		35.7	34.8	35.3	55.4	51
	2010		39.3	30.8	35.2	*	*	25.0		40.0	31.0	35.2	48.6	54
2	2011		10.7	36.8	20.0	*		12.2		22.7	20.0	21.3	50.6	47
	2012	*	21.2	30.8	23.7	*	*	24.2		17.6	37.0	26.2	49.8	61
	2010		18.6	30.2	24.0	25.0	*	18.4		22.6	24.5	23.5	51.9	102
1-2	2011	*	32.1	52.6	37.9	*	*	19.7	*	37.5	43.2	40.2	54.4	92
	2012	*	25.0	38.1	28.4	16.7	*	23.3		25.8	36.0	30.4	52.7	112
	2010													0
5	2011	*	31.8	38.9	34.1	*	57.1	33.3		36.8	31.8	34.1	36.2	41
	2012		25.8	24.0	25.0	0.0	28.6	12.2		20.6	31.8	25.0	37.2	56
	2010		18.6	30.2	24.0	25.0	*	18.4		22.6	24.5	23.5	51.9	102
1-5	2011	*	32.0	48.2	36.7	25.0	62.5	22.8	*	37.3	39.4	38.3	47.2	133
	2012	*	25.3	32.8	27.3	6.3	25.0	17.9		24.0	34.7	28.6	46.3	168
	2010	0	59	43	100	8	2	76	0	53	49	102	13,990	
Number	2011	2	75	56	128	8	8	79	1	67	66	133	22 979	

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

#### **RUFUS C. BURLESON (117)**

Tested

22,979

23,868

#### ITBS: READING TOTAL (\*\*READING PROFILE TOTAL)

## ITBS Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	13	4	16		*	2		8	10	18	1,717	42
K**	2011	*	16	7	23			1		14	10	24	2,106	43
	2012	*	19	12	31	*	*	*		17	15	32	2,027	54
	2010		0	1	1	*		1		0	1	1	1,729	48
1	2011	*	3	3	4		*	0	*	3	3	6	1,963	45
	2012	*	4	1	6	*	*	0		3	3	6	1,963	51
	2010		3	1	4	*	*	1		1	3	4	954	54
2	2011		0	1	1	*		0		0	1	1	1,018	47
	2012	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,017	61
	2010		3	2	5	0	*	2		1	4	5	2,683	102
1-2	2011	*	3	4	5	*	*	0	*	3	4	7	2,981	92
	2012	*	4	1	6	1	*	0		3	3	6	2,981	112
	2010													0
5	2011	*	1	1	2	*	0	0		2	0	2	701	41
	2012		0	2	2	0	0	0		1	1	2	822	56
	2010		3	2	5	0	*	2		1	4	5	2,683	102
1-5	2011	*	4	5	7	1	0	0	*	5	4	9	3,692	133
	2012	*	4	3	8	1	0	0		4	4	8	3,803	168
	2010	0	59	43	100	8	2	76	0	53	49	102	13,990	ſ
Number Tested	2011	2	75	56	128	8	8	79	1	67	66	133	22,979	

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

#### **RUFUS C. BURLESON (117)**

Tested

23,868

## ITBS Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	91.7	59.7	68.0	*	46.4	52.6		63.2	72.3	67.3	51.2	104
K**	2011	*	88.0	77.8	82.3		70.3	73.3		78.3	83.3	80.5	56.8	82
	2012	*	81.1	69.4	76.5	*	60.5	60.0		74.5	73.9	74.3	55.1	101
	2010		9.4	15.5	14.0	*	10.9	11.5		12.7	14.8	13.8	48.8	116
1	2011	*	69.2	50.6	54.4	*	37.5	46.8	*	55.7	55.6	55.7	53.1	106
	2012	*	55.2	64.7	60.2	*	60.0	58.1		68.2	52.5	60.7	50.7	84
	2010		14.3	20.9	19.3	*	16.1	13.6		21.1	17.5	19.3	54.6	114
2	2011		48.3	60.7	57.4	*	60.9	55.4		61.5	54.5	57.6	56.8	118
	2012	*	29.0	56.3	47.7	0.0	53.6	44.7		45.3	53.1	48.7	57.9	113
	2010	*	34.5	31.2	32.3	23.1	23.9	21.5		32.5	32.1	32.3	51.5	334
K-2	2011	*	67.5	61.3	63.0	0.0	54.9	55.9	*	64.2	61.9	63.1	55.6	306
	2012	66.7	56.7	62.7	61.0	7.7	57.5	52.0		61.3	60.0	60.7	54.5	298
	2010													0
5	2011	*	25.0	17.3	19.7	16.7	15.8	13.0		18.9	19.0	19.0	44.8	79
	2012		10.3	37.7	29.7	0.0	35.4	26.8		29.8	29.3	29.6	43.8	98
	2010	*	34.5	31.2	32.3	23.1	23.9	21.5		32.5	32.1	32.3	51.5	334
K-5	2011	*	57.7	52.9	54.2	10.5	47.5	47.9	*	55.6	52.4	54.0	53.3	385
	2012	66.7	46.0	56.1	53.5	4.3	51.6	43.9		53.2	52.8	53.0	52.2	396

	2010	2	84	247	328	13	176	256	0	169	165	334	40,163
Number Tested	2011	3	104	274	373	19	200	290	1	196	189	385	51,019
lested -	2012	6	126	262	383	23	182	255	0	220	176	396	51,521

## **ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL (\*\*MATHEMATICS)**

## ITBS Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	10	12	21	*	3	5		13	9	22	2,358	104
K**	2011	*	13	21	34		14	16		16	18	34	2,815	82
	2012	*	12	14	27	*	7	8		14	13	27	2,472	101
	2010		0	2	2	*	0	1		2	0	2	2,266	116
1	2011	*	6	18	23	*	6	14	*	13	12	25	2,716	106
	2012	*	4	13	17	*	7	8		10	7	17	2,473	84
	2010		1	2	3	*	1	1		2	1	3	2,484	114
2	2011		0	11	11	*	6	8		5	6	11	2,746	118
	2012	*	0	9	9	0	5	5		5	4	9	2,843	113
	2010	*	11	16	26	0	4	7		17	10	27	7,108	334
K-2	2011	*	19	50	68	0	26	38	*	34	36	70	8,277	306
	2012	1	16	36	53	0	19	21		29	24	53	7,788	298
	2010													0
5	2011	*	0	1	1	0	1	1		0	1	1	1,395	79
	2012		0	6	6	0	4	4		5	1	6	1,426	98
	2010	*	11	16	26	0	4	7		17	10	27	7,108	334
K-5	2011	*	19	51	69	0	27	39	*	34	37	71	9,696	385
	2012	1	16	42	59	0	23	25		34	25	59	9,214	396
	2010	2	84	247	328	13	176	256	٥	160	165	334	40 163	ĺ

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	2010	2	84	247	328	13	176	256	0	169	165	334	40,163
Number Tested	2011	3	104	274	373	19	200	290	1	196	189	385	51,019
	2012	6	126	262	383	23	182	255	0	220	176	396	51,521

#### **ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION**

## ITBS Math (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010		28.1	47.6	43.0	*	45.3	43.8		45.5	39.3	42.2	63.5	116
1	2011	*	65.4	73.4	70.9	*	69.6	68.4	*	73.8	68.9	71.7	66.7	106
	2012	*	62.1	70.6	67.5	*	68.6	67.4		72.7	62.5	67.9	62.5	84
	2010		21.4	34.9	31.6	*	26.8	27.2		31.6	31.6	31.6	61.4	114
2	2011		55.2	60.7	59.1	*	58.0	57.1		61.5	57.6	59.3	62.0	118
	2012	*	38.7	63.0	55.4	16.7	64.9	55.8		50.0	64.0	56.1	63.6	114
	2010		25.0	41.2	37.3	33.3	36.7	35.2		38.4	35.6	37.0	62.5	230
1-2	2011	*	60.0	66.7	64.7	28.6	63.2	61.8	*	68.1	62.2	65.2	64.4	224
	2012	*	50.0	65.9	60.5	18.2	66.3	59.7		59.3	63.3	61.1	63.0	198
	2010													0
5	2011	*	18.2	16.9	16.3	25.0	16.7	13.8		11.4	21.2	16.7	48.6	96
	2012		25.8	44.3	38.7	36.4	44.9	38.1		35.0	43.9	38.6	45.9	101
	2010		25.0	41.2	37.3	33.3	36.7	35.2		38.4	35.6	37.0	62.5	230
1-5	2011	*	44.3	53.7	50.3	26.3	51.5	49.6	*	52.2	49.1	50.6	59.7	320
	2012	*	41.8	58.4	53.5	27.3	58.9	51.2		50.6	57.3	53.5	57.8	299
	2010	0	60	170	228	9	120	199	0	112	118	230	26,937	

## PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

	2010	0	60	170	228	9	120	199	0	112	118	230	26,937
Number Tested	2011	3	88	227	310	19	167	256	1	157	163	320	38,176
	2012	5	91	202	288	22	141	213	0	168	131	299	38,650

#### **ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION**

## ITBS Math (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010		3	10	13	*	8	11		7	6	13	4,129	116
1	2011	*	4	31	36	*	18	27	*	23	13	36	4,427	106
	2012	*	7	17	26	*	9	13		20	6	26	4,060	84
	2010		1	5	6	*	3	4		4	2	6	3,776	114
2	2011		6	20	25	*	10	21		9	17	26	3,810	118
	2012	*	1	21	22	0	14	17		12	10	22	3,820	114
	2010		4	15	19	1	11	15		11	8	19	7,905	230
1-2	2011	*	10	51	61	0	28	48	*	32	30	62	8,237	224
	2012	*	8	38	48	1	23	30		32	16	48	7,880	198
	2010													0
5	2011	*	0	1	1	0	1	1		1	0	1	1,416	96
	2012		2	3	5	2	2	4		3	2	5	1,169	101
	2010		4	15	19	1	11	15		11	8	19	7,905	230
1-5	2011	*	10	52	62	0	29	49	*	33	30	63	9,660	320
	2012	*	10	41	53	3	25	34		35	18	53	9,049	299
														_
	2010	0	60	170	228	9	120	199	0	112	118	230	26,937	
Number														

### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	2010	0	60	170	228	9	120	199	0	112	118	230	26,937
Number Tested	2011	3	88	227	310	19	167	256	1	157	163	320	38,176
	2012	5	91	202	288	22	141	213	0	168	131	299	38,650

## Logramos: READING TOTAL

## LOG Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			89.3	90.7	*	88.2	89.1		86.7	92.3	89.3	89.3	56
К	2011			89.7	89.5		89.2	91.9		90.0	89.5	89.7	90.9	39
	2012			88.6	90.9		90.2	88.1		86.4	91.3	88.9	89.9	45
	2010			77.8	77.8	*	77.0	80.3		72.0	81.6	77.8	89.1	63
1	2011			75.9	75.9	*	74.1	77.2		76.5	75.0	75.9	89.4	58
	2012			82.9	82.9	*	82.4	82.4		81.3	84.2	82.9	89.2	35
	2010			88.1	88.1		87.0	87.9		86.7	89.7	88.1	93.8	59
2	2011			95.2	95.2		95.2	95.2		92.0	97.3	95.2	94.9	62
	2012			90.6	90.6	*	90.4	90.4		89.7	91.7	90.6	93.3	53
	2010			84.8	85.2	*	83.7	85.5		82.4	87.1	84.8	90.7	178
K-2	2011			86.8	86.7	*	86.3	87.8		84.8	88.8	86.8	91.7	159
	2012			87.9	88.6	*	88.2	87.5		86.6	89.4	88.0	90.8	133
	2010													0
5	2011			80.0	79.4		80.0	80.0		62.5	95.0	80.6	93.5	36
	2012			80.0	79.1		79.1	79.5		79.2	81.0	80.0	91.4	45
	2010			84.8	85.2	*	83.7	85.5		82.4	87.1	84.8	90.7	178
K-5	2011			85.6	85.4	*	85.1	86.4		81.1	90.0	85.6	91.9	195
	2012			85.9	86.3	*	85.9	85.5		84.6	87.4	86.0	90.9	178

## PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

		2010	0	0	170	170	5	100	105	0	05	90	170	19,041
	Number Tested	2011	0	0	194	192	4	188	191	0	95	100	195	21,141
	Toolog	2012	0	0	177	175	3	170	172	0	91	87	178	21,150
-														

#### Logramos: READING TOTAL

## LOG Read (2)

		_		-	-			_			
е	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	Dis
		20	20	*	17	15		10	10	20	3,

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			20	20	*	17	15		10	10	20	3,523	56
к	2011			23	22		22	22		10	13	23	3,964	39
	2012			23	23		21	21		9	14	23	3,679	45
	2010			12	12	*	11	12		3	9	12	2,529	63
1	2011			10	10	*	8	10		5	5	10	2,459	58
	2012			10	10	*	10	10		3	7	10	2,437	35
	2010			13	13		12	13		7	6	13	3,040	59
2	2011			37	37		37	37		13	24	37	3,227	62
	2012			16	16	*	15	15		8	8	16	2,934	53
	2010			45	45	*	40	40		20	25	45	9,092	178
K-2	2011			70	69	*	67	69		28	42	70	9,650	159
	2012			49	49	*	46	46		20	29	49	9,050	133
	2010													0
5	2011			15	15		15	15		3	12	15	856	36
	2012			15	14		14	15		10	5	15	912	45
	2010			45	45	*	40	40		20	25	45	9,092	178
K-5	2011			85	84	*	82	84		31	54	85	10,519	195
	2012			64	63	*	60	61		30	34	64	9,962	178

	2010	0	0	178	176	3	166	165	0	85	93	178	19,041
Number Tested	2011	0	0	194	192	4	188	191	0	95	100	195	21,141
105100	2012	0	0	177	175	3	170	172	0	91	87	178	21,150

	Level 1	in 2011	Level 2	in 2011	Level 3	in 2011	Levels 1-3 in 2011		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2012							
5	0	-	1	0.0	0	-	1	0.0	
6	2	0.0	0	-	0	-	2	0.0	
ALL	2	0.0	1	0.0	0	-	3	0.0	

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

## TELPAS

#### PERFORMANCE IN 2012

#### PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	iting	Rea	ding	Com	posite		Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level	
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning	20	45.5	33	75.0	39	88.6	40	90.9	40	90.9	Ï		Beginning		-	-	
KN	Intermediate	11	25.0	8	18.2	5	11.4	4	9.1	4	9.1		-	Intermediate	-		-	
(44)	Advanced	11	25.0	3	6.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			Advanced	-	-	-	-
	Advanced High	2	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			Advanced High	-	1	-	-
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.1	2	6.3	2	6.3			Beginning		2	2	
1	Intermediate	4	12.5	13	40.6	19	59.4	15	46.9	15	46.9		32	Intermediate	12		3	
(32)	Advanced	8	25.0	14	43.8	11	34.4	10	31.3	10	31.3		27 (84.4%)	Advanced	4	6	C	)
	Advanced High	20	62.5	5	15.6	1	3.1	5	15.6	5	15.6			Advanced High	3	2	C	)
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	7.1	6	10.7	6	10.7			Beginning		(	6	
2	Intermediate	1	1.8	9	16.1	21	37.5	21	37.5	21	37.5		53	Intermediate	2		18	
(56)	Advanced	15	26.8	25	44.6	14	25.0	17	30.4	17	30.4		22 (41.5%)	Advanced	1	8	7	,
	Advanced High	40	71.4	22	39.3	17	30.4	12	21.4	12	21.4			Advanced High	0	2	g	•
	Beginning	0	0.0	1	1.5	3	4.5	1	1.5	1	1.5			Beginning			1	
3	Intermediate	7	10.6	9	13.6	15	22.7	7	10.6	7	10.6		65	Intermediate	1		6	
(66)	Advanced	18	27.3	24	36.4	31	47.0	18	27.3	19	28.8		51 (78.5%)	Advanced	0	11	7	,
	Advanced High	41	62.1	32	48.5	17	25.8	40	60.6	39	59.1			Advanced High	0	10	2	9
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0			Beginning		(	)	
4	Intermediate	1	1.9	10	18.5	12	22.2	8	14.8	8	14.8		51	Intermediate	2		6	
(54)	Advanced	22	40.7	20	37.0	15	27.8	12	22.2	12	22.2		38 (74.5%)	Advanced	0	4	7	,
	Advanced High	31	57.4	24	44.4	26	48.1	34	63.0	34	63.0			Advanced High	0	0	32	2

#### Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

#### PERFORMANCE IN 2012

#### PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Listening		Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Reading		Composite	
(N Rated)	2012 Level	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	7.5	1	1.9	1	1.9
5	Intermediate	1	1.9	2	3.8	6	11.3	7	13.2	7	13.2
(53)	Advanced	7	13.2	15	28.3	22	41.5	10	18.9	10	18.9
	Advanced High	45	84.9	36	67.9	21	39.6	35	66.0	35	66.0
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.3	1	2.3	1	2.3
6	Intermediate	1	2.3	2	4.7	2	4.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
(43)	Advanced	8	18.6	2	4.7	9	20.9	7	16.3	7	16.3
	Advanced High	34	79.1	39	90.7	31	72.1	35	81.4	35	81.4
	Beginning	20	5.7	34	9.8	53	15.2	51	14.7	51	14.7
ALL	Intermediate	26	7.5	53	15.2	80	23.0	62	17.8	62	17.8
(348)	Advanced	89	25.6	103	29.6	102	29.3	74	21.3	75	21.6
	Advanced High	213	61.2	158	45.4	113	32.5	161	46.3	160	46.0

Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning			1	
51	Intermediate	3		4	
39 (76.5%)	Advanced	0	3	-	7
	Advanced High	0	2	3	1
	Beginning			1	
42	Intermediate	0		0	
35 (83.3%)	Advanced	0	1	(	6
	Advanced High	0	0	3	4
	Beginning		1	1	
294	Intermediate	20		37	
212 (72.1%)	Advanced	5	33	3	4
	Advanced High	3	16	1:	35

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2011 to 2012.