Published July 25, 2012

Dallas Independent School District

# Data Packet for 2012-13 Planning

**Evaluation and Accountability** Data Analysis, Reporting, and Research Services

> http://mydata.dallasisd.org OIR@dallasisd.org

School Number 176

JACK LOWE SR.

### 2012-13 Data Packet: Standard Issue

#### **Table of Contents**

2. Contents Table of Contents	3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5. Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6. Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7. Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9. Teachers Teacher Statistics	
<u>STAAR</u>	
10. 3-8 Reading STAAR 3-8 Reading	13. 3-8 Writing STAAR 3-8 Writing
15. 3-8 Math STAAR 3-8 Mathematics	18. 3-8 Science STAAR 3-8 Science
20. Spanish Reading Spanish STAAR 3-8 Reading	23. Spanish Writing Spanish STAAR 3-8 Writing
25. Spanish Science Spanish STAAR 3-8 Science	
<u>STAAR L</u>	
27. 3-8 Math STAAR L 3-8 Mathematics	
ITBS & LOGRAMOS	
30. Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	31. ITBS Read ITBS Reading
33. ITBS Math ITBS Mathematics	37. LOG Read Logramos Reading
ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
39. WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	40. TELPAS Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

#### 2011-12 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

Notes

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11 forward, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2011-12. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2010-11 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2010-11 and 2011-12.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

# **TEACHERS**

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

#### STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR M

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from the standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR M statistics are computed separately, following the TEA's reporting practice.
- Exclusive for 2012: Until standards are released in Spring 2013, the "Percentage Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Percentage Meeting Equivalent TAKS Standard" for reading and mathematics. (Equivalent standards not produced by TEA for other STAAR subjects.) The "Number not Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Average Number of Items Correct."
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC reading tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. STAAR compositions are rated twice on a scale of 0 to 4. The two ratings are summed for a range of possible score points from 0 to 8.
- STAAR M reports not currently available. At time of publication, insufficient information was available from the TEA to produce meaningful statistics for reporting.

# SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading statistics are based on the Reading Profile Total (a combination of the Vocabulary, Word Analysis, and Listening subtests) for all years available. All other grades' ITBS reading statistics, and Logramos reading statistics for all grades, are based on Reading Total results. (Reading Total results are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Kindergarten ITBS mathematics statistics are based on the Mathematics subtest. All other grades' statistics are based on Mathematics Total results. (Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

# **TELPAS**

Notes

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2011 to 2012, the TEA did not report the 2011 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2012, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2011.

#### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment						
KN	111						
1	96						
2	98						
3	108						
4	101						
5	98						
ALL	612						

#### STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethniaitu/Paga	Stu	dents	Teac	hers
Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	63	10.3	7	18.9
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0.0	*	*
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	41	6.7	*	*
Hispanic	501	81.9	19	51.4
White	7	1.1	8	21.6
Multiple	0	0.0	1	2.7
Other* (teachers only)			2	5.4
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0	_	

\*For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

#### SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	554	90.5
Economically disadvantaged	597	97.5
Limited English proficient (LEP)	507	82.8
Special education	41	6.7
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	31	5.1

# Enrollment (1)

			African A	American	America	n Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wł	nite	Multiple of	category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2010	113	11	9.7	0	0.0	3	2.7	97	85.8	2	1.8	—	—
KN	2011	93	4	4.3	0	0.0	9	9.7	75	80.6	4	4.3	1	1.1
	2012	111	11	9.9	0	0.0	9	8.1	90	81.1	1	0.9	0	0.0
	2010	124	13	10.5	0	0.0	8	6.5	99	79.8	4	3.2	—	—
1	2011	114	14	12.3	0	0.0	4	3.5	95	83.3	1	0.9	0	0.0
	2012	96	6	6.3	0	0.0	8	8.3	81	84.4	1	1.0	0	0.0
	2010	123	10	8.1	0	0.0	10	8.1	95	77.2	8	6.5	—	_
2	2011	109	12	11.0	0	0.0	9	8.3	84	77.1	4	3.7	0	0.0
	2012	98	9	9.2	0	0.0	3	3.1	86	87.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	121	8	6.6	0	0.0	4	3.3	107	88.4	2	1.7	—	_
3	2011	103	9	8.7	0	0.0	3	2.9	85	82.5	6	5.8	0	0.0
	2012	108	13	12.0	0	0.0	11	10.2	82	75.9	2	1.9	0	0.0
	2010	87	8	9.2	0	0.0	3	3.4	71	81.6	5	5.7	—	_
4	2011	100	10	10.0	0	0.0	5	5.0	83	83.0	2	2.0	0	0.0
	2012	101	11	10.9	0	0.0	4	4.0	84	83.2	2	2.0	0	0.0
	2010	100	11	11.0	0	0.0	5	5.0	82	82.0	2	2.0	_	—
5	2011	75	7	9.3	0	0.0	4	5.3	59	78.7	5	6.7	0	0.0
	2012	98	13	13.3	0	0.0	6	6.1	78	79.6	1	1.0	0	0.0
	2010	668	61	9.1	0	0.0	33	4.9	551	82.5	23	3.4	—	—
KN-5	2011	610	66	10.8	0	0.0	34	5.6	487	79.8	22	3.6	1	0.2
	2012	612	63	10.3	0	0.0	41	6.7	501	81.9	7	1.1	0	0.0

# Enrollment (2)

			Econo Disadva	mically antaged	LE	ĒP	Special E	Education	Atl	Rlsk	TA	٩G	New (to	District)	Ge	nder	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2010	113	108	95.6	92	81.4	1	0.9	99	87.6	0	0.0	84	74.3	58.4	41.6	4.4
KN	2011	93	87	93.5	81	87.1	0	0.0	87	93.5	0	0.0	78	83.9	54.8	45.2	0.0
	2012	111	109	98.2	93	83.8	10	9.0	93	83.8	0	0.0	92	82.9	54.1	45.9	1.8
	2010	124	119	96.0	104	83.9	1	0.8	104	83.9	2	1.6	11	8.9	54.8	45.2	2.4
1	2011	114	112	98.2	94	82.5	5	4.4	98	86.0	0	0.0	12	10.5	60.5	39.5	6.1
	2012	96	96	100.0	87	90.6	2	2.1	90	93.8	2	2.1	11	11.5	50.0	50.0	5.2
	2010	123	119	96.7	98	79.7	6	4.9	106	86.2	6	4.9	9	7.3	50.4	49.6	2.4
2	2011	109	101	92.7	87	79.8	5	4.6	93	85.3	6	5.5	8	7.3	52.3	47.7	4.6
	2012	98	97	99.0	83	84.7	4	4.1	89	90.8	3	3.1	10	10.2	63.3	36.7	5.1
	2010	121	117	96.7	102	84.3	5	4.1	109	90.1	6	5.0	6	5.0	55.4	44.6	1.7
3	2011	103	98	95.1	84	81.6	2	1.9	90	87.4	9	8.7	9	8.7	51.5	48.5	1.9
	2012	108	103	95.4	89	82.4	5	4.6	100	92.6	8	7.4	12	11.1	50.9	49.1	1.9
	2010	87	85	97.7	71	81.6	3	3.4	75	86.2	4	4.6	8	9.2	50.6	49.4	0.0
4	2011	100	96	96.0	83	83.0	4	4.0	84	84.0	10	10.0	6	6.0	59.0	41.0	1.0
	2012	101	98	97.0	84	83.2	15	14.9	94	93.1	6	5.9	11	10.9	55.4	44.6	1.0
	2010	100	99	99.0	62	62.0	4	4.0	77	77.0	5	5.0	8	8.0	53.0	47.0	2.0
5	2011	75	74	98.7	63	84.0	4	5.3	61	81.3	11	14.7	7	9.3	46.7	53.3	1.3
	2012	98	94	95.9	71	72.4	5	5.1	88	89.8	12	12.2	9	9.2	60.2	39.8	1.0
	2010	668	647	96.9	529	79.2	20	3.0	570	85.3	23	3.4	126	18.9	53.9	46.1	2.2
KN-5	2011	610	576	94.4	494	81.0	22	3.6	513	84.1	36	5.9	136	22.3	54.4	45.6	2.6
	2012	612	597	97.5	507	82.8	41	6.7	554	90.5	31	5.1	145	23.7	55.6	44.4	2.6

#### Attendance

			ige Daily bership		Average D	aily Attendanc	e	Ye	arly Transa	ctions		nuously rolled	Stabili	ty Rate
Grade	Year	Ν	District	Ν	%	District N	District %	Ν	%	District %	Ν	District	%	District
	2010	110	13,234	107	97.4	12,616	95.3	24	21.9	21.5	100	11,233	91.3	84.9
KN	2011	92	13,211	89	96.8	12,645	95.7	14	15.3	21.4	86	11,602	93.7	87.8
	2012	110	13,633	107	97.3	13,086	96.0	23	20.9	20.1	99	11,731	89.8	86.0
	2010	122	13,848	119	97.4	13,286	95.9	28	22.9	20.0	109	11,978	89.1	86.5
1	2011	107	13,458	104	97.5	12,967	96.4	15	14.0	19.0	99	12,047	92.5	89.5
	2012	95	14,026	93	97.9	13,533	96.5	20	21.1	18.6	82	12,292	86.7	87.6
	2010	120	13,441	117	98.0	12,947	96.3	23	19.2	18.9	109	11,794	91.0	87.7
2	2011	105	13,013	103	97.7	12,593	96.8	21	19.9	17.1	98	11,853	93.0	91.1
	2012	98	13,338	96	97.9	12,932	97.0	22	22.5	17.0	88	11,883	89.9	89.1
	2010	120	13,291	117	98.0	12,854	96.7	22	18.4	17.6	107	11,815	89.5	88.9
3	2011	102	12,619	101	98.6	12,254	97.1	15	14.6	16.6	100	11,604	97.6	92.0
	2012	105	12,874	103	98.0	12,508	97.2	14	13.3	15.6	100	11,592	95.1	90.0
	2010	86	12,300	85	98.2	11,900	96.8	10	11.6	17.2	82	10,987	95.1	89.3
4	2011	96	12,506	94	97.6	12,150	97.1	11	11.5	15.7	92	11,544	95.9	92.3
	2012	95	12,493	93	98.2	12,139	97.2	28	29.5	16.7	80	11,218	84.3	89.8
	2010	100	11,688	98	98.1	11,310	96.8	14	14.0	17.0	92	10,453	91.7	89.4
5	2011	71	11,742	70	98.3	11,419	97.2	5	7.1	8.2	68	10,892	95.9	92.8
	2012	94	12,562	92	97.8	12,220	97.3	16	17.1	5.4	83	11,364	88.6	90.5
	2010	658	77,802	643	97.8	74,914	96.3	121	18.4	18.8	599	68,260	91.1	87.7
KN-5	2011	574	76,549	561	97.7	74,028	96.7	81	14.1	16.5	543	69,542	94.7	90.8
	2012	596	78,926	584	97.8	76,419	96.8	123	20.6	15.7	532	70,080	89.2	88.8

#### Teachers

#### Teachers: 37

#### DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	7	18.9			
Hispanic	19	51.4			
White	8	21.6			
Multiple	1	2.7			
Other	2	5.4			

Gender	Number	Percentage			
Female	23	62.2			
Male	14	37.8			

## **ATTENDANCE / RETENTION**

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2009-10	6.7	—
2010-11	6.8	80.4
2011-12	5.4	81.8

#### YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage			
Beginning (0)	0	0.0			
1	3	8.1			
2	0	0.0			
3	2	5.4			
4	2	5.4			
5	7	18.9			
1-3	5	13.5			
More than 3	32	86.5			
1 - 5	14	37.8			
6 - 10	15	40.5			
11 - 20	7	18.9			
More than 20	1	2.7			

# State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	60.0	87.5	71.4		66.7	62.5		71.4	68.8	70.0	84.0	30
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	87.5	71.4	72.7	*	66.7	73.3		69.2	77.8	72.7	76.8	22
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	100.0	72.7	75.0	*	46.2	66.7		83.3	66.7	74.1	80.3	27
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*	81.5	76.9	73.0	83.3	59.5	66.7		74.4	70.0	72.2	80.3	79

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	d in grai	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	4	27	26	74	6	37	57	0	39	40	79	22,169

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	18	28	22		19	19		20	22	21	23	30
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	25	26	24	*	24	23		23	26	24	26	22
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	34	28	29	*	22	25		29	28	28	29	27
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*	26	27	25	23	21	22		24	25	25	26	79

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	4	27	26	74	6	37	57	0	39	40	79	22,169

# AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	58.3	50.2	55.0
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	54.1	55.3	56.5
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	63.3	55.6	67.8
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	58.9	53.4	59.8

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): WRITING

# **STAAR Writing (2)**

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	27	24	24	*	22	24		24	25	24	24	23

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	2	7	9	23	4	11	16	0	12	11	23	7,036

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	4.0	4.0	57.5	60.0

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

<sup>1</sup>Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=between Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=betw een Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=betw een Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

# STAAR Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	60.0	88.5	81.6	*	87.0	78.8		81.3	82.6	82.1	77.0	39
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	100.0	68.8	72.6	46.2	67.6	69.2		68.1	76.9	72.1	79.5	86
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	88.9	70.1	73.3	*	68.0	69.1		71.2	73.0	71.9	76.2	89
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*	80.0	72.2	74.5	54.5	70.3	70.8		71.3	76.8	73.8	77.6	214

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	3	25	180	208	22	172	192	0	115	99	214	35,367

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): MATHEMATICS

STAAR Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	21	31	29	*	31	28		28	31	29	27	39
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	31	24	25	20	23	24		24	25	24	28	86
	2010													
	2011													
	2012	*	28	29	30	*	29	29		29	30	29	30	89

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

# STAAR Math (4)

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	1. Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	2. Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	3. Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	61.2	58.7	76.1	62.8	61.1
	2010					
4	2011					
	2012	50.7	47.9	59.2	42.9	48.1
	2010					
5	2011					
	2012	58.2	56.9	61.5	49.6	65.0
	2010					
3-5	2011					
	2012	55.7	53.6	63.2	49.3	57.5

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

**STAAR Science (2)** 

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	30	30	31	*	29	29		30	31	31	30	24

					NUME	NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 5												
2010												0						
2011												0						
2012	1	10	11	22	2	9	16	0	14	10	24	10,697						

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	4. Organisms and Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	71.9	75.4	67.4	66.1

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*		90.5	90.3	*	90.7	90.7		85.0	97.1	90.7	88.7	75
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			66.2	66.7	36.4	67.1	67.1		57.9	75.0	66.2	84.2	74
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			75.4	76.6	*	75.4	75.4		73.2	79.2	75.4	77.4	65
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*		77.5	77.9	58.8	77.9	77.9		72.3	84.2	77.6	84.3	214

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE		D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	1	0	213	208	17	213	213	0	119	95	214	14,101

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*		22	22	*	22	22		20	26	22	23	75
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			22	22	18	22	22		20	24	22	26	74
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			27	27	*	27	27		26	30	27	28	65
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*		24	24	18	24	24		22	26	24	25	214

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	1	0	213	208	17	213	213	0	119	95	214	14,101

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	64.0	58.7	50.0
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	42.2	53.9	47.6
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	59.4	60.2	58.6
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	55.0	57.5	51.8

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			22	22	20	22	22		21	24	22	26	73

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	73	71	10	72	72	0	39	34	73	4,902

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	4.0	4.0	57.5	60.0

<sup>1</sup>Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4. The ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition.

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			27	27	*	27	27		26	27	27	27	67

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	67	66	2	67	67	0	41	26	67	1,164

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	4. Organisms and Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	65.1	66.7	54.5	59.4

#### STAAR L Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012		*	90.9	86.9		86.2	86.2		84.2	88.9	86.2	74.0	65
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		*	*	50.0		50.0	50.0		*	*	50.0	51.1	8
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012				*		*	*		*	*	*	32.9	4
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012		*	86.4	80.6		79.2	80.3		76.7	82.4	79.2	64.2	77

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5										
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	2	59	72	0	77	76	0	43	34	77	682

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness (L) 3-8: MATHEMATICS

STAAR L Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012		*	30	29		29	29		28	31	29	26	65
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		*	*	24		24	24		*	*	24	21	8
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012				*		*	*		*	*	*	19	4
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012		*	30	28		28	28		27	29	28	24	77

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2010												0	
2011												0	
2012	0	2	59	72	0	77	76	0	43	34	77	682	

# STAAR L Math (4)

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	1. Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	2. Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	3. Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	58.4	63.8	76.2	64.6	57.4
	2010					
4	2011					
	2012	54.4	41.7	56.3	45.3	37.5
	2010					
5	2011					
	2012	*	*	*	*	*
	2010					
3-5	2011					
	2012	56.4	59.1	71.3	60.6	54.4

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

#### State Compensatory Education (SCE) Compliance for At-Risk Students

# Compliance

_		RE		L (**READI	NG PROFILE	TOTAL)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010					0
K**	2011					0
	2012					0
	2010	33.3	75.0	57.1	55.2	28
1	2011	33.3	70.0	50.0	58.1	22
	2012	18.2	50.0	29.4	55.4	17
	2010	9.1	75.0	32.4	48.6	34
2	2011	7.1	57.1	32.1	50.6	28
	2012	18.2	66.7	40.0	49.8	20
	2010					0
5	2011	13.3	66.7	20.3	36.2	69
	2012	13.3	85.7	36.4	37.2	22
	2010	17.6	75.0	43.5	51.9	62
1-5	2011	15.1	63.6	28.6	47.2	119
	2012	16.2	68.2	35.6	46.3	59

	2010	34	28	62	13,990	
Number Tested	2011	86	33	119	22,979	
Tested	2012	37	22	59	23,868	

		Γ	ATHEMATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHEMATICS)				
Grade	Year	AtRisk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)			
	2010	4.0	14.3	5.3	51.2	113			
K**	2011	33.3	*	34.1	56.8	91			
	2012	48.4	33.3	45.9	55.1	111			
	2010	38.6	64.7	42.4	48.8	118			
1	2011	31.6	54.5	34.0	53.1	106			
	2012	30.7	83.3	34.0	50.7	94			
	2010	67.6	78.6	69.0	54.6	116			
2	2011	54.9	58.8	55.6	56.8	108			
	2012	42.0	66.7	44.3	57.9	97			
	2010					0			
5	2011	30.2	62.5	34.4	44.8	61			
	2012	31.4	*	34.2	43.8	73			
	2010	37.1	53.3	39.2	51.5	347			
K-5	2011	38.3	57.5	40.4	53.3	366			
	2012	38.6	55.6	40.3	52.2	375			

	2010	302	45	347	40,163	
Number Tested	2011	326	40	366	51,019	
resteu	2012	339	36	375	51,521	

# ITBS Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	66.7	62.5	54.2		33.3	33.3		50.0	66.7	57.1	55.2	28
1	2011		72.7	30.0	52.4	*	16.7	33.3		35.7	75.0	50.0	58.1	22
	2012		*	*	29.4	*	22.2	18.2		25.0	33.3	29.4	55.4	17
	2010	*	40.0	41.7	29.0	*	0.0	9.1		25.0	42.9	32.4	48.6	34
2	2011	*	23.1	57.1	28.0	*	0.0	7.1		36.4	29.4	32.1	50.6	28
	2012		44.4	44.4	42.1		*	18.2		45.5	33.3	40.0	49.8	20
	2010	50.0	54.5	50.0	40.0	*	14.3	17.6		36.1	53.8	43.5	51.9	62
1-2	2011	*	45.8	41.2	39.1	*	6.7	19.2		36.0	44.0	40.0	54.4	50
	2012		50.0	30.8	36.1	*	14.3	18.2		36.8	33.3	35.1	52.7	37
	2010													0
5	2011	*	33.3	20.0	21.2	*	14.5	13.3		18.8	21.6	20.3	36.2	69
	2012	*	44.4	42.9	40.0	*	12.5	13.3		33.3	40.0	36.4	37.2	22
	2010	50.0	54.5	50.0	40.0	*	14.3	17.6		36.1	53.8	43.5	51.9	62
1-5	2011	*	42.4	25.0	28.6	0.0	12.9	15.1		26.3	30.6	28.6	47.2	119
	2012	*	47.8	35.0	37.5	*	13.6	16.2		35.5	35.7	35.6	46.3	59
	2010	8	22	20	55	3	21	34	0	36	26	62	13,990	
Number Tested	2011	5	33	72	112	7	70	86	0	57	62	119	22,979	

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

#### JACK LOWE SR. (176)

Tested

23,868

# ITBS: READING TOTAL (\*\*READING PROFILE TOTAL)

# ITBS Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	2	3	5		0	0		3	4	7	1,729	28
1	2011		3	1	4	*	0	0		1	3	4	1,963	22
	2012		*	*	1	*	0	0		0	1	1	1,963	17
	2010	*	1	0	0	*	0	0		1	1	2	954	34
2	2011	*	2	1	3	*	0	0		0	5	5	1,018	28
	2012		1	1	2		*	0		1	1	2	1,017	20
	2010	3	3	3	5	*	0	0		4	5	9	2,683	62
1-2	2011	*	5	2	7	*	0	0		1	8	9	2,981	50
	2012		2	1	3	*	0	0		1	2	3	2,981	37
	2010													0
5	2011	*	1	1	2	*	1	1		1	1	2	701	69
	2012	*	1	1	2	*	0	0		0	2	2	822	22
	2010	3	3	3	5	*	0	0		4	5	9	2,683	62
1-5	2011	*	6	3	9	0	1	1		2	9	11	3,692	119
	2012	*	3	2	5	*	0	0		1	4	5	3,803	59
	2010	8	22	20	55	3	21	34	0	36	26	62	13,990	
Number Tested	2011	5	33	72	112	7	70	86	0	57	62	119	22,979	
	2012	1	23	20	56	3	22	37	0	31	28	59	23,868	

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

# ITBS Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	0.0	5.2	5.6	*	4.3	4.0		6.1	4.3	5.3	51.2	113
K**	2011	*	*	32.0	34.1		29.3	33.3		37.3	30.0	34.1	56.8	91
	2012	*	18.2	48.3	45.8	37.5	49.5	48.4		49.2	41.2	45.9	55.1	111
	2010	*	53.8	39.8	41.6	*	37.8	38.6		42.2	42.6	42.4	48.8	118
1	2011		50.0	32.3	34.6	*	31.5	31.6		32.8	35.7	34.0	53.1	106
	2012	*	*	32.5	34.0	*	30.2	30.7		39.6	28.3	34.0	50.7	94
	2010	100.0	55.6	68.1	68.8	33.3	68.1	67.6	*	65.5	72.4	69.0	54.6	116
2	2011	*	50.0	56.5	54.5	*	54.7	54.9		51.8	59.6	55.6	56.8	108
	2012		66.7	41.9	45.7	*	42.7	42.0		41.0	50.0	44.3	57.9	97
	2010	81.8	36.4	37.4	39.0	25.0	37.0	37.1	*	36.7	42.1	39.2	51.5	347
K-2	2011	50.0	53.6	40.3	41.3	20.0	38.5	39.9		40.4	43.3	41.6	55.6	305
	2012	*	48.0	41.2	42.0	23.1	41.0	40.5		43.5	39.1	41.7	54.5	302
	2010													0
5	2011	*	37.5	34.7	36.2	*	33.3	30.2		39.3	30.3	34.4	44.8	61
	2012	*	50.0	34.4	34.8	*	33.3	31.4		36.2	30.8	34.2	43.8	73
	2010	81.8	36.4	37.4	39.0	25.0	37.0	37.1	*	36.7	42.1	39.2	51.5	347
K-5	2011	44.4	50.0	39.4	40.5	18.2	37.7	38.3		40.2	40.7	40.4	53.3	366
	2012	*	48.4	39.8	40.7	17.6	39.4	38.6		41.9	37.7	40.3	52.2	375
														•
												a / =		

	2010	11	33	289	333	8	284	302	1	188	159	347	40,163
Number Tested	2011	9	36	302	351	11	305	326	0	199	167	366	51,019
rootou	2012	3	31	319	364	17	327	339	0	215	159	375	51,521

## **ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL (\*\*MATHEMATICS)**

# ITBS Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	0	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	2,358	113
K**	2011	*	*	3	5		3	5		4	2	6	2,815	91
	2012	*	1	10	11	0	9	9		8	3	11	2,472	111
	2010	*	2	9	13	*	8	8		10	5	15	2,266	118
1	2011		0	5	5	*	4	4		4	1	5	2,716	106
	2012	*	*	10	12	*	11	11		7	5	12	2,473	94
	2010	3	2	15	17	1	13	14	*	12	8	20	2,484	116
2	2011	*	3	15	18	*	12	12		7	14	21	2,746	108
	2012		2	13	15	*	12	12		8	7	15	2,843	97
	2010	5	4	24	30	1	21	22	*	22	13	35	7,108	347
K-2	2011	3	5	23	28	0	19	21		15	17	32	8,277	305
	2012	*	4	33	38	0	32	32		23	15	38	7,788	302
	2010													0
5	2011	*	1	5	6	*	4	4		4	2	6	1,395	61
	2012	*	0	5	4	*	5	5		3	2	5	1,426	73
	2010	5	4	24	30	1	21	22	*	22	13	35	7,108	347
K-5	2011	3	6	28	34	0	23	25		19	19	38	9,696	366
	2012	*	4	38	42	0	37	37		26	17	43	9,214	375
	2010	11	33	289	333	8	284	302	1	188	159	347	40,163	

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	2010	11	33	289	333	8	284	302	1	188	159	347	40,163
Number Tested	2011	9	36	302	351	11	305	326	0	199	167	366	51,019
Testeu	2012	3	31	319	364	17	327	339	0	215	159	375	51,521

### **ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION**

# ITBS Math (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	53.8	65.3	63.2	*	60.8	62.7		68.7	56.4	63.1	63.5	122
1	2011	*	66.7	51.6	53.3	*	50.0	52.6		52.3	52.3	52.3	66.7	109
	2012	*	*	55.0	58.5	*	55.8	56.8		56.3	60.9	58.5	62.5	94
	2010	57.1	55.6	78.7	73.9	50.0	76.3	75.7	*	71.2	78.3	74.8	61.4	119
2	2011	*	58.3	67.1	67.3	*	66.3	65.9		60.7	75.0	67.6	62.0	108
	2012		88.9	55.8	60.6	*	57.3	55.7		54.1	66.7	58.8	63.6	97
	2010	63.6	54.5	71.9	68.5	42.9	68.3	69.3	*	69.8	67.8	68.9	62.5	241
1-2	2011	*	62.5	59.0	60.1	40.0	57.9	59.0		56.2	64.6	59.9	64.4	217
	2012	*	92.9	55.4	59.6	*	56.5	56.3		55.0	63.4	58.6	63.0	191
	2010													0
5	2011	*	11.1	49.1	42.4	*	43.6	43.3		54.8	34.2	43.5	48.6	69
	2012	*	44.4	25.0	28.4	*	23.9	22.5		25.0	31.4	27.6	45.9	87
	2010	63.6	54.5	71.9	68.5	42.9	68.3	69.3	*	69.8	67.8	68.9	62.5	241
1-5	2011	71.4	48.5	56.7	55.8	30.8	54.5	55.2		55.9	56.0	55.9	59.7	286
	2012	*	73.9	46.2	50.2	0.0	46.9	45.7		45.3	53.8	48.9	57.8	278
														_
	2010	11	22	192	232	7	199	205	1	126	115	241	26,937	

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

	2010	11	22	192	232	7	199	205	1	126	115	241	26,937
Number Tested	2011	7	33	233	274	13	233	248	0	152	134	286	38,176
Testeu	2012	2	23	238	269	9	239	256	0	161	117	278	38,650

### **ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION**

# ITBS Math (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	5	25	31	*	25	26		19	15	34	4,129	122
1	2011	*	6	21	27	*	19	22		18	9	27	4,427	109
	2012	*	*	21	26	*	24	25		12	14	26	4,060	94
	2010	2	4	46	53	1	47	49	*	23	33	56	3,776	119
2	2011	*	4	30	36	*	29	29		20	19	39	3,810	108
	2012		5	21	27	*	21	22		15	12	27	3,820	97
	2010	5	9	71	84	1	72	75	*	42	48	90	7,905	241
1-2	2011	*	10	51	63	2	48	51		38	28	66	8,237	217
	2012	*	6	42	53	*	45	47		27	26	53	7,880	191
	2010													0
5	2011	*	0	3	3	*	3	3		1	2	3	1,416	69
	2012	*	0	1	0	*	1	1		1	0	1	1,169	87
	2010	5	9	71	84	1	72	75	*	42	48	90	7,905	241
1-5	2011	2	10	54	66	2	51	54		39	30	69	9,660	286
	2012	*	6	43	53	0	46	48		28	26	54	9,049	278
	2010	11	22	192	232	7	199	205	1	126	115	241	26,937	

# NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	2010	11	22	192	232	7	199	205	1	126	115	241	26,937
Number Tested	2011	7	33	233	274	13	233	248	0	152	134	286	38,176
Testeu	2012	2	23	238	269	9	239	256	0	161	117	278	38,650

# Logramos: READING TOTAL

# LOG Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			75.6	74.7	*	75.0	77.1		75.0	76.5	75.6	89.3	86
к	2011	*		87.3	88.6		87.5	87.5		84.6	90.9	87.5	90.9	72
	2012	*		81.5	81.5	33.3	81.5	81.5		75.6	89.2	81.9	89.9	83
	2010			93.4	93.3	*	94.4	94.4		89.8	97.6	93.4	89.1	91
1	2011			89.2	89.0	*	89.0	89.0		89.8	88.2	89.2	89.4	83
	2012	*		85.7	85.9	*	85.9	85.9		87.5	84.2	85.9	89.2	78
	2010			92.8	92.7	*	92.7	95.0	*	95.0	90.7	92.8	93.8	83
2	2011	*		93.6	93.3	*	94.7	94.7		95.5	91.4	93.7	94.9	79
	2012			90.9	93.3	*	90.9	90.9		88.0	96.3	90.9	93.3	77
	2010			87.3	87.1	*	87.5	88.9	*	85.8	89.1	87.3	90.7	260
K-2	2011	*		90.1	90.3	62.5	90.4	90.4		90.2	90.2	90.2	91.7	234
	2012	*		86.0	86.8	40.0	86.0	86.0		83.7	89.2	86.1	90.8	238
	2010													0
5	2011												93.5	0
	2012			95.3	95.1	*	95.2	95.3		92.5	100.0	95.3	91.4	64
	2010			87.3	87.1	*	87.5	88.9	*	85.8	89.1	87.3	90.7	260
K-5	2011	*		90.1	90.3	62.5	90.4	90.4		90.2	90.2	90.2	91.9	234
	2012	*		88.0	88.5	50.0	88.0	88.0		85.7	91.3	88.1	90.9	302
	2010	0	0	260	255	5	256	252	1	141	119	260	19,041	

# PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

	2010	0	0	260	255	5	256	252	1	141	119	260	19,041
Number Tested	2011	2	0	232	227	8	229	229	0	132	102	234	21,141
Tested	2012	2	0	299	295	12	299	300	0	175	126	302	21,150

# Logramos: READING TOTAL

# LOG Read (2)

NUMBER AT	OR ABOVE THE	80th PERCENTILE
-----------	--------------	-----------------

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			24	23	*	24	24		15	9	24	3,523	86
к	2011	*		20	20		20	20		11	9	20	3,964	72
	2012	*		41	42	1	41	41		21	21	42	3,679	83
	2010			39	38	*	39	39		19	20	39	2,529	91
1	2011			28	27	*	28	28		18	10	28	2,459	83
	2012	*		28	28	*	28	28		15	13	28	2,437	78
	2010			38	38	*	38	38	*	13	25	38	3,040	83
2	2011	*		27	26	*	26	26		12	15	27	3,227	79
	2012			21	21	*	21	21		11	10	21	2,934	77
	2010			101	99	*	101	101	*	47	54	101	9,092	260
K-2	2011	*		75	73	0	74	74		41	34	75	9,650	234
	2012	*		90	91	1	90	90		47	44	91	9,050	238
	2010													0
5	2011												856	0
	2012			23	21	*	22	23		10	13	23	912	64
	2010			101	99	*	101	101	*	47	54	101	9,092	260
K-5	2011	*		75	73	0	74	74		41	34	75	10,519	234
	2012	*		113	112	1	112	113		57	57	114	9,962	302

	2010	0	0	260	255	5	256	252	1	141	119	260	19,041
Number Tested	2011	2	0	232	227	8	229	229	0	132	102	234	21,141
lested	2012	2	0	299	295	12	299	300	0	175	126	302	21,150

	Level 1 in 2011		Level 2	in 2011	Level 3	in 2011	Levels 1-3 in 2011		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2012							
5	3	33.3	0	-	0	-	3	33.3	
ALL	3	33.3	0	-	0	-	3	33.3	

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

# TELPAS

## PERFORMANCE IN 2012

#### PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite		Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level	
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning	87	93.5	88	94.6	91	97.8	88	94.6	88	94.6	94.6		Beginning		-		
KN	Intermediate	4	4.3	4	4.3	1	1.1	2	2.2	2	2.2		-	Intermediate	-		-	
(93)	Advanced	2	2.2	1	1.1	1	1.1	3	3.2	3	3.2			Advanced	-	-	-	-
	Advanced High	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			Advanced High	-	—	-	-
	Beginning	23	27.1	34	40.0	70	82.4	56	65.9	56	65.9			Beginning		5	0	
1	Intermediate	41	48.2	42	49.4	14	16.5	26	30.6	26	30.6		76	Intermediate	23		1	
(85)	Advanced	20	23.5	9	10.6	1	1.2	3	3.5	3	3.5		25 (32.9%)	Advanced	2	0	0	)
	Advanced High	1	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			Advanced High	0	0	C	)
	Beginning	2	2.5	3	3.8	13	16.5	13	16.5	13	16.5			Beginning	12			
2	Intermediate	19	24.1	27	34.2	37	46.8	39	49.4	39	49.4		77	Intermediate	14		25	
(79)	Advanced	37	46.8	37	46.8	19	24.1	20	25.3	20	25.3		36 (46.8%)	Advanced	1	14	4	ł
	Advanced High	21	26.6	12	15.2	10	12.7	7	8.9	7	8.9			Advanced High	1	4	2	<u>}</u>
	Beginning	5	5.6	6	6.7	16	17.8	15	16.7	15	16.7			Beginning		1	0	
3	Intermediate	17	18.9	33	36.7	39	43.3	21	23.3	21	23.3		83	Intermediate	4		16	
(90)	Advanced	33	36.7	34	37.8	24	26.7	31	34.4	32	35.6		39 (47.0%)	Advanced	1	12	18	8
	Advanced High	35	38.9	17	18.9	11	12.2	23	25.6	22	24.4			Advanced High	0	3	19	9
	Beginning	2	2.5	4	4.9	6	7.4	11	13.6	11	13.6	Í		Beginning	Beginning 11			
4	Intermediate	23	28.4	25	30.9	24	29.6	30	37.0	30	37.0		80	Intermediate	6		24	
(81)	Advanced	24	29.6	24	29.6	31	38.3	23	28.4	23	28.4		28 (35.0%)	Advanced	0	6	1	7
	Advanced High	32	39.5	28	34.6	20	24.7	17	21.0	17	21.0			Advanced High	1	1	14	4

# **PERFORMANCE IN 2012**

#### PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	oosite
(N Rated)	2012 Level	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%
	Beginning	2	2.5	3	3.8	4	5.1	6	7.6	6	7.6
5	Intermediate	14	17.7	18	22.8	22	27.8	19	24.1	19	24.1
(79)	Advanced	18	22.8	18	22.8	30	38.0	22	27.8	23	29.1
	Advanced High	45	57.0	40	50.6	23	29.1	32	40.5	31	39.2
	Beginning	121	23.9	138	27.2	200	39.4	189	37.3	189	37.3
ALL	Intermediate	118	23.3	149	29.4	137	27.0	137	27.0	137	27.0
(507)	Advanced	134	26.4	123	24.3	106	20.9	102	20.1	104	20.5
	Advanced High	134	26.4	97	19.1	64	12.6	79	15.6	77	15.2

Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level			
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Adv High					
	Beginning			15			
75	Intermediate	4					
48 (64.0%)	Advanced	1	13	8			
	Advanced High	0	4	2	6		
	Beginning		8	9			
393	Intermediate	51		81			
176 (44.8%)	Advanced	5	45	47			
	Advanced High	2	12	6	1		

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2011 to 2012.