Published July 25, 2012

Dallas Independent School District

# Data Packet for 2012-13 Planning

**Evaluation and Accountability Data Analysis, Reporting, and Research Services** 

> http://mydata.dallasisd.org OIR@dallasisd.org

**School Number 209** 

**ASCHER SILBERSTEIN** 

#### 2012-13 Data Packet: Standard Issue

#### **Table of Contents**

2. Contents Table of Contents	3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5. Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6. Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7. Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9. Teachers Teacher Statistics	
<u>STAAR</u>	
10. 3-8 Reading STAAR 3-8 Reading	13. 3-8 Writing STAAR 3-8 Writing
15. 3-8 Math STAAR 3-8 Mathematics	18. 3-8 Science STAAR 3-8 Science
20. Spanish Reading Spanish STAAR 3-8 Reading	23. Spanish Writing Spanish STAAR 3-8 Writing
25. Spanish Math Spanish STAAR 3-8 Mathematics	
ITBS & LOGRAMOS	
28. Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	29. ITBS Read ITBS Reading
31. ITBS Math ITBS Mathematics	35. LOG Read Logramos Reading
ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
37. WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	38. TELPAS Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

#### 2011-12 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

Notes

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11 forward, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2011-12. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2010-11 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2010-11 and 2011-12.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

### **TEACHERS**

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

#### STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR M

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from the standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR M statistics are computed separately, following the TEA's reporting practice.
- Exclusive for 2012: Until standards are released in Spring 2013, the "Percentage Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Percentage Meeting Equivalent TAKS Standard" for reading and mathematics. (Equivalent standards not produced by TEA for other STAAR subjects.) The "Number not Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Average Number of Items Correct."
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC reading tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. STAAR compositions are rated twice on a scale of 0 to 4. The two ratings are summed for a range of possible score points from 0 to 8.
- STAAR M reports not currently available. At time of publication, insufficient information was available from the TEA to produce meaningful statistics for reporting.

### SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading statistics are based on the Reading Profile Total (a combination of the Vocabulary, Word Analysis, and Listening subtests) for all years available. All other grades' ITBS reading statistics, and Logramos reading statistics for all grades, are based on Reading Total results. (Reading Total results are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Kindergarten ITBS mathematics statistics are based on the Mathematics subtest. All other grades' statistics are based on Mathematics Total results. (Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

### **TELPAS**

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2011 to 2012, the TEA did not report the 2011 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2012, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2011.

### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
PK	85
KN	121
1	125
2	107
3	103
4	100
5	84
ALL	725

#### STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Race	Stud	dents	Teac	hers
Etimicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	28	3.9	0	0.0
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	0.1	*	*
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0.0	*	*
Hispanic	692	95.4	26	57.8
White	4	0.6	19	42.2
Multiple	0	0.0	0	0.0
Other* (teachers only)	—	—	0	0.0
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0	_	_

\*For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

#### SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	628	86.6
Economically disadvantaged	715	98.6
Limited English proficient (LEP)	529	73.0
Special education	53	7.3
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	70	9.7

## Enrollment (1)

			African /	American	America	an Indian	As	ian	Hisp	banic	Wł	nite	Multiple	category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2010	81	4	4.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	93.8	1	1.2	—	_
PK	2011	84	2	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	81	96.4	1	1.2	0	0.0
	2012	85	3	3.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	81	95.3	1	1.2	0	0.0
	2010	111	4	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	107	96.4	0	0.0	—	—
KN	2011	102	6	5.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	94	92.2	2	2.0	0	0.0
	2012	121	6	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	114	94.2	1	0.8	0	0.0
	2010	108	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	106	98.1	2	1.9	—	—
1	2011	108	1	0.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	107	99.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	125	6	4.8	1	0.8	0	0.0	117	93.6	1	0.8	0	0.0
	2010	101	4	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	96	95.0	1	1.0	—	—
2	2011	101	2	2.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	97	96.0	2	2.0	0	0.0
	2012	107	2	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	105	98.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	90	4	4.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	84	93.3	2	2.2	—	—
3	2011	92	4	4.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	88	95.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	103	2	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	101	98.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	81	2	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	78	96.3	1	1.2	—	—
4	2011	80	4	5.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	75	93.8	1	1.3	0	0.0
	2012	100	6	6.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	93	93.0	1	1.0	0	0.0
	2010	78	5	6.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	72	92.3	1	1.3	—	—
5	2011	80	2	2.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	95.0	2	2.5	0	0.0
	2012	84	3	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	81	96.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	650	23	3.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	619	95.2	8	1.2	—	—
PK-5	2011	647	21	3.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	618	95.5	8	1.2	0	0.0
	2012	725	28	3.9	1	0.1	0	0.0	692	95.4	4	0.6	0	0.0

## Enrollment (2)

			Econo Disadva	mically antaged	LE	ĒP	Special E	ducation	At F	Rlsk	TÆ	٩G	New (to	District)	Gei	nder	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2010	81	80	98.8	64	79.0	2	2.5	63	77.8	0	0.0	81	100.0	55.6	44.4	0.0
PK	2011	84	84	100.0	66	78.6	1	1.2	74	88.1	0	0.0	84	100.0	56.0	44.0	0.0
	2012	85	83	97.6	62	72.9	4	4.7	68	80.0	0	0.0	85	100.0	50.6	49.4	0.0
	2010	111	109	98.2	86	77.5	3	2.7	90	81.1	0	0.0	32	28.8	55.0	45.0	8.1
KN	2011	102	100	98.0	75	73.5	4	3.9	88	86.3	0	0.0	20	19.6	56.9	43.1	8.8
	2012	121	119	98.3	86	71.1	2	1.7	102	84.3	4	3.3	50	41.3	53.7	46.3	0.0
	2010	108	108	100.0	82	75.9	12	11.1	101	93.5	5	4.6	6	5.6	50.9	49.1	7.4
1	2011	108	106	98.1	87	80.6	2	1.9	93	86.1	9	8.3	8	7.4	50.9	49.1	3.7
	2012	125	124	99.2	92	73.6	10	8.0	104	83.2	8	6.4	12	9.6	60.0	40.0	8.0
	2010	101	98	97.0	81	80.2	5	5.0	90	89.1	1	1.0	10	9.9	54.5	45.5	3.0
2	2011	101	97	96.0	80	79.2	8	7.9	95	94.1	7	6.9	3	3.0	43.6	56.4	5.0
	2012	107	106	99.1	80	74.8	4	3.7	98	91.6	16	15.0	7	6.5	50.5	49.5	4.7
	2010	90	87	96.7	62	68.9	6	6.7	72	80.0	7	7.8	7	7.8	55.6	44.4	1.1
3	2011	92	91	98.9	74	80.4	3	3.3	76	82.6	5	5.4	6	6.5	54.3	45.7	1.1
	2012	103	103	100.0	78	75.7	12	11.7	97	94.2	11	10.7	10	9.7	49.5	50.5	3.9
	2010	81	80	98.8	54	66.7	8	9.9	62	76.5	11	13.6	4	4.9	49.4	50.6	0.0
4	2011	80	78	97.5	54	67.5	4	5.0	62	77.5	10	12.5	6	7.5	60.0	40.0	0.0
	2012	100	97	97.0	71	71.0	13	13.0	86	86.0	15	15.0	13	13.0	54.0	46.0	2.0
	2010	78	76	97.4	55	70.5	14	17.9	60	76.9	10	12.8	3	3.8	46.2	53.8	3.8
5	2011	80	79	98.8	54	67.5	8	10.0	60	75.0	11	13.8	6	7.5	52.5	47.5	0.0
	2012	84	83	98.8	60	71.4	8	9.5	73	86.9	16	19.0	11	13.1	59.5	40.5	1.2
	2010	650	638	98.2	484	74.5	50	7.7	538	82.8	34	5.2	143	22.0	52.6	47.4	3.7
PK-5	2011	647	635	98.1	490	75.7	30	4.6	548	84.7	42	6.5	133	20.6	53.2	46.8	2.9
	2012	725	715	98.6	529	73.0	53	7.3	628	86.6	70	9.7	188	25.9	54.1	45.9	3.0

#### Attendance

			ge Daily bership		Average D	aily Attendanc	e	Ye	arly Transa	ctions		nuously olled	Stability Rate	
Grade	Year	Ν	District	Ν	%	District N	District %	Ν	%	District %	N	District	%	District
	2010	108	13,234	103	95.0	12,616	95.3	19	17.6	21.5	94	11,233	87.1	84.9
KN	2011	100	13,211	94	94.7	12,645	95.7	12	12.0	21.4	87	11,602	87.2	87.8
	2012	119	13,633	114	96.4	13,086	96.0	19	16.0	20.1	108	11,731	91.1	86.0
	2010	108	13,848	103	96.0	13,286	95.9	27	25.1	20.0	91	11,978	84.6	86.5
1	2011	107	13,458	104	96.5	12,967	96.4	25	23.3	19.0	97	12,047	90.4	89.5
	2012	124	14,026	120	96.0	13,533	96.5	39	31.3	18.6	110	12,292	88.4	87.6
	2010	99	13,441	94	95.5	12,947	96.3	21	21.2	18.9	82	11,794	82.9	87.7
2	2011	99	13,013	96	96.4	12,593	96.8	10	10.1	17.1	93	11,853	93.8	91.1
	2012	107	13,338	104	96.9	12,932	97.0	27	25.2	17.0	97	11,883	90.4	89.1
	2010	89	13,291	86	96.6	12,854	96.7	17	19.2	17.6	77	11,815	87.0	88.9
3	2011	90	12,619	87	96.9	12,254	97.1	19	21.1	16.6	82	11,604	90.9	92.0
	2012	105	12,874	102	97.1	12,508	97.2	30	28.5	15.6	93	11,592	88.4	90.0
	2010	80	12,300	78	97.4	11,900	96.8	11	13.7	17.2	77	10,987	96.2	89.3
4	2011	78	12,506	76	96.6	12,150	97.1	8	10.2	15.7	74	11,544	94.5	92.3
	2012	100	12,493	97	97.2	12,139	97.2	27	27.1	16.7	91	11,218	91.4	89.8
	2010	76	11,688	73	96.5	11,310	96.8	17	22.4	17.0	68	10,453	89.7	89.4
5	2011	78	11,742	76	96.7	11,419	97.2	6	7.7	8.2	71	10,892	90.8	92.8
	2012	85	12,562	83	97.1	12,220	97.3	13	15.3	5.4	75	11,364	88.2	90.5
	2010	559	77,802	537	96.1	74,914	96.3	112	20.0	18.8	489	68,260	87.5	87.7
KN-5	2011	553	76,549	532	96.3	74,028	96.7	80	14.5	16.5	504	69,542	91.2	90.8
	2012	640	78,926	619	96.7	76,419	96.8	155	24.2	15.7	574	70,080	89.7	88.8

#### Teachers

#### Teachers: 45

#### DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	0	0.0			
Hispanic	26	57.8			
White	19	42.2			
Multiple	0	0.0			
Other	0	0.0			

Gender	Number	Percentage			
Female	35	77.8			
Male	10	22.2			

### **ATTENDANCE / RETENTION**

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2009-10	10.1	—
2010-11	7.0	78.9
2011-12	6.0	87.8

#### YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage				
Beginning (0)	3	6.7				
1	8	17.8				
2	6	13.3				
3	6	13.3				
4	3	6.7 6.7				
5	3					
1-3	20	44.4				
More than 3	22	48.9				
1 - 5	26	57.8				
6 - 10	6	13.3				
11 - 20	7	15.6				
More than 20	3	6.7				

### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	*	84.6	81.5	*	100.0	86.4		76.9	87.5	82.8	84.0	29
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	*	80.8	80.0	*	*	58.3		78.6	83.3	81.3	76.8	32
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	*	81.8	80.0	*	45.5	58.8		72.7	92.3	80.0	80.3	35
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*	75.0	82.4	80.4	57.1	65.2	70.6		75.5	87.2	81.3	80.3	96

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	3	8	85	92	7	23	51	0	49	47	96	22,169

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	*	20	20	*	23	19		17	22	20	23	29
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	*	26	25	*	*	21		24	27	26	26	32
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	*	29	28	*	22	22		27	30	28	29	35
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*	21	25	25	19	22	20		23	26	25	26	96

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	3	8	85	92	7	23	51	0	49	47	96	22,169

### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	52.3	50.2	48.9
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	61.3	61.1	52.9
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	60.9	56.1	66.6
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	58.4	56.0	56.7

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): WRITING

### **STAAR Writing (2)**

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	*	22	22	*	16	18		19	25	22	24	35

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	1	5	29	33	3	8	15	0	16	19	35	7,036

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.9	3.5	54.6	51.6

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

<sup>1</sup>Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=between Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=betw een Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=betw een Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

### STAAR Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	*	81.3	79.1	*	80.6	80.5		78.3	81.3	79.8	77.0	94
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	*	82.4	78.4	*	82.8	78.9		83.0	75.0	79.1	79.5	91
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	*	82.5	81.7	*	81.0	78.1		83.3	79.4	81.7	76.2	82
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*	37.5	82.0	79.7	62.5	81.4	79.3		81.6	78.6	80.1	77.6	267

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	3	8	256	261	8	194	222	0	141	126	267	35,367

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): MATHEMATICS

STAAR Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	*	26	26	*	26	26		26	26	26	27	94
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	*	29	28	*	29	27		27	29	28	28	91
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	*	31	31	*	31	30		31	31	31	30	82

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

### STAAR Math (4)

#### REPORTING CATEGORY 1. Numbers, Operations, 2. Patterns, 3. Geometry and 5. Probability and Grade Year and Quantitative Relationships, and 4. Measurement **Spatial Reasoning** Statistics Reasoning Algebraic Reasoning 2010 3 2011 2012 56.9 49.2 68.3 57.3 47.5 2010 4 2011 2012 58.2 59.2 64.7 51.4 57.6 2010 5 2011 2012 61.9 59.3 63.9 57.2 65.3 2010 3-5 2011 55.2 2012 58.9 55.7 65.8 56.4

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

**STAAR Science (2)** 

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012		*	30	30	*	29	29		31	30	30	30	78

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	1	77	78	3	55	59	0	45	33	78	10,697

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	4. Organisms and Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	72.1	76.2	67.4	63.3

### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			89.9	89.7	*	89.7	89.7		85.3	94.3	89.9	88.7	69
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			78.8	78.5	*	78.8	78.8		76.9	81.5	78.8	84.2	66
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			87.5	87.5	*	87.5	87.5		81.5	95.2	87.5	77.4	48
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			85.2	85.1	*	85.2	85.2		81.0	90.4	85.2	84.3	183

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE		D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	183	181	5	182	182	0	100	83	183	14,101

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			22	22	*	22	22		21	23	22	23	69
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			25	25	*	25	25		23	28	25	26	66
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			29	29	*	29	29		28	31	29	28	48
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			25	25	*	25	25		24	27	25	25	183

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	183	181	5	182	182	0	100	83	183	14,101

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	62.6	58.5	49.5
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	53.9	60.9	54.5
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	55.8	68.3	62.7
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	57.7	61.9	54.8

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			28	28	*	28	28		26	29	28	26	65

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	65	64	3	65	65	0	37	28	65	4,902

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.9	3.5	54.6	51.6

<sup>1</sup>Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4. The ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition.

### STAAR (SP) Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	66.4	3
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			*	*		*	*		*		*	50.0	3
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			66.7	66.7		*	*		*	*	66.7	54.8	6

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE		D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	6	6	0	5	5	0	4	2	6	259

#### State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): MATHEMATICS

STAAR (SP) Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			*	*		*	*		*	*	*	22	3
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			*	*		*	*		*		*	21	3
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			21	21		*	*		*	*	21	22	6

#### AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5													
2010												0			
2011												0			
2012	0	0 0 6 6 0 5 5 0 4 2 6 259													

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	1. Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	2. Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	3. Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	*	*	*	*	*
	2010					
4	2011					
	2012	*	*	*	*	*
	2010					
3-5	2011					
	2012	35.0	43.8	60.6	54.2	35.0

#### State Compensatory Education (SCE) Compliance for At-Risk Students

### Compliance

		RE	ADING TOTA	L (**READI	NG PROFILE	TOTAL)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	0.0	31.3	20.8	61.2	24
K**	2011	21.1	41.7	29.0	63.3	31
	2012	64.7	68.4	66.7	62.2	36
	2010	16.7	50.0	23.3	55.2	30
1	2011	33.3	66.7	54.2	58.1	24
	2012	26.3	55.0	41.0	55.4	39
	2010	33.3	100.0	60.0	48.6	20
2	2011	4.2	*	7.4	50.6	27
	2012	33.3	75.0	44.8	49.8	29
	2010					0
5	2011	17.6	52.6	36.1	36.2	36
	2012	13.2	63.6	20.3	37.2	79
	2010	22.2	78.6	38.0	51.9	50
1-5	2011	14.0	56.8	32.2	47.2	87
	2012	19.4	61.5	30.6	46.3	147

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

		I	MATHEMATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHEM	ATICS )
Grade	Year	At Risk	NotAtRisk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	10.5	36.8	15.2	51.2	105
K**	2011	44.2	42.9	44.0	56.8	100
	2012	54.9	42.1	52.9	55.1	121
	2010	27.2	50.0	28.6	48.8	98
1	2011	51.1	47.1	50.5	53.1	107
	2012	37.6	47.6	39.7	50.7	121
	2010	46.1	80.0	49.5	54.6	99
2	2011	38.0	*	38.1	56.8	97
	2012	59.8	75.0	61.0	57.9	105
	2010					0
5	2011	41.1	47.4	42.7	44.8	75
	2012	27.9	40.0	29.5	43.8	78
	2010	28.1	51.4	30.8	51.5	302
K-5	2011	43.8	45.5	44.1	53.3	379
	2012	46.5	48.3	46.8	52.2	425
	2010	267	35	302	40,163	
Number Tested	2011	324	55	379	51,019	
100100						

58

425

51,521

	2010	44	30	74	20,252	
Number Tested	2011	69	49	118	29,740	
Tested	2012	125	58	183	30,807	

### ASCHER SILBERSTEIN (209)

2012

368

### ITBS: READING TOTAL (\*\*READING PROFILE TOTAL)

### ITBS Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010		*	25.0	20.8		*	0.0		7.7	36.4	20.8	61.2	24
K**	2011	*	*	33.3	30.0	*	0.0	21.1		16.7	46.2	29.0	63.3	31
	2012	*	83.3	62.1	63.6	*	*	64.7		60.0	71.4	66.7	62.2	36
	2010	*		24.1	23.3	0.0	33.3	16.7		29.4	15.4	23.3	55.2	30
1	2011			54.2	56.5		*	33.3		55.6	53.3	54.2	58.1	24
	2012	*	*	43.8	43.2	*	37.5	26.3		32.0	57.1	41.0	55.4	39
	2010	*	*	58.8	57.9	*	*	33.3		71.4	53.8	60.0	48.6	20
2	2011	*	*	8.7	8.3	*	0.0	4.2		7.7	7.1	7.4	50.6	27
	2012		*	44.4	44.8		*	33.3		42.9	46.7	44.8	49.8	29
	2010	*	*	37.0	36.7	0.0	44.4	22.2		41.7	34.6	38.0	51.9	50
1-2	2011	*	*	31.9	31.9	*	0.0	12.1		27.3	31.0	29.4	54.4	51
	2012	*	28.6	44.1	43.9	*	25.0	30.0		35.9	51.7	42.6	52.7	68
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	36.7	38.7	16.7	25.0	17.6		31.3	42.1	36.1	36.2	36
	2012		*	21.1	19.2	16.7	9.4	13.2		17.8	23.5	20.3	37.2	79
	2010	*	*	37.0	36.7	0.0	44.4	22.2		41.7	34.6	38.0	51.9	50
1-5	2011	*	33.3	33.8	34.6	9.1	11.1	14.0		28.9	35.4	32.2	47.2	87
	2012	*	20.0	31.1	30.9	11.1	12.3	19.4		26.2	36.5	30.6	46.3	147
	2010	2	2	46	49	7	9	36	0	24	26	50	13,990	
Number Tested	2011	3	6	77	78	11	18	50	0	38	48	87	22,979	
100100														

#### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

#### **ASCHER SILBERSTEIN (209)**

2012

1

10

135 139 9

65

108

0 84 63

147

23,868

### ITBS: READING TOTAL (\*\*READING PROFILE TOTAL)

23,868

147

### ITBS Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010		*	2	2		*	0		0	2	2	1,717	24
K**	2011	*	*	1	1	*	0	0		1	0	1	2,106	31
	2012	*	3	12	14	*	*	7		5	11	16	2,027	36
	2010	*		2	2	0	0	0		1	1	2	1,729	30
1	2011			8	8		*	1		2	6	8	1,963	24
	2012	*	*	5	6	*	1	1		2	4	6	1,963	39
	2010	*	*	2	2	*	*	0		0	2	2	954	20
2	2011	*	*	1	1	*	0	0		1	0	1	1,018	27
	2012		*	2	2		*	1		0	2	2	1,017	29
	2010	*	*	4	4	0	0	0		1	3	4	2,683	50
1-2	2011	*	*	9	9	*	0	1		3	6	9	2,981	51
	2012	*	0	7	8	*	1	2		2	6	8	2,981	68
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	1	1	0	0	0		1	0	1	701	36
	2012		*	6	6	0	1	1		3	3	6	822	79
	2010	*	*	4	4	0	0	0		1	3	4	2,683	50
1-5	2011	*	0	10	10	0	0	1		4	6	10	3,692	87
	2012	*	0	13	14	0	2	3		5	9	14	3,803	147
	2010	2	2	46	49	7	9	36	0	24	26	50	13,990	
Number Tested	2011	3	6	77	78	11	18	50	0	38	48	87	22,979	

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

#### **ASCHER SILBERSTEIN (209)**

2012

1

10

65

108

0

84

63

135 139 9

### ITBS Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010		*	15.8	14.6	*	11.0	10.5		16.9	13.0	15.2	51.2	105
K**	2011	*	33.3	44.6	44.4	*	41.1	44.2		38.6	51.2	44.0	56.8	100
	2012	*	33.3	53.5	52.5	*	55.8	54.9		55.4	50.0	52.9	55.1	121
	2010	*		29.2	28.6	12.5	27.0	27.2		29.8	27.5	28.6	48.8	98
1	2011			50.5	51.4	*	51.2	51.1		44.6	56.9	50.5	53.1	107
	2012	*	*	38.6	40.3	0.0	37.8	37.6		41.7	36.7	39.7	50.7	121
	2010	*	*	49.5	49.0	*	45.0	46.1		45.5	54.5	49.5	54.6	99
2	2011	*	*	39.8	37.6	*	44.2	38.0		47.6	30.9	38.1	56.8	97
	2012		*	61.2	61.5	*	60.0	59.8		56.6	65.4	61.0	57.9	105
	2010	*	14.3	31.2	30.3	13.3	27.5	28.1		30.4	31.2	30.8	51.5	302
K-2	2011	*	25.0	45.2	44.8	18.2	45.8	44.4		43.2	45.6	44.4	55.6	304
	2012	*	46.2	50.8	51.0	0.0	50.8	50.7		50.5	51.0	50.7	54.5	347
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	43.5	45.7	14.3	44.9	41.1	*	45.9	40.5	42.7	44.8	75
	2012		*	30.7	29.2	0.0	31.5	27.9		31.1	27.3	29.5	43.8	78
	2010	*	14.3	31.2	30.3	13.3	27.5	28.1		30.4	31.2	30.8	51.5	302
K-5	2011	*	33.3	44.9	45.0	16.7	45.6	43.8	*	43.8	44.6	44.1	53.3	379
	2012	*	37.5	47.0	47.2	0.0	47.4	46.5		46.8	46.8	46.8	52.2	425

	2010	3	7	292	297	15	236	267	0	161	141	302	40,163
Number Tested	2011	5	12	361	367	18	285	324	1	192	186	379	51,019
restea	2012	2	16	406	413	16	310	368	0	235	190	425	51,521

### **ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL (\*\*MATHEMATICS)**

51,019

51,521

### ITBS Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010		*	1	1	*	1	1		1	0	1	2,358	105
K**	2011	*	0	9	9	*	7	7		6	3	9	2,815	100
	2012	*	2	14	15	*	9	13		11	6	17	2,472	121
	2010	*		6	6	0	5	5		3	3	6	2,266	98
1	2011			14	14	*	9	10		8	6	14	2,716	107
	2012	*	*	11	11	0	8	8		6	5	11	2,473	121
	2010	*	*	12	12	*	11	11		7	5	12	2,484	99
2	2011	*	*	9	9	*	9	9		6	3	9	2,746	97
	2012		*	27	27	*	20	22		14	13	27	2,843	105
	2010	*	0	19	19	0	17	17		11	8	19	7,108	302
K-2	2011	*	0	32	32	0	25	26		20	12	32	8,277	304
	2012	*	2	52	53	0	37	43		31	24	55	7,788	347
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	8	8	1	5	6	*	4	4	8	1,395	75
	2012		*	6	6	0	5	5		5	1	6	1,426	78
	2010	*	0	19	19	0	17	17		11	8	19	7,108	302
K-5	2011	*	0	40	40	1	30	32	*	24	16	40	9,696	379
	2012	*	2	58	59	0	42	48		36	25	61	9,214	425
	2010	3	7	292	297	15	236	267	0	161	141	302	40,163	[
Number	2011	5	12	361	367	18	285	324	1	192	186	379	51 019	

#### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

### **ASCHER SILBERSTEIN (209)**

Tested

#### **ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION**

### ITBS Math (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*		47.9	46.9	12.5	52.7	47.8		44.7	49.0	46.9	63.5	98
1	2011			59.8	61.0	*	55.8	56.7		53.6	66.7	59.8	66.7	107
	2012	*	*	49.1	47.9	0.0	47.8	44.6		48.6	44.9	47.1	62.5	121
	2010	*	*	62.1	61.5	*	62.5	59.6		61.8	61.4	61.6	61.4	99
2	2011	*	*	44.1	39.8	*	46.8	42.4		54.8	32.7	42.3	62.0	97
	2012		*	78.6	78.8	*	77.5	78.4		75.5	80.8	78.1	63.6	105
	2010	*	*	55.0	54.1	16.7	57.8	53.6		53.9	54.7	54.3	62.5	197
1-2	2011	*	*	52.5	51.0	28.6	51.5	49.5		54.1	49.1	51.5	64.4	204
	2012	*	28.6	63.1	62.3	0.0	61.8	61.1		60.0	63.4	61.5	63.0	226
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	49.3	51.4	28.6	58.8	53.4	*	44.7	52.6	48.1	48.6	77
	2012		*	37.3	37.5	16.7	40.7	35.3		26.7	48.5	35.9	45.9	78
	2010	*	*	55.0	54.1	16.7	57.8	53.6		53.9	54.7	54.3	62.5	197
1-5	2011	*	33.3	51.7	51.1	28.6	53.3	50.4	*	51.5	50.0	50.5	59.7	281
	2012	*	20.0	56.5	56.3	7.1	56.7	54.5		51.2	59.7	54.9	57.8	304
	2010	3	3	191	194	12	154	181	0	102	95	197	26,937	

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

	2010	3	3	191	194	12	154	181	0	102	95	197	26,937
Number Tested	2011	3	6	271	270	14	214	240	1	136	144	281	38,176
	2012	1	10	292	295	14	224	266	0	170	134	304	38,650

#### **ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION**

## ITBS Math (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*		13	13	0	11	13		7	6	13	4,129	98
1	2011			21	21	*	18	18		9	12	21	4,427	107
	2012	*	*	21	21	0	17	18		14	7	21	4,060	121
	2010	*	*	32	31	*	26	28		17	15	32	3,776	99
2	2011	*	*	12	12	*	12	12		9	3	12	3,810	97
	2012		*	36	36	*	28	32		16	20	36	3,820	105
	2010	*	*	45	44	1	37	41		24	21	45	7,905	197
1-2	2011	*	*	33	33	0	30	30		18	15	33	8,237	204
	2012	*	0	57	57	0	45	50		30	27	57	7,880	226
	2010													0
5	2011	*	*	9	10	1	7	8	*	5	5	10	1,416	77
	2012		*	3	3	0	3	3		3	0	3	1,169	78
	2010	*	*	45	44	1	37	41		24	21	45	7,905	197
1-5	2011	*	1	42	43	1	37	38	*	23	20	43	9,660	281
	2012	*	0	60	60	0	48	53		33	27	60	9,049	304
														-
	2010	3	3	191	194	12	154	181	0	102	95	197	26,937	

### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	2010	3	3	191	194	12	154	181	0	102	95	197	26,937
Number Tested	2011	3	6	271	270	14	214	240	1	136	144	281	38,176
	2012	1	10	292	295	14	224	266	0	170	134	304	38,650

### Logramos: READING TOTAL

### LOG Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			92.5	92.3	*	92.5	92.2		93.3	91.4	92.5	89.3	80
к	2011			92.6	92.6	*	92.6	94.0		88.9	96.9	92.6	90.9	68
	2012			95.3	95.3	*	95.3	95.3		96.0	94.3	95.3	89.9	85
	2010			92.8	92.8	*	92.8	92.8		87.5	97.3	92.8	89.1	69
1	2011			91.7	91.6	*	91.7	92.7		87.2	97.3	91.7	89.4	84
	2012			81.7	81.7	*	81.7	81.7		72.3	94.3	81.7	89.2	82
	2010			93.4	93.2	*	93.4	94.6		95.6	90.3	93.4	93.8	76
2	2011			97.2	97.1		97.2	97.1		100.0	95.2	97.2	94.9	71
	2012			98.7	98.7	*	98.7	98.7		97.4	100.0	98.7	93.3	76
	2010			92.9	92.8	50.0	92.9	93.2		92.6	93.2	92.9	90.7	225
K-2	2011			93.7	93.7	*	93.7	94.5		91.1	96.4	93.7	91.7	223
	2012			91.8	91.7	66.7	91.8	91.8		88.2	96.3	91.8	90.8	243
	2010													0
5	2011			97.7	97.7	*	97.7	97.7		95.7	100.0	97.7	93.5	43
	2012			97.8	97.7	*	97.8	97.8		96.3	100.0	97.8	91.4	46
	2010			92.9	92.8	50.0	92.9	93.2		92.6	93.2	92.9	90.7	225
K-5	2011			94.4	94.3	83.3	94.4	95.0		91.9	96.9	94.4	91.9	266
	2012			92.7	92.7	62.5	92.7	92.7		89.6	96.8	92.7	90.9	289

	2010	0	0	225	221	6	225	220	0	122	103	225	19,041
Number Tested	2011	0	0	266	264	6	266	261	0	135	131	266	21,141
Tested	2012	0	0	289	286	8	288	289	0	163	126	289	21,150

### Logramos: READING TOTAL

### LOG Read (2)

NUMBER A	OR ABOVE	THE 80th	PERCENTILE
----------	----------	----------	------------

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			44	44	*	44	44		21	23	44	3,523	80
к	2011			42	42	*	42	42		21	21	42	3,964	68
	2012			63	63	*	63	63		37	26	63	3,679	85
	2010			25	25	*	25	25		10	15	25	2,529	69
1	2011			43	43	*	43	43		19	24	43	2,459	84
	2012			24	24	*	24	24		10	14	24	2,437	82
	2010			33	32	*	33	33		16	17	33	3,040	76
2	2011			41	41		41	39		17	24	41	3,227	71
	2012			50	50	*	50	50		25	25	50	2,934	76
	2010			102	101	0	102	102		47	55	102	9,092	225
K-2	2011			126	126	*	126	124		57	69	126	9,650	223
	2012			137	137	1	137	137		72	65	137	9,050	243
	2010													0
5	2011			22	22	*	22	22		8	14	22	856	43
	2012			19	18	*	19	19		11	8	19	912	46
	2010			102	101	0	102	102		47	55	102	9,092	225
K-5	2011			148	148	1	148	146		65	83	148	10,519	266
	2012			156	155	1	156	156		83	73	156	9,962	289
,														
	204.0	0	0	005	004	C	005	000	0	400	400	0.05	40.044	

	2010	0	0	225	221	6	225	220	0	122	103	225	19,041
Number Tested	2011	0	0	266	264	6	266	261	0	135	131	266	21,141
	2012	0	0	289	286	8	288	289	0	163	126	289	21,150

	Level 1	in 2011	Level 2	in 2011	Level 3	in 2011	Levels 1-	3 in 2011
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Tested Improved		Percentage Improved in 2012	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2012	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2012
5	1	0.0	0	-	0	-	1	0.0
ALL	1	0.0	0	-	0	-	1	0.0

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

### TELPAS

### PERFORMANCE IN 2012

#### PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	List	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite		Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level	
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning	43	51.2	58	69.0	75	89.3	81	96.4	81	96.4	ľ		Beginning		-	-	
KN	Intermediate	25	29.8	12	14.3	9	10.7	3	3.6	3	3.6		-	Intermediate	-		-	
(84)	Advanced	16	19.0	14	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			Advanced	-	-	-	
	Advanced High	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0			Advanced High	-	-	-	
	Beginning	21	24.1	33	37.9	60	69.8	54	62.1	54	62.8	ľ		Beginning		5	3	
1	Intermediate	34	39.1	38	43.7	22	25.6	15	17.2	15	17.4		83	Intermediate	14		0	
(87)	Advanced	30	34.5	14	16.1	1	1.2	15	17.2	14	16.3		30 (36.1%)	Advanced	10	4	0	)
	Advanced High	2	2.3	2	2.3	3	3.5	3	3.4	3	3.5			Advanced High	1	0	1	
	Beginning	3	3.8	18	22.5	28	35.0	6	7.4	6	7.5			Beginning		4		
2	Intermediate	31	38.8	39	48.8	33	41.3	30	37.0	30	37.5		76	Intermediate	17	13		
(81)	Advanced	33	41.3	22	27.5	19	23.8	34	42.0	34	42.5		49 (64.5%)	Advanced	10	12	1(	C
	Advanced High	13	16.3	1	1.3	0	0.0	11	13.6	10	12.5			Advanced High	2	2	6	j
	Beginning	1	1.4	3	4.1	11	14.9	5	6.7	4	5.5			Beginning		4	1	
3	Intermediate	19	25.7	27	37.0	41	55.4	18	24.0	17	23.3		72	Intermediate	5		11	
(75)	Advanced	39	52.7	33	45.2	20	27.0	28	37.3	31	42.5		34 (47.2%)	Advanced	0	8	23	3
	Advanced High	15	20.3	10	13.7	2	2.7	24	32.0	21	28.8			Advanced High	0	3	18	3
	Beginning	2	2.8	2	2.8	7	9.9	6	8.5	6	8.5			Beginning		Į	5	
4	Intermediate	13	18.3	26	36.6	31	43.7	17	23.9	17	23.9		68	Intermediate	5		11	
(71)	Advanced	39	54.9	29	40.8	15	21.1	21	29.6	22	31.0		36 (52.9%)	Advanced	0	6	16	6
	Advanced High	17	23.9	14	19.7	18	25.4	27	38.0	26	36.6			Advanced High	0	0	25	5

### **PERFORMANCE IN 2012**

#### PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	stening Speaking			Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2012 Level	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	1	1.6	1	1.6	2	3.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	Intermediate	2	3.3	3	4.9	24	39.3	10	16.9	10	16.9
(61)	Advanced	24	39.3	32	52.5	26	42.6	14	23.7	14	23.7
	Advanced High	34	55.7	25	41.0	9	14.8	35	59.3	35	59.3
	Beginning	71	15.5	115	25.2	183	40.1	152	33.3	151	33.3
ALL	Intermediate	124	27.1	145	31.8	160	35.1	93	20.4	92	20.3
(459)	Advanced	181	39.6	144	31.6	81	17.8	112	24.5	115	25.4
	Advanced High	81	17.7	52	11.4	32	7.0	100	21.9	95	21.0

Number Rated Both Years		2011 Level				
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High	
	Beginning		0			
53	Intermediate	1		7		
38 (71.7%)	Advanced	1	4	8 31		
	Advanced High	0	1			
	Beginning		66			
352	Intermediate	42	42			
187 (53.1%)	Advanced	21	34	5	7	
	Advanced High	3	6	8	1	

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2011 to 2012.