

2012-13 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2.	Contents Table of Contents	3.	Notes Notes and Data Descriptions
STUI	DENTS AND STAFF		
5.	Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6.	Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7.	Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8.	Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9.	Teachers Teacher Statistics		
STA	<u>AR</u>		
10.	3-8 Reading STAAR 3-8 Reading	13.	3-8 Writing STAAR 3-8 Writing
15.	3-8 Math STAAR 3-8 Mathematics	18.	3-8 Science STAAR 3-8 Science
20.	Spanish Reading Spanish STAAR 3-8 Reading	23.	Spanish Writing Spanish STAAR 3-8 Writing
STA	AR L		
	3-8 Math STAAR L 3-8 Mathematics		
TBS	<u>& LOGRAMOS</u>		
28.	Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	29.	ITBS ReadITBS Reading
31.	ITBS Math ITBS Mathematics	35.	LOG Read Logramos Reading
ENG	LISH PROFICIENCY		
37.	TELPASTexas English Language Proficiency Assessment System		

2011-12 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11 forward, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2011-12. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2010-11 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2010-11 and 2011-12.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

JOHN F. PEELER (192)

STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR M

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from the standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR M statistics are computed separately, following the TEA's reporting practice.
- Exclusive for 2012: Until standards are released in Spring 2013, the "Percentage Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Percentage Meeting Equivalent TAKS Standard" for reading and mathematics. (Equivalent standards not produced by TEA for other STAAR subjects.) The "Number not Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Average Number of Items Correct."
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC reading tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. STAAR compositions are rated twice on a scale of 0 to 4. The two ratings are summed for a range of possible score points from 0 to 8.
- STAAR M reports not currently available. At time of publication, insufficient information was available from the TEA to produce meaningful statistics for reporting.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading statistics are based on the Reading Profile Total (a combination of the Vocabulary, Word Analysis, and Listening subtests) for all years available. All other grades ITBS reading statistics, and Logramos reading statistics for all grades, are based on Reading Total results. (Reading Total results are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Kindergarten ITBS mathematics statistics are based on the Mathematics subtest. All other grades' statistics are based on Mathematics Total results. (Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2011 to 2012, the TEA did not report the 2011 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2012, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2011.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	48
KN	56
1	60
2	68
3	61
4	53
5	46
ALL	392

STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnioity/Page	Stud	lents	Teachers			
Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Black/African American	4	1.0	4	14.3		
American Indian/Alaska Native	2	0.5	*	*		
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1	0.3	*	*		
Hispanic	382	97.4	15	53.6		
White	3	0.8	9	32.1		
Multiple	0	0.0	0	0.0		
Other* (teachers only)	_	_	0	0.0		
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0	_	_		

^{*}For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	331	84.4
Economically disadvantaged	386	98.5
Limited English proficient (LEP)	265	67.6
Special education	35	8.9
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	33	8.4

			African A	American	America	ın Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wh	nite	Multiple	category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2010	44	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	44	100.0	0	0.0	_	_
PK	2011	41	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	39	95.1	2	4.9	0	0.0
	2012	48	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	47	97.9	1	2.1	0	0.0
	2010	61	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	98.4	1	1.6	_	_
KN	2011	56	1	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	55	98.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	56	2	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	52	92.9	2	3.6	0	0.0
	2010	60	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	59	98.3	1	1.7	_	_
1	2011	66	1	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	65	98.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	60	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	59	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	59	100.0	0	0.0	_	_
2	2011	63	1	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	62	98.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	68	2	2.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	66	97.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	54	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.9	53	98.1	0	0.0	_	_
3	2011	59	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	59	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	61	0	0.0	2	3.3	0	0.0	59	96.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	68	1	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	67	98.5	0	0.0	_	_
4	2011	53	1	1.9	0	0.0	1	1.9	51	96.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	53	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	53	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	45	1	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	44	97.8	0	0.0	_	_
5	2011	73	2	2.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	70	95.9	1	1.4	0	0.0
	2012	46	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.2	45	97.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	391	2	0.5	0	0.0	1	0.3	386	98.7	2	0.5	_	_
PK-5	2011	411	6	1.5	0	0.0	1	0.2	401	97.6	3	0.7	0	0.0
	2012	392	4	1.0	2	0.5	1	0.3	382	97.4	3	0.8	0	0.0

JOHN F. PEELER (192) 6

			Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	P	Special E	ducation	At F	Rlsk	TA	\G	New (to	District)	Ger	nder	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2010	44	43	97.7	37	84.1	0	0.0	36	81.8	0	0.0	44	100.0	47.7	52.3	0.0
PK	2011	41	41	100.0	33	80.5	0	0.0	38	92.7	0	0.0	41	100.0	58.5	41.5	0.0
	2012	48	48	100.0	35	72.9	4	8.3	36	75.0	0	0.0	44	91.7	47.9	52.1	0.0
	2010	61	54	88.5	41	67.2	3	4.9	46	75.4	0	0.0	16	26.2	47.5	52.5	1.6
KN	2011	56	55	98.2	41	73.2	2	3.6	52	92.9	0	0.0	12	21.4	41.1	58.9	0.0
	2012	56	54	96.4	35	62.5	2	3.6	41	73.2	0	0.0	21	37.5	66.1	33.9	0.0
	2010	60	60	100.0	48	80.0	3	5.0	58	96.7	6	10.0	3	5.0	41.7	58.3	5.0
1	2011	66	62	93.9	45	68.2	3	4.5	49	74.2	2	3.0	3	4.5	54.5	45.5	9.1
	2012	60	59	98.3	43	71.7	4	6.7	52	86.7	1	1.7	6	10.0	48.3	51.7	3.3
	2010	59	50	84.7	36	61.0	4	6.8	53	89.8	6	10.2	1	1.7	52.5	47.5	1.7
2	2011	63	62	98.4	48	76.2	4	6.3	58	92.1	8	12.7	2	3.2	39.7	60.3	6.3
	2012	68	67	98.5	43	63.2	6	8.8	61	89.7	4	5.9	7	10.3	58.8	41.2	1.5
	2010	54	54	100.0	33	61.1	3	5.6	41	75.9	11	20.4	1	1.9	44.4	55.6	5.6
3	2011	59	54	91.5	35	59.3	5	8.5	53	89.8	7	11.9	3	5.1	49.2	50.8	0.0
	2012	61	61	100.0	49	80.3	6	9.8	58	95.1	7	11.5	2	3.3	37.7	62.3	0.0
	2010	68	66	97.1	38	55.9	5	7.4	49	72.1	12	17.6	2	2.9	55.9	44.1	8.8
4	2011	53	53	100.0	32	60.4	4	7.5	37	69.8	13	24.5	2	3.8	49.1	50.9	0.0
	2012	53	52	98.1	31	58.5	8	15.1	46	86.8	11	20.8	3	5.7	50.9	49.1	0.0
	2010	45	45	100.0	10	22.2	3	6.7	23	51.1	13	28.9	0	0.0	46.7	53.3	2.2
5	2011	73	72	98.6	41	56.2	7	9.6	53	72.6	14	19.2	0	0.0	57.5	42.5	2.7
	2012	46	45	97.8	29	63.0	5	10.9	37	80.4	10	21.7	1	2.2	50.0	50.0	2.2
	2010	391	372	95.1	243	62.1	21	5.4	306	78.3	48	12.3	67	17.1	48.3	51.7	3.8
PK-5	2011	411	399	97.1	275	66.9	25	6.1	340	82.7	44	10.7	63	15.3	49.9	50.1	2.9
	2012	392	386	98.5	265	67.6	35	8.9	331	84.4	33	8.4	84	21.4	51.5	48.5	1.0

JOHN F. PEELER (192) 7

			Average Daily Membership		Average Daily Attendance				arly Transad	ctions		nuously	Stabilit	y Rate
Grade	Year	N	District	N	%	District N	District %	N	%	District %	N	District	%	District
	2010	62	13,234	60	96.5	12,616	95.3	8	12.8	21.5	58	11,233	92.9	84.9
KN	2011	54	13,211	52	96.2	12,645	95.7	5	9.2	21.4	52	11,602	96.1	87.8
	2012	55	13,633	53	95.3	13,086	96.0	13	23.4	20.1	44	11,731	79.3	86.0
	2010	61	13,848	58	96.2	13,286	95.9	9	14.9	20.0	56	11,978	92.5	86.5
1	2011	66	13,458	64	97.2	12,967	96.4	9	13.7	19.0	61	12,047	92.5	89.5
	2012	59	14,026	57	96.2	13,533	96.5	8	13.6	18.6	54	12,292	91.7	87.6
	2010	60	13,441	58	96.9	12,947	96.3	4	6.7	18.9	57	11,794	95.6	87.7
2	2011	61	13,013	60	97.5	12,593	96.8	7	11.4	17.1	60	11,853	98.0	91.1
	2012	64	13,338	63	98.0	12,932	97.0	9	14.0	17.0	59	11,883	92.0	89.1
	2010	53	13,291	52	97.8	12,854	96.7	5	9.5	17.6	50	11,815	94.7	88.9
3	2011	57	12,619	56	97.5	12,254	97.1	5	8.7	16.6	58	11,604	100.0	92.0
	2012	60	12,874	59	97.9	12,508	97.2	10	16.7	15.6	56	11,592	93.6	90.0
	2010	67	12,300	65	97.7	11,900	96.8	4	6.0	17.2	65	10,987	97.1	89.3
4	2011	51	12,506	50	97.9	12,150	97.1	4	7.8	15.7	49	11,544	95.2	92.3
	2012	52	12,493	50	97.8	12,139	97.2	10	19.4	16.7	48	11,218	93.0	89.8
	2010	45	11,688	44	97.2	11,310	96.8	4	8.9	17.0	42	10,453	93.7	89.4
5	2011	71	11,742	69	97.7	11,419	97.2	2	2.8	8.2	70	10,892	98.6	92.8
	2012	46	12,562	45	97.6	12,220	97.3	1	2.2	5.4	45	11,364	98.4	90.5
	2010	347	77,802	337	97.0	74,914	96.3	34	9.8	18.8	328	68,260	94.5	87.7
KN-5	2011	361	76,549	351	97.4	74,028	96.7	32	8.9	16.5	350	69,542	97.0	90.8
	2012	336	78,926	326	97.1	76,419	96.8	51	15.2	15.7	306	70,080	91.2	88.8

Teachers Teacher Statistics

Teachers: 28

DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	4	14.3			
Hispanic	15	53.6			
White	9	32.1			
Multiple	0	0.0			
Other	0	0.0			

Gender	Number	Percentage			
Female	22	78.6			
Male	6	21.4			

ATTENDANCE / RETENTION

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2009-10	7.4	_
2010-11	6.7	82.1
2011-12	6.2	93.1

YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage
Beginning (0)	2	7.1
1	1	3.6
2	2	7.1
3	2	7.1
4	3	10.7
5	1	3.6
1-3	5	17.9
More than 3	21	75.0
1 - 5	9	32.1
6 - 10	10	35.7
11 - 20	4	14.3
More than 20	3	10.7

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			78.6	78.6	*	*	66.7		*	72.7	78.6	84.0	14
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			80.0	79.2	*	*	58.3		66.7	100.0	80.0	76.8	25
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			83.3	84.2	*	*	*		84.6	83.3	84.2	80.3	19
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			80.7	80.7	*	69.2	61.5		77.4	85.2	81.0	80.3	58

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	57	57	4	13	26	0	31	27	58	22,169

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			21	21	*	*	19		*	22	21	23	14
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			26	26	*	*	22		27	26	26	26	25
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			31	31	*	*	*		32	29	31	29	19
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			26	26	*	23	21		28	25	27	26	58

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	57	57	4	13	26	0	31	27	58	22,169

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	47.6	50.4	57.6
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	64.8	58.2	59.0
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	68.4	64.8	68.7
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	61.8	58.5	61.8

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*		24	24	*	*	21		24	25	24	24	26

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	1	0	25	25	2	4	13	0	15	11	26	7,036

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	Composition ¹ GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	Composition ¹ GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.7	4.1	59.0	57.3

¹Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=between Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=between Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=between Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			73.1	73.1	*	72.1	72.3		66.7	76.5	73.1	77.0	52
	2010													
4	2011													
·	2012			93.9	93.8	*	96.3	91.4		87.5	100.0	93.9	79.5	49
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			72.1	72.7	*	58.6	60.0		78.3	66.7	72.7	76.2	44
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			79.9	79.9	60.0	74.7	75.0		78.5	81.3	80.0	77.6	145

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	144	144	10	99	112	0	65	80	145	35,367

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			25	25	*	24	24		24	25	25	27	52
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			34	34	*	35	33		34	34	34	28	49
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			28	28	*	24	24		30	25	28	30	44

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	55.9	51.4	59.0	54.8	41.7
	2010					
4	2011					
	2012	71.1	70.4	76.4	64.5	71.4
	2010					
5	2011					
	2012	56.7	58.0	54.2	53.1	56.8
	2010					
3-5	2011					
	2012	61.3	59.8	63.4	57.6	56.3

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			28	28	*	25	25		30	25	28	30	44

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	43	44	4	29	30	0	23	21	44	10,697

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	Organisms and Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	65.9	71.4	62.7	57.0

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			83.7	83.7	*	83.7	83.7		83.3	84.0	83.7	88.7	43
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			91.7	91.7	*	91.3	91.3		88.9	93.3	91.7	84.2	24
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			60.0	60.0	*	60.0	60.0		50.0	66.7	60.0	77.4	25
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			79.3	79.3	28.6	79.1	79.1		75.7	81.8	79.3	84.3	92

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	92	92	7	91	91	0	37	55	92	14,101

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			21	21	*	21	21		21	20	21	23	43
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			30	30	*	30	30		30	30	30	26	24
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			23	23	*	23	23		22	25	23	28	25
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			24	24	18	24	24		23	24	24	25	92

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	92	92	7	91	91	0	37	55	92	14,101

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	60.5	54.3	45.1
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	59.2	70.8	69.3
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	42.8	56.6	49.4
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	55.3	59.2	52.6

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			28	28	*	28	28		26	29	28	26	24

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	24	24	2	23	23	0	9	15	24	4,902

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	Composition ¹ GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	Composition ¹ GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.7	4.1	59.0	57.3

¹Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4. The ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition.

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			66.7	66.7	*	66.7	66.7		*	*	66.7	74.0	6
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			66.7	66.7	*	66.7	66.7		*	*	66.7	64.2	6

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	6	6	2	6	6	0	4	2	6	682

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			23	23	*	23	23		*	*	23	26	6
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			23	23	*	23	23		*	*	23	24	6

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	6	6	2	6	6	0	4	2	6	682

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	53.3	43.8	59.3	47.9	36.1
	2010					
3-5	2011					
	2012	53.3	43.8	59.3	47.9	36.1

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

		RE	ADING TOTA	L (**READI	NG PROFILE	TOTAL)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	0.0	33.3	22.7	61.2	22
K**	2011	43.8	*	42.1	63.3	19
	2012	33.3	35.7	34.8	62.2	23
	2010	41.2	*	42.1	55.2	19
1	2011	9.1	17.6	14.3	58.1	28
	2012	46.2	37.5	42.9	55.4	21
	2010	30.0	100.0	46.2	48.6	26
2	2011	33.3	*	45.0	50.6	20
	2012	13.0	71.4	26.7	49.8	30
	2010					0
5	2011	14.3	36.8	27.3	36.2	33
	2012	5.3	87.5	19.6	37.2	46
	2010	35.1	87.5	44.4	51.9	45
1-5	2011	20.0	34.1	27.2	47.2	81
	2012	14.9	65.2	26.8	46.3	97

	2010	44	23	67	20,252	
Number Tested	2011	56	44	100	29,740	
. 55164	2012	83	37	120	30,807	

		N	/ ATHEM ATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHEM	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	25.5	40.0	29.0	51.2	62
K**	2011	32.7	*	32.1	56.8	56
	2012	39.0	64.3	45.5	55.1	55
	2010	21.8	*	22.8	48.8	57
1	2011	25.5	29.4	26.5	53.1	68
	2012	42.3	50.0	43.3	50.7	60
	2010	34.0	100.0	40.7	54.6	59
2	2011	56.9	*	58.7	56.8	63
	2012	60.7	85.7	63.2	57.9	68
	2010					0
5	2011	40.8	26.3	36.8	44.8	68
	2012	15.4	87.5	32.4	43.8	34
	2010	27.1	56.5	30.9	51.5	178
K-5	2011	39.5	33.3	38.4	53.3	255
	2012	43.9	70.3	48.4	52.2	217

	2010	155	23	178	40,163	
Number Tested	2011	210	45	255	51,019	
restea	2012	180	37	217	51,521	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*		23.8	29.4	*	*	0.0		10.0	33.3	22.7	61.2	22
K**	2011		*	38.9	44.4	*	*	43.8		*	46.7	42.1	63.3	19
	2012	*	*	35.0	31.8	*	*	33.3		31.3	42.9	34.8	62.2	23
	2010	*		38.9	42.1	*	42.9	41.2		25.0	54.5	42.1	55.2	19
1	2011		*	14.8	15.4	*	0.0	9.1		6.7	23.1	14.3	58.1	28
	2012			42.9	40.0	*	*	46.2		44.4	41.7	42.9	55.4	21
	2010			46.2	36.8	*	*	30.0		47.1	44.4	46.2	48.6	26
2	2011		*	42.1	45.0	*	*	33.3		50.0	41.7	45.0	50.6	20
	2012		*	28.6	24.1	16.7	0.0	13.0		23.5	30.8	26.7	49.8	30
	2010	*		43.2	39.5	*	40.0	35.1		40.0	50.0	44.4	51.9	45
1-2	2011		*	26.1	28.3	*	18.2	23.1		21.7	32.0	27.1	54.4	48
	2012		*	34.7	30.6	28.6	20.0	25.0		30.8	36.0	33.3	52.7	51
	2010													0
5	2011		*	29.0	25.0	0.0	*	14.3		25.0	30.8	27.3	36.2	33
	2012			17.8	20.0	*	0.0	5.3		25.0	13.6	19.6	37.2	46
	2010	*		43.2	39.5	*	40.0	35.1		40.0	50.0	44.4	51.9	45
1-5	2011		*	27.3	26.9	0.0	20.0	20.0		23.3	31.6	27.2	47.2	81
	2012		*	26.6	25.5	16.7	5.1	14.9		28.0	25.5	26.8	46.3	97

	2010	1	0	44	38	3	10	37	0	25	20	45	13,990
Number Tested	2011	0	4	77	78	11	15	40	0	43	38	81	22,979
Toolog	2012	0	2	94	94	12	39	74	0	50	47	97	23,868

JOHN F. PEELER (192) 29

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*		3	3	*	*	0		0	3	3	1,717	22
K**	2011		*	1	1	*	*	0		*	1	1	2,106	19
	2012	*	*	2	1	*	*	1		1	1	2	2,027	23
	2010	*		1	1	*	1	1		0	1	1	1,729	19
1	2011		*	2	2	*	0	0		0	2	2	1,963	28
	2012			4	3	*	*	3		1	3	4	1,963	21
	2010			1	0	*	*	0		1	0	1	954	26
2	2011		*	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,018	20
	2012		*	2	2	0	0	0		0	2	2	1,017	30
	2010	*		2	1	*	1	1		1	1	2	2,683	45
1-2	2011		*	2	2	*	0	0		0	2	2	2,981	48
	2012		*	6	5	0	0	3		1	5	6	2,981	51
	2010													0
5	2011	·	*	1	0	0	*	0		0	1	1	701	33
	2012			2	3	*	0	2		2	1	3	822	46
	2010	*		2	1	*	1	1		1	1	2	2,683	45
1-5	2011		*	3	2	0	0	0		0	3	3	3,692	81
	2012		*	8	8	0	0	5		3	6	9	3,803	97

	2010	1	0	44	38	3	10	37	0	25	20	45	13,990
Number Tested	2011	0	4	77	78	11	15	40	0	43	38	81	22,979
Toolog	2012	0	2	94	94	12	39	74	0	50	47	97	23,868

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*		27.9	29.6	*	26.8	25.5		24.1	33.3	29.0	51.2	62
K**	2011		*	32.7	32.7	*	31.7	32.7		34.8	30.3	32.1	56.8	56
	2012	*	*	42.3	45.3	*	34.3	39.0		47.2	42.1	45.5	55.1	55
	2010	*		23.2	22.8	*	26.7	21.8		33.3	15.2	22.8	48.8	57
1	2011		*	26.9	26.6	*	28.9	25.5		32.4	19.4	26.5	53.1	68
	2012			43.3	42.4	*	41.9	42.3		37.9	48.4	43.3	50.7	60
	2010			40.7	38.0	*	41.7	34.0		45.2	35.7	40.7	54.6	59
2	2011		*	58.1	58.1	*	56.3	56.9		68.0	52.6	58.7	56.8	63
	2012		*	62.1	62.7	83.3	53.5	60.7		65.0	60.7	63.2	57.9	68
	2010	*		30.7	29.8	10.0	31.1	27.1		34.5	27.7	30.9	51.5	178
K-2	2011		*	39.1	39.2	22.2	39.6	39.1		43.5	35.3	39.0	55.6	187
	2012	*	*	50.0	50.8	50.0	43.8	48.7		51.4	51.3	51.4	54.5	183
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	38.5	37.3	0.0	47.4	40.8		45.9	25.8	36.8	44.8	68
	2012			30.3	33.3	*	5.9	15.4		36.8	26.7	32.4	43.8	34
	2010	*		30.7	29.8	10.0	31.1	27.1		34.5	27.7	30.9	51.5	178
K-5	2011	*	*	39.0	38.7	13.3	41.3	39.5		44.3	33.1	38.4	53.3	255
	2012	*	*	46.9	48.1	40.0	39.1	43.9		49.2	47.3	48.4	52.2	217

	2010	2	0	176	161	10	122	155	0	84	94	178	40,163
Number Tested	2011	1	5	249	248	15	172	210	0	122	133	255	51,019
Toolog	2012	2	3	211	212	15	138	180	0	124	93	217	51,521

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*		2	2	*	2	2		2	0	2	2,358	62
K**	2011		*	4	4	*	3	4		2	2	4	2,815	56
	2012	*	*	1	1	*	0	1		0	1	1	2,472	55
	2010	*		2	2	*	2	2		1	1	2	2,266	57
1	2011		*	4	4	*	3	3		3	1	4	2,716	68
	2012			7	7	*	5	6		2	5	7	2,473	60
	2010			3	3	*	3	3		1	2	3	2,484	59
2	2011		*	5	6	*	5	5		4	2	6	2,746	63
	2012		*	20	20	2	10	15		11	9	20	2,843	68
	2010	*		7	7	0	7	7		4	3	7	7,108	178
K-2	2011		*	13	14	0	11	12		9	5	14	8,277	187
	2012	*	*	28	28	2	15	22		13	15	28	7,788	183
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	6	6	0	3	3		4	2	6	1,395	68
	2012			2	2	*	0	0		2	0	2	1,426	34
	2010	*		7	7	0	7	7		4	3	7	7,108	178
K-5	2011	*	*	19	20	0	14	15		13	7	20	9,696	255
	2012	*	*	30	30	2	15	22		15	15	30	9,214	217

	2010	2	0	176	161	10	122	155	0	84	94	178	40,163
Number Tested	2011	1	5	249	248	15	172	210	0	122	133	255	51,019
Toolog	2012	2	3	211	212	15	138	180	0	124	93	217	51,521

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*		35.7	35.1	*	35.6	34.5		33.3	36.4	35.1	63.5	57
1	2011		*	41.8	40.6	*	37.8	39.2		37.8	45.2	41.2	66.7	68
	2012			63.3	62.7	*	60.5	63.5		65.5	61.3	63.3	62.5	60
	2010			35.6	36.0	*	41.7	34.0		35.5	35.7	35.6	61.4	59
2	2011		*	53.2	53.2	*	50.0	53.4		56.0	52.6	54.0	62.0	63
	2012		*	77.3	77.6	83.3	69.8	77.0		82.5	71.4	77.9	63.6	68
	2010	*		35.7	35.5	42.9	38.3	34.3		34.5	36.1	35.3	62.5	116
1-2	2011		*	47.3	46.8	28.6	44.1	46.8		45.2	49.3	47.3	64.4	131
	2012		*	70.6	70.6	88.9	65.1	70.8		75.4	66.1	71.1	63.0	128
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	53.0	52.9	16.7	59.0	50.0		50.0	54.8	52.2	48.6	69
	2012			57.6	57.6	*	64.7	50.0		52.6	60.0	55.9	45.9	34
	2010	*		35.7	35.5	42.9	38.3	34.3		34.5	36.1	35.3	62.5	116
1-5	2011	*	*	49.2	49.0	23.1	48.5	47.8		47.0	51.0	49.0	59.7	200
	2012		*	67.9	67.9	78.6	65.0	66.9		70.5	64.9	67.9	57.8	162

	2010	1	0	115	107	7	81	108	0	55	61	116	26,937
Number Tested	2011	1	4	195	194	13	132	159	0	100	100	200	38,176
restea	2012	0	2	159	159	14	103	139	0	88	74	162	38,650

JOHN F. PEELER (192) 33

ITBS Math (4) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*		4	4	*	3	4		1	3	4	4,129	57
1	2011		*	9	9	*	5	5		7	2	9	4,427	68
	2012			14	14	*	11	14		4	10	14	4,060	60
	2010			7	6	*	6	7		3	4	7	3,776	59
2	2011		*	6	7	*	6	6		3	4	7	3,810	63
	2012		*	29	30	4	15	24		18	12	30	3,820	68
	2010	*		11	10	0	9	11		4	7	11	7,905	116
1-2	2011		*	15	16	0	11	11		10	6	16	8,237	131
	2012		*	43	44	5	26	38		22	22	44	7,880	128
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	10	10	0	8	8		6	4	10	1,416	69
	2012			5	5	*	2	2		3	2	5	1,169	34
	2010	*		11	10	0	9	11		4	7	11	7,905	116
1-5	2011	*	*	25	26	0	19	19		16	10	26	9,660	200
	2012		*	48	49	5	28	40		25	24	49	9,049	162

	2010	1	0	115	107	7	81	108	0	55	61	116	26,937
Number Tested	2011	1	4	195	194	13	132	159	0	100	100	200	38,176
rested	2012	0	2	159	159	14	103	139	0	88	74	162	38,650

JOHN F. PEELER (192) 34

LOG Read (1) Logramos: READING TOTAL

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			78.9	85.7	*	81.1	78.9		83.3	75.0	78.9	89.3	38
K	2011			83.8	83.8		83.8	86.1		84.2	83.3	83.8	90.9	37
	2012			93.8	93.5		93.8	93.8		90.0	100.0	93.8	89.9	32
	2010			85.0	85.0	*	85.0	85.0		81.3	87.5	85.0	89.1	40
1	2011			76.3	80.6	*	76.3	76.3		81.0	70.6	76.3	89.4	38
	2012			74.4	74.4	*	74.4	74.4		75.0	73.7	74.4	89.2	39
	2010			97.0	96.8	*	97.0	97.0		100.0	94.7	97.0	93.8	33
2	2011			95.3	97.6	*	95.3	95.3		100.0	92.3	95.3	94.9	43
	2012			86.8	86.8		86.5	86.8		91.3	80.0	86.8	93.3	38
	2010			86.5	88.7	*	87.3	86.5		87.5	85.7	86.5	90.7	111
K-2	2011			85.6	87.8	*	85.6	86.3		87.7	83.6	85.6	91.7	118
	2012			84.4	84.3	*	84.3	84.4		85.7	82.6	84.4	90.8	109
	2010	0	0	111	106	5	110	111	0	48	63	111	19,041	
Number	2011	0	0	154	151	2	154	153	0	75	79	154	21,141	

	2010	0	0	111	106	5	110	111	0	48	63	111	19,041
Number Tested	2011	0	0	154	151	2	154	153	0	75	79	154	21,141
rested	2012	0	0	109	108	2	108	109	0	63	46	109	21,150

LOG Read (2) Logramos: READING TOTAL

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			11	11	*	11	11		7	4	11	3,523	38
K	2011			22	22		22	22		12	10	22	3,964	37
	2012			19	18		19	19		11	8	19	3,679	32
	2010			14	14	*	14	14		3	11	14	2,529	40
1	2011			10	10	*	10	10		7	3	10	2,459	38
	2012			9	9	*	9	9		4	5	9	2,437	39
	2010			19	18	*	19	19		9	10	19	3,040	33
2	2011			23	23	*	23	23		8	15	23	3,227	43
	2012			16	16		15	16		10	6	16	2,934	38
	2010			44	43	*	44	44		19	25	44	9,092	111
K-2	2011			55	55	*	55	55		27	28	55	9,650	118
	2012			44	43	*	43	44		25	19	44	9,050	109
	2010	0	0	111	106	5	110	111	0	48	63	111	19,041	
Number Tested	2011	0	0	154	151	2	154	153	0	75	79	154	21,141	
133.00	2012	0	0	109	108	2	108	109	0	63	46	109	21,150	

PERFORMANCE IN 2012

NCE IN 2012 PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	23	67.6	23	67.6	32	94.1	31	91.2	31	91.2
KN	Intermediate	10	29.4	10	29.4	1	2.9	2	5.9	2	5.9
(34)	Advanced	0	0.0	1	2.9	1	2.9	1	2.9	1	2.9
	Advanced High	1	2.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Beginning	9	19.6	10	21.7	13	28.3	12	26.1	12	26.1
1	Intermediate	25	54.3	14	30.4	20	43.5	20	43.5	20	43.5
(46)	Advanced	12	26.1	22	47.8	13	28.3	14	30.4	14	30.4
	Advanced High	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Beginning	1	2.3	5	11.4	6	13.6	6	14.0	6	14.0
2	Intermediate	11	25.0	16	36.4	21	47.7	9	20.9	9	20.9
(44)	Advanced	21	47.7	14	31.8	12	27.3	14	32.6	14	32.6
	Advanced High	11	25.0	9	20.5	5	11.4	14	32.6	14	32.6
	Beginning	1	2.0	2	4.0	4	8.0	2	4.2	2	4.2
3	Intermediate	17	34.0	24	48.0	22	44.0	8	16.7	8	16.7
(50)	Advanced	21	42.0	18	36.0	21	4 2.0	15	31.3	17	35.4
	Advanced High	11	22.0	6	12.0	3	6.0	23	47.9	21	43.8
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	9.7	2	6.9	2	6.9
4	Intermediate	3	9.7	3	9.7	5	16.1	2	6.9	2	6.9
(31)	Advanced	5	16.1	10	32.3	12	38.7	7	24.1	7	24.1
	Advanced High	23	74.2	18	58.1	11	35.5	18	62.1	18	62.1

Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level			
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High		
	Beginning		-	_			
	Intermediate	ı		-			
_	Advanced	ı	-	-	_		
	Advanced High	-	-	-	-		
	Beginning		1	0			
42	Intermediate	19		0 0			
32 (76.2%)	Advanced	11	2	(0		
	Advanced High	0	0	(0		
	Beginning			4			
41	Intermediate	5		4			
28 (68.3%)	Advanced	6	3		5		
	Advanced High	2	5		7		
	Beginning			2			
47	Intermediate	0		8			
27 (57.4%)	Advanced	1	5	1	0		
	Advanced High	0	1	2	.0		
	Beginning		:	2			
	Intermediate	1		1			
21 (75.0%)	Advanced	0	3		4		
	Advanced High	0	1	1	6		

PERFORMANCE IN 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Listening		Speaking		Writing		Reading		Composite	
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	Intermediate	1	3.4	2	6.9	7	24.1	5	17.2	5	17.2
(29)	Advanced	1	3.4	4	13.8	7	24.1	9	31.0	9	31.0
	Advanced High	27	93.1	23	79.3	14	48.3	15	51.7	15	51.7
	Beginning	34	14.5	40	17.1	59	25.2	53	23.1	53	23.1
ALL	Intermediate	67	28.6	69	29.5	76	32.5	46	20.1	46	20.1
(234)	Advanced	60	25.6	69	29.5	66	28.2	60	26.2	62	27.1
	Advanced High	73	31.2	56	23.9	33	14.1	70	30.6	68	29.7

PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Number Rated Both Years		2011 Level					
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	at Adv			
	Beginning		0				
29	Intermediate	1	4				
21 (72.4%)	Advanced	0	0 5		4		
	Advanced High	0	2	1	3		
	Beginning	18					
187	Intermediate	26	26 17				
129 (69.0%)	Advanced	18	18	2	3		
	Advanced High	2	9	5	6		

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2011 to 2012.