

2012-13 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2. Contents	Table of Contents	3.	Notes	Notes and Data Descriptions
STUDENTS AND	<u>STAFF</u>			
5. Summary	Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6.	Enrollment (1)	Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7. Enrollment (2) .	Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8.	Attendance	Student Attendance Statistics
9. Teachers	Teacher Statistics			
STAAR				
10. 3-8 Reading	STAAR 3-8 Reading	13.	3-8 Writing	STAAR 3-8 Writing
15. 3-8 Math	STAAR 3-8 Mathematics	18.	3-8 Science	STAAR 3-8 Science
20. Spanish Readir	ng Spanish STAAR 3-8 Reading	23.	Spanish Writing	Spanish STAAR 3-8 Writing
ITBS & LOGRAMO	<u>os</u>			
25. Compliance	SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	26.	ITBS Read	ITBS Reading
28. ITBS Math	ITBS Mathematics	32.	LOG Read	Logramos Reading
ENGLISH PROFIC	<u>CIENCY</u>			
34. WMLS	Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	35.	TELPAS	Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

#### 2011-12 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11 forward, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2011-12. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2010-11 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2010-11 and 2011-12.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

## **TEACHERS**

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

#### STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR M

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from the standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR M statistics are computed separately, following the TEA's reporting practice.
- Exclusive for 2012: Until standards are released in Spring 2013, the "Percentage Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Percentage Meeting Equivalent TAKS Standard" for reading and mathematics. (Equivalent standards not produced by TEA for other STAAR subjects.) The "Number not Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Average Number of Items Correct."
- Statistics byreporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC reading tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. STAAR compositions are rated twice on a scale of 0 to 4. The two ratings are summed for a range of possible score points from 0 to 8.
- STAAR M reports not currently available. At time of publication, insufficient information was available from the TEA to produce meaningful statistics for reporting.

## **SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading statistics are based on the Reading Profile Total (a combination of the Vocabulary, Word Analysis, and Listening subtests) for all years available. All other grades ITBS reading statistics, and Logramos reading statistics for all grades, are based on Reading Total results. (Reading Total results are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Kindergarten ITBS mathematics statistics are based on the Mathematics subtest. All other grades' statistics are based on Mathematics Total results. (Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

## **TELPAS**

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2011 to 2012, the TEA did not report the 2011 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2012, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2011.

#### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	58
KN	86
1	71
2	74
3	76
4	61
5	62
ALL	488

#### STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Page	Stud	lents	Teachers			
Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Black/African American	50	10.2	3	9.1		
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	0.2	*	*		
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	3	0.6	*	*		
Hispanic	376	77.0	14	42.4		
White	51	10.5	15	45.5		
Multiple	6	1.2	1	3.0		
Other* (teachers only)	_	_	0	0.0		
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0	_	_		

<sup>\*</sup>For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

#### SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	345	70.7
Economically disadvantaged	408	83.6
Limited English proficient (LEP)	290	59.4
Special education	22	4.5
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	80	16.4

			African A	American	America	ın Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wi	nite	Multiple (	category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2010	48	14	29.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	32	66.7	2	4.2	_	_
PK	2011	56	7	12.5	0	0.0	1	1.8	45	80.4	3	5.4	0	0.0
	2012	58	6	10.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	51	87.9	1	1.7	0	0.0
	2010	68	8	11.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	67.6	14	20.6	_	_
KN	2011	72	11	15.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	45	62.5	16	22.2	0	0.0
	2012	86	8	9.3	0	0.0	1	1.2	63	73.3	12	14.0	2	2.3
	2010	73	8	11.0	0	0.0	1	1.4	56	76.7	8	11.0	_	_
1	2011	78	6	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	60	76.9	10	12.8	2	2.6
	2012	71	8	11.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	64.8	16	22.5	0	0.0
	2010	73	9	12.3	0	0.0	2	2.7	54	74.0	8	11.0	_	_
2	2011	71	4	5.6	1	1.4	1	1.4	59	83.1	5	7.0	1	1.4
	2012	74	6	8.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	57	77.0	10	13.5	1	1.4
	2010	74	13	17.6	0	0.0	1	1.4	53	71.6	7	9.5	_	_
3	2011	63	4	6.3	0	0.0	1	1.6	53	84.1	5	7.9	0	0.0
	2012	76	7	9.2	1	1.3	1	1.3	61	80.3	5	6.6	1	1.3
	2010	66	6	9.1	0	0.0	1	1.5	53	80.3	6	9.1	_	_
4	2011	73	8	11.0	0	0.0	2	2.7	56	76.7	6	8.2	1	1.4
	2012	61	6	9.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	50	82.0	4	6.6	1	1.6
	2010	65	9	13.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	50	76.9	6	9.2	_	_
5	2011	81	3	3.7	0	0.0	1	1.2	71	87.7	6	7.4	0	0.0
	2012	62	9	14.5	0	0.0	1	1.6	48	77.4	3	4.8	1	1.6
	2010	467	67	14.3	0	0.0	5	1.1	344	73.7	51	10.9	_	_
PK-5	2011	494	43	8.7	1	0.2	6	1.2	389	78.7	51	10.3	4	0.8
	2012	488	50	10.2	1	0.2	3	0.6	376	77.0	51	10.5	6	1.2

			Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	₽	Special E	ducation	At F	Rlsk	TA	\G	New (to	District)	Ger	nder	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2010	48	44	91.7	26	54.2	0	0.0	28	58.3	0	0.0	48	100.0	56.3	43.8	0.0
PK	2011	56	53	94.6	38	67.9	0	0.0	39	69.6	0	0.0	56	100.0	53.6	46.4	0.0
	2012	58	56	96.6	39	67.2	1	1.7	39	67.2	0	0.0	58	100.0	55.2	44.8	0.0
	2010	68	45	66.2	25	36.8	2	2.9	40	58.8	10	14.7	38	55.9	47.1	52.9	2.9
KN	2011	72	45	62.5	30	41.7	1	1.4	41	56.9	11	15.3	37	51.4	51.4	48.6	0.0
	2012	86	66	76.7	48	55.8	2	2.3	48	55.8	10	11.6	37	43.0	57.0	43.0	1.2
	2010	73	65	89.0	41	56.2	5	6.8	54	74.0	8	11.0	6	8.2	50.7	49.3	6.8
1	2011	78	58	74.4	37	47.4	5	6.4	49	62.8	16	20.5	9	11.5	52.6	47.4	6.4
	2012	71	55	77.5	35	49.3	2	2.8	34	47.9	19	26.8	6	8.5	50.7	49.3	5.6
	2010	73	61	83.6	43	58.9	2	2.7	56	76.7	13	17.8	6	8.2	53.4	46.6	0.0
2	2011	71	58	81.7	44	62.0	3	4.2	58	81.7	16	22.5	8	11.3	50.7	49.3	5.6
	2012	74	60	81.1	40	54.1	5	6.8	55	74.3	14	18.9	8	10.8	54.1	45.9	4.1
	2010	74	60	81.1	40	54.1	4	5.4	53	71.6	11	14.9	5	6.8	60.8	39.2	4.1
3	2011	63	53	84.1	37	58.7	3	4.8	45	71.4	11	17.5	5	7.9	47.6	52.4	3.2
	2012	76	67	88.2	49	64.5	2	2.6	63	82.9	15	19.7	3	3.9	46.1	53.9	5.3
	2010	66	62	93.9	34	51.5	6	9.1	35	53.0	18	27.3	6	9.1	34.8	65.2	0.0
4	2011	73	59	80.8	40	54.8	3	4.1	47	64.4	14	19.2	8	11.0	56.2	43.8	0.0
	2012	61	51	83.6	42	68.9	7	11.5	52	85.2	10	16.4	8	13.1	52.5	47.5	8.2
	2010	65	56	86.2	22	33.8	5	7.7	32	49.2	11	16.9	6	9.2	47.7	52.3	9.2
5	2011	81	73	90.1	44	54.3	7	8.6	53	65.4	19	23.5	13	16.0	44.4	55.6	8.6
	2012	62	53	85.5	37	59.7	3	4.8	54	87.1	12	19.4	4	6.5	56.5	43.5	0.0
	2010	467	393	84.2	231	49.5	24	5.1	298	63.8	71	15.2	115	24.6	50.1	49.9	3.4
PK-5	2011	494	399	80.8	270	54.7	22	4.5	332	67.2	87	17.6	136	27.5	50.8	49.2	3.6
	2012	488	408	83.6	290	59.4	22	4.5	345	70.7	80	16.4	124	25.4	53.1	46.9	3.5

			ge Daily pership		Average D	aily Attendanc	е	Yea	arly Transad	ctions		nuously	Stabili	ty Rate
Grade	Year	N	District	N	%	District N	District %	N	%	District %	N	District	%	District
	2010	65	13,234	62	94.3	12,616	95.3	26	39.8	21.5	48	11,233	73.5	84.9
KN	2011	72	13,211	69	95.6	12,645	95.7	26	36.1	21.4	64	11,602	88.9	87.8
	2012	84	13,633	81	96.0	13,086	96.0	11	13.1	20.1	76	11,731	90.3	86.0
	2010	73	13,848	70	95.8	13,286	95.9	18	24.7	20.0	61	11,978	83.8	86.5
1	2011	75	13,458	72	96.0	12,967	96.4	28	37.5	19.0	61	12,047	81.6	89.5
	2012	74	14,026	71	95.6	13,533	96.5	9	12.2	18.6	66	12,292	89.3	87.6
	2010	74	13,441	71	96.9	12,947	96.3	15	20.3	18.9	63	11,794	85.4	87.7
2	2011	69	13,013	67	96.6	12,593	96.8	12	17.3	17.1	65	11,853	93.5	91.1
	2012	72	13,338	69	95.7	12,932	97.0	17	23.7	17.0	57	11,883	79.6	89.1
	2010	73	13,291	71	96.7	12,854	96.7	18	24.5	17.6	63	11,815	85.8	88.9
3	2011	61	12,619	59	97.5	12,254	97.1	20	32.9	16.6	53	11,604	87.1	92.0
	2012	78	12,874	75	97.1	12,508	97.2	15	19.3	15.6	67	11,592	86.2	90.0
	2010	64	12,300	62	96.8	11,900	96.8	12	18.7	17.2	58	10,987	90.4	89.3
4	2011	70	12,506	68	97.3	12,150	97.1	12	17.1	15.7	66	11,544	93.9	92.3
	2012	63	12,493	61	97.1	12,139	97.2	13	20.7	16.7	54	11,218	86.2	89.8
	2010	62	11,688	60	96.4	11,310	96.8	18	29.0	17.0	55	10,453	88.6	89.4
5	2011	76	11,742	74	97.3	11,419	97.2	6	7.8	8.2	70	10,892	91.5	92.8
	2012	63	12,562	61	97.1	12,220	97.3	3	4.8	5.4	53	11,364	84.4	90.5
	2010	412	77,802	396	96.2	74,914	96.3	107	26.0	18.8	348	68,260	84.6	87.7
KN-5	2011	424	76,549	410	96.7	74,028	96.7	104	24.5	16.5	379	69,542	89.4	90.8
	2012	433	78,926	417	96.4	76,419	96.8	68	15.7	15.7	373	70,080	86.2	88.8

Teachers Teacher Statistics

Teachers: 33

#### **DISTRIBUTIONS**

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	3	9.1			
Hispanic	14	42.4			
White	15	45.5			
Multiple	1	3.0			
Other	0	0.0			

Gender	Number	Percentage			
Female	25	75.8			
Male	8	24.2			

#### **ATTENDANCE / RETENTION**

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2009-10	7.6	_
2010-11	6.7	85.3
2011-12	6.3	76.5

#### YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage			
Beginning (0)	2	6.1			
1	1	3.0			
2	0	0.0			
3	2	6.1			
4	1	3.0			
5	4	12.1			
1-3	3	9.1			
More than 3	28	84.8			
1 - 5	8	24.2			
6 - 10	7	21.2			
11 - 20	8	24.2			
More than 20	8	24.2			

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	83.3	100.0	94.7	*	*	88.9		90.9	100.0	96.3	84.0	27
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	28.6	69.2	47.1	*	42.9	27.3		63.6	61.5	62.5	76.8	24
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	50.0	100.0	82.4		*	69.2		84.6	84.6	84.6	80.3	26
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	100.0	52.4	90.0	75.5	*	55.6	60.6		80.0	83.3	81.8	80.3	77

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	12	21	40	53	4	9	33	0	35	42	77	22,169

## **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	23	28	25	*	*	20		26	27	27	23	27
	2010													
4	2011													
7	2012	*	19	25	21	*	19	17		22	26	24	26	24
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	22	31	27		*	25		31	28	30	29	26
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	32	21	28	25	*	21	21		27	27	27	26	77

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	12	21	40	53	4	9	33	0	35	42	77	22,169

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5)     Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	Understanding/Analysis     of Literary Texts	Understanding/Analysis     of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	72.8	61.9	69.0
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	53.3	53.9	55.5
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	64.6	58.9	69.9
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	64.0	58.4	65.1

## **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	18	25	22	*	*	19		22	25	23	24	22

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	4	7	11	15	3	5	9	0	9	13	22	7,036

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Personal Narrative     GR 7: Expository	Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Expository     GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.8	3.5	56.1	58.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=between Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=between Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=between Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	66.7	65.0	63.6	*	59.2	56.4		72.7	61.0	66.2	77.0	74
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	28.6	66.0	60.8	*	62.8	59.6		66.7	60.7	63.9	79.5	61
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	37.5	93.8	86.3	*	94.4	85.4		90.9	82.1	86.9	76.2	61
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	100.0	42.9	74.1	69.6	*	70.3	66.7		76.8	67.0	71.9	77.6	196

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	12	21	158	168	5	128	150	0	99	97	196	35,367

## **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	25	24	24	*	22	22		25	24	25	27	74
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	19	25	24	*	24	24		25	23	24	28	61
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	22	34	33	*	36	33		34	32	33	30	61

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative     Reasoning	Patterns,     Relationships, and     Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and     Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	49.4	52.2	61.4	54.9	50.2
	2010					
4	2011					
	2012	53.0	52.5	45.5	47.3	52.1
	2010					
5	2011					
	2012	65.8	64.5	64.4	65.0	68.4
	2010					
3-5	2011					
	2012	55.6	56.1	57.4	55.7	56.5

## **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	25	28	27	*	27	27		29	28	29	30	61

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 5										
2010												0
2011												0
2012	3	8	48	51	1	36	48	0	33	28	61	10,697

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	Force, Motion,     and Energy	3. Earth and Space	Organisms and     Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	69.1	74.8	63.4	56.7

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			89.4	89.6		89.6	89.4		91.3	88.0	89.6	88.7	48
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			86.5	85.3		86.1	86.1		77.3	100.0	86.5	84.2	37
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			80.0	79.4		80.0	80.0		81.0	78.6	80.0	77.4	35
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			85.7	85.3		85.7	85.6		83.3	88.9	85.8	84.3	120

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2010												0	
2011												0	
2012	0	0	119	116	0	119	118	0	66	54	120	14,101	

## **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			22	22		22	22		20	25	22	23	48
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			26	25		26	26		25	28	26	26	37
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			29	29		29	29		29	30	29	28	35
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			26	25		25	25		24	27	25	25	120

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5										
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	119	116	0	119	118	0	66	54	120	14,101

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	Understanding/Analysis     of Literary Texts	Understanding/Analysis     of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	64.9	58.4	48.8
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	50.0	65.8	57.8
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	61.1	67.2	61.2
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	59.2	63.3	55.2

## **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			27	27		27	27		26	29	27	26	39

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 4										
2010												0										
2011												0										
2012	0	0	39	36	0	38	38	0	24	15	39	4,902										

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Personal Narrative     GR 7: Expository	Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Expository     GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.8	3.5	56.1	58.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4. The ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition.

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

		RE	ADING TOTA	L (**READI	NG PROFILE	TOTAL)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	35.7	81.5	65.9	61.2	41
K**	2011	81.8	86.7	85.4	63.3	41
	2012	*	84.2	84.6	62.2	39
	2010	35.7	77.8	59.4	55.2	32
1	2011	37.5	68.0	56.1	58.1	41
	2012	*	81.8	80.0	55.4	35
	2010	47.1	86.7	65.6	48.6	32
2	2011	68.8	100.0	82.8	50.6	29
	2012	44.4	82.4	62.9	49.8	35
	2010					0
5	2011	14.3	81.8	35.2	36.2	71
	2012	22.6	100.0	32.8	37.2	61
	2010	41.9	81.8	62.5	51.9	64
1-5	2011	29.6	80.0	51.1	47.2	141
	2012	28.8	84.5	53.4	46.3	131

	2010	45	60	105	20,252	
Number Tested	2011	92	90	182	29,740	
. 55164	2012	74	96	170	30,807	

		N	/ ATHEM ATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHEM	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	25.0	82.1	48.5	51.2	68
K**	2011	47.5	89.7	65.2	56.8	69
	2012	20.8	65.8	40.7	55.1	86
	2010	39.6	77.8	49.3	48.8	71
1	2011	46.9	80.0	58.1	53.1	74
	2012	26.5	61.1	43.5	50.7	69
	2010	39.3	70.6	46.6	54.6	73
2	2011	55.2	84.6	60.6	56.8	71
	2012	41.8	94.1	54.2	57.9	72
	2010					0
5	2011	29.4	70.0	44.4	44.8	54
	2012	49.0	62.5	50.8	43.8	59
	2010	35.6	77.8	48.1	51.5	212
K-5	2011	46.4	81.6	57.8	53.3	268
	2012	35.6	68.7	46.9	52.2	286

	2010	149	63	212	40,163	
Number Tested	2011	181	87	268	51,019	
rested	2012	188	99	286	51,521	

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	87.5	33.3	57.9	50.0			35.7		57.9	72.7	65.9	61.2	41
K**	2011	93.8	88.9	75.0	85.7		*	81.8		72.7	100.0	85.4	63.3	41
	2012	91.7	87.5	75.0	73.7	*	*	*		77.3	94.1	84.6	62.2	39
	2010	87.5	25.0	60.0	60.0	*	*	35.7		50.0	68.8	59.4	55.2	32
1	2011	66.7	*	48.0	43.5		*	37.5		45.0	66.7	56.1	58.1	41
	2012	81.3	83.3	76.9	72.2		*	*		68.8	89.5	80.0	55.4	35
	2010	75.0	62.5	64.3	61.9	*	*	47.1		68.8	62.5	65.6	48.6	32
2	2011	*	*	83.3	77.8	*	*	68.8		73.3	92.9	82.8	50.6	29
	2012	80.0	*	52.6	52.4		*	44.4		55.6	70.6	62.9	49.8	35
	2010	81.3	43.8	62.1	60.9	*	*	41.9		59.4	65.6	62.5	51.9	64
1-2	2011	71.4	77.8	62.8	58.5	*	33.3	53.1		57.1	77.1	67.1	54.4	70
	2012	80.8	81.8	62.5	61.5		*	45.0		61.8	80.6	71.4	52.7	70
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	29.0	27.0	0.0	12.8	14.3		31.3	38.5	35.2	36.2	71
	2012	*	37.5	25.0	26.5	*	16.7	22.6		32.4	33.3	32.8	37.2	61
	2010	81.3	43.8	62.1	60.9	*	*	41.9		59.4	65.6	62.5	51.9	64
1-5	2011	77.8	69.2	42.9	39.4	0.0	15.6	29.6		44.8	56.8	51.1	47.2	141
	2012	82.8	63.2	40.0	42.0	*	19.5	28.8		47.1	60.3	53.4	46.3	131

	2010	16	16	29	46	2	5	31	0	32	32	64	13,990
Number Tested	2011	18	13	105	104	8	45	81	0	67	74	141	22,979
Tested _	2012	29	19	80	88	2	41	73	0	68	63	131	23,868

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	9	1	5	4			1		7	8	15	1,717	41
K**	2011	11	3	5	3		*	2		6	13	19	2,106	41
	2012	9	2	8	7	*	*	*		10	11	21	2,027	39
	2010	5	2	6	10	*	*	3		5	8	13	1,729	32
1	2011	5	*	7	5		*	2		6	8	14	1,963	41
	2012	10	3	8	8		*	*		7	14	21	1,963	35
	2010	4	1	1	2	*	*	2		2	4	6	954	32
2	2011	*	*	7	7	*	*	5		6	8	14	1,018	29
	2012	4	*	4	0		*	0		3	6	9	1,017	35
	2010	9	3	7	12	*	*	5		7	12	19	2,683	64
1-2	2011	9	2	14	12	*	2	7		12	16	28	2,981	70
	2012	14	4	12	8		*	0		10	20	30	2,981	70
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	4	4	0	0	0		1	5	6	701	71
	2012	*	1	0	1	*	0	1		3	1	4	822	61
	2010	9	3	7	12	*	*	5		7	12	19	2,683	64
1-5	2011	11	2	18	16	0	2	7		13	21	34	3,692	141
	2012	15	5	12	9	*	0	1		13	21	34	3,803	131

	2010	16	16	29	46	2	5	31	0	32	32	64	13,990
Number Tested	2011	18	13	105	104	8	45	81	0	67	74	141	22,979
Tested	2012	29	19	80	88	2	41	73	0	68	63	131	23,868

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	87.5	28.6	37.8	32.6	*	24.0	25.0		44.1	52.9	48.5	51.2	68
K**	2011	87.5	66.7	56.8	55.8		53.3	47.5		50.0	81.8	65.2	56.8	69
	2012	83.3	37.5	30.2	28.1	*	20.8	20.8		38.8	43.2	40.7	55.1	86
	2010	62.5	50.0	46.3	46.9	*	36.6	39.6		51.4	47.2	49.3	48.8	71
1	2011	88.9	*	53.4	52.7	*	43.2	46.9		53.8	62.9	58.1	53.1	74
	2012	62.5	42.9	37.0	34.6		25.7	26.5		36.1	51.5	43.5	50.7	69
	2010	62.5	33.3	46.3	44.3	*	41.9	39.3		43.6	50.0	46.6	54.6	73
2	2011	*	*	57.6	60.3	*	54.5	55.2		58.3	62.9	60.6	56.8	71
	2012	90.0	*	48.2	43.6	*	35.0	41.8		53.8	54.5	54.2	57.9	72
	2010	75.0	37.5	43.8	42.3	14.3	35.8	35.6		46.3	50.0	48.1	51.5	212
K-2	2011	86.7	61.1	55.9	56.4	16.7	50.5	50.3		54.1	68.9	61.2	55.6	214
	2012	76.3	40.0	38.2	35.1	16.7	26.8	30.7		42.7	49.5	45.8	54.5	227
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	40.0	40.4	*	37.5	29.4		25.9	63.0	44.4	44.8	54
	2012	*	14.3	55.3	50.0	*	55.6	49.0		59.4	40.7	50.8	43.8	59
	2010	75.0	37.5	43.8	42.3	14.3	35.8	35.6		46.3	50.0	48.1	51.5	212
K-5	2011	88.2	54.5	52.4	52.7	9.1	48.1	46.4		48.6	67.7	57.8	53.3	268
	2012	73.2	33.3	42.0	38.4	14.3	33.3	35.6		46.2	47.7	46.9	52.2	286

	2010	32	24	153	168	7	109	149	0	108	104	212	40,163
Number Tested	2011	34	22	206	203	11	135	181	0	138	130	268	51,019
Tested	2012	41	27	212	219	7	159	188	0	156	130	286	51,521

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	5	1	4	4	*	1	0		4	6	10	2,358	68
K**	2011	4	2	8	4		3	3		6	8	14	2,815	69
	2012	5	2	3	3	*	1	1		10	2	12	2,472	86
	2010	3	1	9	11	*	4	6		3	10	13	2,266	71
1	2011	4	*	6	6	*	3	3		5	8	13	2,716	74
	2012	6	1	7	7		2	2		7	7	14	2,473	69
	2010	4	1	7	8	*	4	7		7	6	13	2,484	73
2	2011	*	*	12	13	*	6	8		7	9	16	2,746	71
	2012	4	*	10	7	*	4	6		8	8	16	2,843	72
	2010	12	3	20	23	0	9	13		14	22	36	7,108	212
K-2	2011	10	5	26	23	1	12	14		18	25	43	8,277	214
	2012	15	5	20	17	0	7	9		25	17	42	7,788	227
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	4	5	*	1	2		1	5	6	1,395	54
	2012	*	1	5	4	*	5	6		6	2	8	1,426	59
	2010	12	3	20	23	0	9	13		14	22	36	7,108	212
K-5	2011	11	5	30	28	1	13	16		19	30	49	9,696	268
	2012	15	6	25	21	0	12	15		31	19	50	9,214	286

	2010	32	24	153	168	7	109	149	0	108	104	212	40,163
Number Tested	2011	34	22	206	203	11	135	181	0	138	130	268	51,019
Tested	2012	41	27	212	219	7	159	188	0	156	130	286	51,521

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	37.5	62.5	58.5	55.6	*	52.5	51.9		51.4	62.9	57.1	63.5	70
1	2011	77.8	*	55.2	56.4	*	48.6	55.1		61.5	54.3	58.1	66.7	74
	2012	50.0	57.1	50.0	46.2		40.0	41.2		52.8	48.5	50.7	62.5	69
	2010	75.0	37.5	37.0	37.7	*	32.6	34.5		36.8	47.1	41.7	61.4	72
2	2011	*	*	61.0	67.2	*	65.9	60.3		63.9	60.0	62.0	62.0	71
	2012	80.0	*	46.4	45.5	*	40.0	45.5		56.4	48.5	52.8	63.6	72
	2010	56.3	50.0	47.7	46.8	*	42.2	43.0		43.8	55.1	49.3	62.5	142
1-2	2011	71.4	66.7	58.1	61.9	33.3	58.0	57.9		62.7	57.1	60.0	64.4	145
	2012	61.5	58.3	48.0	45.8	*	40.0	43.8		54.7	48.5	51.8	63.0	141
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	57.8	57.6	16.7	65.9	56.9		51.5	65.0	58.9	48.6	73
	2012	*	28.6	63.8	58.3	*	69.4	60.8		56.3	59.3	57.6	45.9	59
	2010	56.3	50.0	47.7	46.8	*	42.2	43.0		43.8	55.1	49.3	62.5	142
1-5	2011	77.8	53.8	58.0	60.3	25.0	60.7	57.6		59.3	60.0	59.6	59.7	218
	2012	55.2	47.4	53.0	49.7	*	49.5	50.0		55.1	51.6	53.5	57.8	200

	2010	16	16	107	124	4	83	107	0	73	69	142	26,937
Number Tested	2011	18	13	181	179	12	122	158	0	108	110	218	38,176
resteu	2012	29	19	149	155	4	111	140	0	107	93	200	38,650

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	3	1	17	18	*	10	12		10	11	21	4,129	70
1	2011	3	*	16	15	*	9	12		8	13	21	4,427	74
	2012	4	2	13	11		6	6		11	8	19	4,060	69
	2010	2	2	9	11	*	6	7		7	7	14	3,776	72
2	2011	*	*	11	11	*	5	8		8	6	14	3,810	71
	2012	2	*	8	5	*	4	4		7	4	11	3,820	72
	2010	5	3	26	29	*	16	19		17	18	35	7,905	142
1-2	2011	5	3	27	26	1	14	20		16	19	35	8,237	145
	2012	6	3	21	16	*	10	10		18	12	30	7,880	141
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	7	7	0	3	4		2	5	7	1,416	73
	2012	*	1	9	10	*	9	9		7	3	10	1,169	59
	2010	5	3	26	29	*	16	19		17	18	35	7,905	142
1-5	2011	5	3	34	33	1	17	24		18	24	42	9,660	218
	2012	6	4	30	26	*	19	19		25	15	40	9,049	200

Number Tested	2010	16	16	107	124	4	83	107	0	73	69	142	26,937
	2011	18	13	181	179	12	122	158	0	108	110	218	38,176
	2012	29	19	149	155	4	111	140	0	107	93	200	38,650

LOG Read (1) Logramos: READING TOTAL

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			54.2	59.1	*	54.2	52.2		69.2	36.4	54.2	89.3	24
K	2011			72.4	72.4		72.4	72.4		66.7	78.6	72.4	90.9	29
	2012			76.6	75.6	*	76.6	76.6		74.1	80.0	76.6	89.9	47
	2010			82.1	82.1	*	82.1	82.1		75.0	89.5	82.1	89.1	39
1	2011			81.8	81.3	*	81.8	81.8		78.9	85.7	81.8	89.4	33
	2012			90.9	90.9		90.9	90.6		94.7	85.7	90.9	89.2	33
	2010			97.5	97.5		97.5	97.4		95.5	100.0	97.5	93.8	40
2	2011			100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	94.9	42
	2012			88.9	90.9	*	88.9	88.9		85.7	93.3	88.9	93.3	36
	2010			81.6	83.2	*	81.6	81.0		81.8	81.3	81.6	90.7	103
K-2	2011			86.4	86.1	*	86.5	86.5		83.6	89.8	86.5	91.7	104
	2012			84.5	84.7	*	84.5	84.3		83.6	85.7	84.5	90.8	116
	2010	0	0	103	101	3	103	100	0	55	48	103	19,041	
Number Tested	2011	0	0	103	101	4	104	104	0	55	49	104	21,141	

21,150

LOG Read (2) Logramos: READING TOTAL

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			5	5	*	5	5		3	2	5	3,523	24
K	2011			6	6		6	6		2	4	6	3,964	29
	2012			14	14	*	14	14		6	8	14	3,679	47
	2010			12	12	*	12	12		5	7	12	2,529	39
1	2011			12	11	*	12	12		6	6	12	2,459	33
	2012			6	7		6	6		4	2	6	2,437	33
	2010			15	15		15	15		7	8	15	3,040	40
2	2011			22	22	*	22	22		7	15	22	3,227	42
	2012			14	14	*	14	14		6	8	14	2,934	36
	2010			32	32	*	32	32		15	17	32	9,092	103
K-2	2011			40	39	*	40	40		15	25	40	9,650	104
	2012			34	35	*	34	34		16	18	34	9,050	116
	2010	0	0	103	101	3	103	100	0	55	48	103	19,041	
Number Tested	2011	0	0	103	101	4	104	104	0	55	49	104	21,141	

116

115

0

21,150

116

49

Tested

2012

116

111

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2011	Level 2	in 2011	Level 3	in 2011	Levels 1-3 in 2011		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2012							
5	1	0.0	0	-	0	-	1	0.0	
ALL	<b>ALL</b> 1 0.0		0	-	0	-	1	0.0	

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2012**

## **PROGRESSION FROM** 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	11	22.9	18	37.5	39	81.3	40	83.3	40	83.3
KN	Intermediate	21	43.8	15	31.3	5	10.4	3	6.3	3	6.3
(48)	Advanced	13	27.1	11	22.9	4	8.3	4	8.3	4	8.3
	Advanced High	3	6.3	4	8.3	0	0.0	1	2.1	1	2.1
	Beginning	9	25.0	10	27.8	25	69.4	24	66.7	24	66.7
1	Intermediate	11	30.6	17	47.2	11	30.6	11	30.6	11	30.6
(36)	Advanced	15	41.7	7	19.4	0	0.0	1	2.8	1	2.8
	Advanced High	1	2.8	2	5.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	12.2	5	12.2	5	12.2
2	Intermediate	5	12.2	4	9.8	10	24.4	14	34.1	14	34.1
(41)	Advanced	23	56.1	20	48.8	15	36.6	13	31.7	13	31.7
	Advanced High	13	31.7	17	41.5	11	26.8	9	22.0	9	22.0
	Beginning	1	2.0	4	8.2	5	10.2	4	8.2	4	8.2
3	Intermediate	30	61.2	31	63.3	30	61.2	10	20.4	10	20.4
(49)	Advanced	11	22.4	10	20.4	12	24.5	17	34.7	21	42.9
	Advanced High	7	14.3	4	8.2	2	4.1	18	36.7	14	28.6
	Beginning	1	2.3	4	9.3	2	4.7	2	4.7	2	4.7
4	Intermediate	17	39.5	21	48.8	24	55.8	7	16.3	7	16.3
(43)	Advanced	20	46.5	13	30.2	16	37.2	16	37.2	18	41.9
	Advanced High	5	11.6	5	11.6	1	2.3	18	41.9	16	37.2

Number Rated Both Years		2011 Level							
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High				
	Beginning		-	-					
	Intermediate	ı		-					
_	Advanced	ı	ı	-	-				
	Advanced High	1	1		-				
	Beginning		2	3					
35	Intermediate	4		7					
5 (14.3%)	Advanced	0	1	(	)				
	Advanced High	0	0	(	)				
	Beginning			5					
39	Intermediate	5		8					
25 (64.1%)	Advanced	4	7		1				
	Advanced High	4	2	;	3				
	Beginning		4	4					
48	Intermediate	1		9					
22 (45.8%)	Advanced	0	7	1	3				
	Advanced High	0	3	1	1				
	Beginning		,	1					
40	Intermediate	0		5					
21 (52.5%)	Advanced	0	5	1	3				
	Advanced High	0	1	1	5				

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2012**

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	iting	Rea	ding	Comp	posite
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	1	2.7	2	5.4	3	8.1	1	2.7	1	2.7
5	Intermediate	6	16.2	9	24.3	15	40.5	4	10.8	4	10.8
(37)	Advanced	12	32.4	15	40.5	10	27.0	8	21.6	9	24.3
	Advanced High	18	48.6	11	29.7	9	24.3	24	64.9	23	62.2
	Beginning	23	9.1	38	15.0	79	31.1	76	29.9	76	29.9
ALL	Intermediate	90	35.4	97	38.2	95	37.4	49	19.3	49	19.3
(254)	Advanced	94	37.0	76	29.9	57	22.4	59	23.2	66	26.0
	Advanced High	47	18.5	43	16.9	23	9.1	70	27.6	63	24.8

# PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level				
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High			
	Beginning		(	0				
35	Intermediate	1		3				
26 (74.3%)	Advanced	1	2	6	3			
	Advanced High	0	4	1	8			
	Beginning		3	4				
198	Intermediate	11		32				
99 (50.0%)	Advanced	5	22	3	3			
	Advanced High	4	10	4	7			

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2011 to 2012.