

2012-13 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2. Contents Table of Contents	3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5. Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6. Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7. Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9. Teachers Teacher Statistics	
STAAR	
10. 3-8 Reading STAAR 3-8 Reading	13. 3-8 Writing STAAR 3-8 Writing
15. 3-8 Math STAAR 3-8 Mathematics	18. 3-8 Science STAAR 3-8 Science
20. Spanish Reading Spanish STAAR 3-8 Reading	23. Spanish Writing Spanish STAAR 3-8 Writing
ITBS & LOGRAMOS	
25. Compliance SCE Compliance for At-Risk Students	26. ITBS ReadITBS Reading
28. ITBS Math ITBS Mathematics	32. LOG Read Logramos Reading
ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
34. WMLSWoodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	35. TELPASTexas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

#### 2011-12 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11 forward, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2011-12. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2010-11 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2010-11 and 2011-12.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

# **TEACHERS**

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

FRANK GUZICK (240)

#### STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR M

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from the standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR M statistics are computed separately, following the TEA's reporting practice.
- Exclusive for 2012: Until standards are released in Spring 2013, the "Percentage Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Percentage Meeting Equivalent TAKS Standard" for reading and mathematics. (Equivalent standards not produced by TEA for other STAAR subjects.) The "Number not Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Average Number of Items Correct."
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC reading tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. STAAR compositions are rated twice on a scale of 0 to 4. The two ratings are summed for a range of possible score points from 0 to 8.
- STAAR M reports not currently available. At time of publication, insufficient information was available from the TEA to produce meaningful statistics for reporting.

# **SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS**

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading statistics are based on the Reading Profile Total (a combination of the Vocabulary, Word Analysis, and Listening subtests) for all years available. All other grades ITBS reading statistics, and Logramos reading statistics for all grades, are based on Reading Total results. (Reading Total results are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Kindergarten ITBS mathematics statistics are based on the Mathematics subtest. All other grades' statistics are based on Mathematics Total results. (Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

# **TELPAS**

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2011 to 2012, the TEA did not report the 2011 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2012, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2011.

#### STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
KN	131
1	124
2	102
3	127
4	120
5	109
ALL	713

#### STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Race	Stud	dents	Teac	hers
Etimicity/Nace	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	329	46.1	22	47.8
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0.0	*	*
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	3	0.4	*	*
Hispanic	365	51.2	14	30.4
White	10	1.4	8	17.4
Multiple	6	0.8	1	2.2
Other* (teachers only)	_	_	1	2.2
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0	_	_

<sup>\*</sup>For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

#### SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	527	73.9
Economically disadvantaged	687	96.4
Limited English proficient (LEP)	258	36.2
Special education	55	7.7
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	73	10.2

			African A	American	America	ın Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wh	nite	Multiple	category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2010	96	41	42.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	54	56.3	1	1.0	_	_
KN	2011	109	55	50.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	51	46.8	1	0.9	1	0.9
	2012	131	54	41.2	0	0.0	2	1.5	70	53.4	3	2.3	2	1.5
	2010	153	72	47.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	80	52.3	1	0.7	_	_
1	2011	93	44	47.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	49.5	2	2.2	1	1.1
	2012	124	65	52.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	56	45.2	1	0.8	2	1.6
	2010	135	66	48.9	1	0.7	0	0.0	65	48.1	3	2.2	_	_
2	2011	134	58	43.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	74	55.2	1	0.7	1	0.7
	2012	102	50	49.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	50	49.0	0	0.0	2	2.0
	2010	119	56	47.1	0	0.0	1	0.8	60	50.4	2	1.7	_	_
3	2011	123	64	52.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	56	45.5	3	2.4	0	0.0
	2012	127	47	37.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	59.8	4	3.1	0	0.0
	2010	111	65	58.6	0	0.0	1	0.9	45	40.5	0	0.0	_	_
4	2011	115	57	49.6	0	0.0	1	0.9	56	48.7	1	0.9	0	0.0
	2012	120	59	49.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	59	49.2	2	1.7	0	0.0
	2010	135	68	50.4	0	0.0	1	0.7	63	46.7	3	2.2	_	_
5	2011	112	68	60.7	0	0.0	1	0.9	42	37.5	1	0.9	0	0.0
	2012	109	54	49.5	0	0.0	1	0.9	54	49.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	749	368	49.1	1	0.1	3	0.4	367	49.0	10	1.3	_	_
KN-5	2011	686	346	50.4	0	0.0	2	0.3	325	47.4	9	1.3	3	0.4
	2012	713	329	46.1	0	0.0	3	0.4	365	51.2	10	1.4	6	0.8

FRANK GUZICK (240) 6

			Econoi Disadva	mically antaged	LI	ΞP	Special E	ducation	At I	Rlsk	TA	AG	New (to	District)	Ger	nder	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2010	96	89	92.7	39	40.6	0	0.0	68	70.8	3	3.1	59	61.5	60.4	39.6	2.1
KN	2011	109	98	89.9	31	28.4	6	5.5	82	75.2	2	1.8	66	60.6	56.9	43.1	2.8
	2012	131	125	95.4	50	38.2	5	3.8	78	59.5	3	2.3	89	67.9	44.3	55.7	0.8
	2010	153	147	96.1	66	43.1	3	2.0	117	76.5	10	6.5	19	12.4	51.6	48.4	3.3
1	2011	93	87	93.5	36	38.7	2	2.2	64	68.8	8	8.6	10	10.8	55.9	44.1	8.6
	2012	124	121	97.6	33	26.6	7	5.6	69	55.6	15	12.1	28	22.6	48.4	51.6	5.6
	2010	135	121	89.6	47	34.8	8	5.9	98	72.6	11	8.1	18	13.3	42.2	57.8	1.5
2	2011	134	129	96.3	60	44.8	4	3.0	104	77.6	11	8.2	12	9.0	51.5	48.5	3.0
	2012	102	100	98.0	33	32.4	4	3.9	82	80.4	12	11.8	8	7.8	58.8	41.2	7.8
	2010	119	112	94.1	41	34.5	12	10.1	89	74.8	10	8.4	16	13.4	47.1	52.9	3.4
3	2011	123	111	90.2	41	33.3	7	5.7	89	72.4	14	11.4	14	11.4	47.2	52.8	1.6
	2012	127	122	96.1	62	48.8	14	11.0	111	87.4	9	7.1	19	15.0	48.8	51.2	1.6
	2010	111	103	92.8	33	29.7	7	6.3	68	61.3	9	8.1	14	12.6	54.1	45.9	0.9
4	2011	115	107	93.0	38	33.0	13	11.3	66	57.4	13	11.3	17	14.8	57.4	42.6	0.9
	2012	120	113	94.2	47	39.2	14	11.7	100	83.3	20	16.7	22	18.3	47.5	52.5	0.8
	2010	135	127	94.1	37	27.4	20	14.8	76	56.3	15	11.1	16	11.9	52.6	47.4	3.0
5	2011	112	105	93.8	29	25.9	15	13.4	55	49.1	14	12.5	14	12.5	51.8	48.2	0.9
	2012	109	106	97.2	33	30.3	11	10.1	87	79.8	14	12.8	16	14.7	52.3	47.7	0.0
	2010	749	699	93.3	263	35.1	50	6.7	516	68.9	58	7.7	142	19.0	50.9	49.1	2.4
KN-5	2011	686	637	92.9	235	34.3	47	6.9	460	67.1	62	9.0	133	19.4	53.2	46.8	2.8
	2012	713	687	96.4	258	36.2	55	7.7	527	73.9	73	10.2	182	25.5	49.6	50.4	2.7

FRANK GUZICK (240)

			ge Daily pership		Average D	aily Attendanc	e	Yea	arly Transad	ctions		nuously	Stability Rate	
Grade	Year	N	District	N	%	District N	District %	N	%	District %	N	District	%	District
	2010	93	13,234	89	95.1	12,616	95.3	46	49.3	21.5	66	11,233	70.7	84.9
KN	2011	108	13,211	103	95.5	12,645	95.7	51	47.2	21.4	84	11,602	77.7	87.8
	2012	127	13,633	122	95.8	13,086	96.0	33	25.9	20.1	109	11,731	85.5	86.0
	2010	151	13,848	144	95.5	13,286	95.9	62	41.0	20.0	120	11,978	79.4	86.5
1	2011	94	13,458	90	96.4	12,967	96.4	27	28.8	19.0	83	12,047	88.6	89.5
	2012	121	14,026	115	95.3	13,533	96.5	32	26.5	18.6	98	12,292	81.2	87.6
	2010	128	13,441	121	94.3	12,947	96.3	44	34.3	18.9	96	11,794	74.8	87.7
2	2011	133	13,013	129	97.2	12,593	96.8	30	22.6	17.1	120	11,853	90.4	91.1
	2012	104	13,338	100	96.2	12,932	97.0	30	29.0	17.0	81	11,883	78.2	89.1
	2010	117	13,291	112	96.2	12,854	96.7	39	33.4	17.6	93	11,815	79.6	88.9
3	2011	120	12,619	116	96.2	12,254	97.1	41	34.1	16.6	100	11,604	83.3	92.0
	2012	128	12,874	123	96.1	12,508	97.2	39	30.5	15.6	106	11,592	82.9	90.0
	2010	109	12,300	104	95.8	11,900	96.8	47	43.2	17.2	87	10,987	80.0	89.3
4	2011	114	12,506	110	96.9	12,150	97.1	32	28.1	15.7	97	11,544	85.1	92.3
	2012	121	12,493	116	95.8	12,139	97.2	37	30.6	16.7	97	11,218	80.2	89.8
	2010	129	11,688	123	95.6	11,310	96.8	38	29.5	17.0	102	10,453	79.1	89.4
5	2011	108	11,742	105	96.8	11,419	97.2	13	12.0	8.2	92	10,892	85.2	92.8
	2012	107	12,562	102	95.8	12,220	97.3	19	17.8	5.4	87	11,364	81.3	90.5
	2010	727	77,802	694	95.4	74,914	96.3	276	38.0	18.8	564	68,260	77.6	87.7
KN-5	2011	677	76,549	653	96.5	74,028	96.7	194	28.7	16.5	576	69,542	85.1	90.8
	2012	708	78,926	678	95.8	76,419	96.8	190	26.8	15.7	578	70,080	81.7	88.8

Teachers Teacher Statistics

Teachers: 46

#### **DISTRIBUTIONS**

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage				
African American	22	47.8				
Hispanic	14	30.4				
White	8	17.4				
Multiple	1	2.2				
Other	1	2.2				

Gender	Number	Percentage			
Female	36	78.3			
Male	10	21.7			

### **ATTENDANCE / RETENTION**

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2009-10	6.6	_
2010-11	9.3	93.3
2011-12	7.5	93.2

#### YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage				
Beginning (0)	3	6.5				
1	0	0.0				
2	5	10.9				
3	0	0.0				
4	8	17.4 8.7				
5	4					
1-3	5	10.9				
More than 3	38	82.6				
1 - 5	17	37.0				
6 - 10	9	19.6				
11 - 20	8	17.4				
More than 20	9	19.6				

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	85.7	91.7	90.8	*	87.5	83.7		86.7	89.7	88.4	84.0	69
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	72.7	73.7	71.4	*	50.0	58.5		75.0	73.2	74.0	76.8	77
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012		85.7	81.8	85.3	*	*	73.7		92.7	74.2	84.7	80.3	72
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*	80.8	83.1	82.3	100.0	72.2	72.7		85.0	79.3	82.1	80.3	218

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	5	146	65	203	12	18	128	0	107	111	218	22,169

# **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	21	24	23	*	21	21		22	24	23	23	69
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	24	25	24	*	19	22		23	26	25	26	77
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012		28	30	29	*	*	26		28	29	29	29	72
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*	25	26	25	25	22	23		25	26	25	26	218

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	5	146	65	203	12	18	128	0	107	111	218	22,169

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5)     Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	Understanding/Analysis     of Literary Texts	Understanding/Analysis     of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	60.6	54.9	58.8
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	60.8	56.3	52.9
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	63.1	57.3	67.6
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	61.5	56.2	59.6

# **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	23	23	22	*	*	20		21	24	23	24	76

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	1	55	19	69	3	5	41	0	36	40	76	7,036

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Personal Narrative     GR 7: Expository	Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Expository     GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.8	3.8	54.4	53.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=between Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=between Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=between Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	64.3	75.7	72.6	57.1	75.9	70.7		68.4	75.8	72.3	77.0	119
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	72.7	75.5	73.1	*	77.5	66.7		76.0	72.1	73.9	79.5	111
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012		70.0	63.3	66.7	33.3	66.7	58.5		66.7	67.4	67.0	76.2	100
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012	*	69.4	72.2	70.9	52.9	74.2	66.1		70.1	72.3	71.2	77.6	330

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRAI	DES 3-5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	5	147	176	313	17	128	239	0	164	166	330	35,367

# **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	24	27	27	26	27	26		26	26	26	27	119
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012	*	26	29	27	*	29	26		27	27	27	28	111
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012		26	27	27	24	26	24		26	27	27	30	100

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative     Reasoning	Patterns,     Relationships, and     Algebraic Reasoning	Geometry and     Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	55.2	57.2	69.3	58.1	43.7
	2010					
4	2011					
	2012	59.0	50.6	59.9	50.8	54.6
	2010					
5	2011					
	2012	54.7	55.2	61.9	48.0	49.5
	2010					
3-5	2011					
	2012	56.3	54.4	63.9	52.6	49.1

# **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012		30	28	29	27	26	27		29	30	29	30	100

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 5										
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	50	49	96	6	30	64	0	58	42	100	10,697

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	Organisms and     Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	69.6	75.5	65.1	60.9

#### PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			92.5	92.2	*	92.5	92.5		89.3	96.0	92.5	88.7	53
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			91.9	91.9		94.3	94.4		88.2	95.0	91.9	84.2	37
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			77.8	77.8		76.0	76.0		76.5	80.0	77.8	77.4	27
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			88.9	88.7	*	89.4	89.5		85.5	92.7	88.9	84.3	117

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5										
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	117	115	5	113	114	0	62	55	117	14,101

# **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			24	24	*	24	24		22	27	24	23	53
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			30	30		30	31		29	31	30	26	37
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			31	31		31	31		30	33	31	28	27
	2010													
3-5	2011													
	2012			28	28	*	28	28		26	29	28	25	117

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5											
2010												0	
2011												0	
2012	0	0	117	115	5	113	114	0	62	55	117	14,101	

### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	Understanding/Analysis     of Literary Texts	Understanding/Analysis     of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	70.4	64.7	52.5
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	60.8	71.2	70.1
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	60.7	71.5	65.1
	2010			
3-5	2011			
	2012	65.2	68.3	61.0

# **AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			27	27		27	27		26	28	27	26	37

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 4										
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	37	37	0	36	36	0	17	20	37	4,902

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Personal Narrative     GR 7: Expository	Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Expository     GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.8	3.8	54.4	53.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4. The ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition.

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

		RE	ADING TOTA	AL (**READI	NG PROFILE	TOTAL)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	42.4	65.4	52.5	61.2	59
K**	2011	30.0	68.0	43.2	63.3	74
	2012	53.3	52.9	53.1	62.2	81
	2010	42.4	53.1	46.2	55.2	91
1	2011	53.3	89.3	70.7	58.1	58
	2012	51.4	40.0	44.4	55.4	90
	2010	18.5	59.4	33.7	48.6	86
2	2011	13.0	64.5	33.8	50.6	77
	2012	39.6	80.0	51.5	49.8	68
	2010					0
5	2011	12.5	29.3	23.1	36.2	65
	2012	23.3	60.0	31.2	37.2	93
	2010	31.0	56.3	40.1	51.9	177
1-5	2011	25.0	57.0	41.0	47.2	200
	2012	34.6	52.6	41.4	46.3	251

	2010	146	90	236	20,252	
Number Tested	2011	150	125	274	29,740	
. 55164	2012	186	146	332	30,807	

		N	/ ATHEM ATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHEM	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	42.4	46.4	43.6	51.2	94
K**	2011	45.5	65.4	51.0	56.8	102
	2012	66.7	64.2	65.6	55.1	131
	2010	27.4	31.4	28.3	48.8	152
1	2011	45.2	69.0	52.7	53.1	91
	2012	35.8	38.2	36.9	50.7	122
	2010	32.7	45.7	36.1	54.6	133
2	2011	32.7	53.1	37.5	56.8	136
	2012	39.0	84.2	47.5	57.9	101
	2010					0
5	2011	12.8	51.3	32.1	44.8	78
	2012	27.1	58.8	33.3	43.8	87
	2010	32.7	40.8	34.8	51.5	379
K-5	2011	36.2	58.7	43.2	53.3	407
	2012	42.8	56.3	47.2	52.2	441

	2010	281	98	379	40,163	
Number Tested	2011	282	126	407	51,019	
restea	2012	297	144	441	51,521	

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	56.1	41.2	50.0		*	42.4		48.5	57.7	52.5	61.2	59
K**	2011	*	43.1	42.9	41.8	*	*	30.0		42.5	44.1	43.2	63.3	74
	2012	*	49.1	59.1	50.7	*	*	53.3		46.5	60.5	53.1	62.2	81
	2010	*	50.0	30.0	45.3		*	42.4		47.7	44.7	46.2	55.2	91
1	2011	*	69.0	76.9	66.7	*	*	53.3		77.8	64.5	70.7	58.1	58
	2012	*	44.4	37.5	43.7	*	*	51.4		50.0	40.0	44.4	55.4	90
	2010	*	33.8	36.8	32.0	*	*	18.5		19.4	44.0	33.7	48.6	86
2	2011	*	32.8	35.3	32.9		*	13.0		25.0	41.5	33.8	50.6	77
	2012		51.0	52.9	50.8	*	*	39.6		48.6	54.5	51.5	49.8	68
	2010	*	42.2	33.3	39.1	*	28.6	31.0		35.0	44.3	40.1	51.9	177
1-2	2011	*	48.0	53.3	46.8	*	28.6	28.9		47.6	51.4	49.6	54.4	135
	2012	*	47.3	43.9	46.7	*	*	44.6		49.3	45.8	47.5	52.7	158
	2010													0
5	2011		22.2	30.0	24.2	*	*	12.5		23.7	22.2	23.1	36.2	65
	2012	*	33.3	27.9	31.8	0.0	26.1	23.3		29.4	33.3	31.2	37.2	93
	2010	*	42.2	33.3	39.1	*	28.6	31.0		35.0	44.3	40.1	51.9	177
1-5	2011	*	39.0	47.5	39.2	*	25.0	25.0		38.6	43.4	41.0	47.2	200
	2012	*	43.1	35.7	41.3	8.3	23.1	34.6		41.3	41.6	41.4	46.3	251

	2010	2	135	39	161	3	7	113	0	80	97	177	13,990
Number Tested	2011	3	154	40	186	4	8	100	0	101	99	200	22,979
Tested	2012	2	160	84	240	12	26	156	0	126	125	251	23,868

### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	7	1	7		*	4		5	3	8	1,717	59
K**	2011	*	8	3	10	*	*	2		5	7	12	2,106	74
	2012	*	10	3	14	*	*	5		6	10	16	2,027	81
	2010	*	14	3	16		*	8		8	10	18	1,729	91
1	2011	*	11	4	13	*	*	2		8	8	16	1,963	58
	2012	*	16	4	20	*	*	7		10	10	20	1,963	90
	2010	*	7	0	5	*	*	0		1	6	7	954	86
2	2011	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	1,018	77
	2012		8	3	11	*	*	6		7	5	12	1,017	68
	2010	*	21	3	21	*	0	8		9	16	25	2,683	177
1-2	2011	*	11	4	13	*	0	2		8	8	16	2,981	135
	2012	*	24	7	31	*	*	13		17	15	32	2,981	158
	2010													0
5	2011		1	0	1	*	*	1		0	1	1	701	65
	2012	*	0	3	3	0	1	1		2	1	3	822	93
	2010	*	21	3	21	*	0	8		9	16	25	2,683	177
1-5	2011	*	12	4	14	*	0	3		8	9	17	3,692	200
	2012	*	24	10	34	1	1	14		19	16	35	3,803	251

	2010	2	135	39	161	3	7	113	0	80	97	177	13,990
Number Tested	2011	3	154	40	186	4	8	100	0	101	99	200	22,979
Tostoa	2012	2	160	84	240	12	26	156	0	126	125	251	23,868

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	43.9	42.3	42.5		46.2	42.4		37.5	52.6	43.6	51.2	94
K**	2011	*	51.9	47.9	48.9	*	42.9	45.5		53.7	47.9	51.0	56.8	102
	2012	*	61.1	70.0	64.8	*	65.3	66.7		56.9	72.6	65.6	55.1	131
	2010	*	40.3	16.5	27.1	*	15.6	27.4		26.9	29.7	28.3	48.8	152
1	2011	*	51.2	53.3	50.0	*	42.9	45.2		59.6	43.6	52.7	53.1	91
	2012	*	33.3	41.1	37.3	*	43.8	35.8		39.7	34.4	36.9	50.7	122
	2010	*	25.8	47.7	35.3	0.0	48.9	32.7		33.9	37.7	36.1	54.6	133
2	2011	*	33.9	41.3	37.7	*	36.1	32.7		38.6	36.4	37.5	56.8	136
	2012		44.0	51.0	45.9	*	45.5	39.0		47.5	47.6	47.5	57.9	101
	2010	*	35.8	33.7	33.7	0.0	34.0	32.7		32.1	37.6	34.8	51.5	379
K-2	2011	*	44.8	46.4	44.5	11.1	39.5	39.9		49.4	41.8	45.9	55.6	329
	2012	*	45.5	55.4	49.9	33.3	53.5	47.6		48.0	53.1	50.6	54.5	354
	2010													0
5	2011		32.7	28.6	29.7	*	15.8	12.8		30.0	34.2	32.1	44.8	78
	2012	*	27.3	39.0	31.7	0.0	44.0	27.1		31.9	35.0	33.3	43.8	87
	2010	*	35.8	33.7	33.7	0.0	34.0	32.7		32.1	37.6	34.8	51.5	379
K-5	2011	*	41.9	43.9	41.6	8.3	36.4	36.2		45.8	40.3	43.2	53.3	407
	2012	*	41.7	52.3	46.3	19.0	51.8	42.8		44.6	49.8	47.2	52.2	441

	2010	3	179	196	350	10	150	281	0	190	189	379	40,163
Number Tested	2011	4	203	196	382	12	143	282	0	216	191	407	51,019
rested	2012	5	211	216	423	21	139	297	0	222	219	441	51,521

FRANK GUZICK (240) 28

### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	7	3	10		2	5		2	8	10	2,358	94
K**	2011	*	5	3	7	*	2	4		3	5	8	2,815	102
	2012	*	9	26	37	*	21	28		13	25	38	2,472	131
	2010	*	4	3	8	*	2	5		5	3	8	2,266	152
1	2011	*	9	6	13	*	2	5		11	5	16	2,716	91
	2012	*	8	4	11	*	3	7		7	5	12	2,473	122
	2010	*	6	4	8	0	3	3		0	10	10	2,484	133
2	2011	*	2	6	8	*	4	4		4	4	8	2,746	136
	2012		3	6	10	*	2	4		7	3	10	2,843	101
	2010	*	17	10	26	0	7	13		7	21	28	7,108	379
K-2	2011	*	16	15	28	1	8	13		18	14	32	8,277	329
	2012	*	20	36	58	1	26	39		27	33	60	7,788	354
	2010													0
5	2011		4	0	4	*	0	1		3	2	5	1,395	78
	2012	*	1	4	5	0	3	3		3	2	5	1,426	87
	2010	*	17	10	26	0	7	13		7	21	28	7,108	379
K-5	2011	*	20	15	32	1	8	14		21	16	37	9,696	407
	2012	*	21	40	63	1	29	42		30	35	65	9,214	441

	2010	3	179	196	350	10	150	281	0	190	189	379	40,163
Number Tested	2011	4	203	196	382	12	143	282	0	216	191	407	51,019
Tested	2012	5	211	216	423	21	139	297	0	222	219	441	51,521

ITBS Math (3) ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	53.5	45.6	49.0	*	45.3	51.7		44.9	54.8	49.7	63.5	151
1	2011	*	59.5	66.7	60.2	*	60.0	57.4		68.6	56.4	63.3	66.7	90
	2012	*	47.6	44.6	46.6	*	50.0	49.3		56.9	37.5	46.7	62.5	122
	2010	*	23.4	46.0	33.9	*	57.8	33.0		26.4	39.5	34.1	61.4	129
2	2011	*	39.7	42.7	41.1	*	41.0	36.9		37.7	43.9	40.7	62.0	135
	2012		42.9	53.2	48.4	*	54.5	44.3		53.6	42.9	49.0	63.6	98
	2010	*	39.3	45.8	42.2	0.0	50.5	43.3		37.4	47.0	42.5	62.5	280
1-2	2011	*	48.0	51.7	48.6	*	47.9	44.5		50.8	48.6	49.8	64.4	225
	2012	*	45.5	48.5	47.4	*	52.3	46.6		55.3	39.6	47.7	63.0	220
	2010													0
5	2011		51.9	36.7	45.6	*	33.3	35.7		41.9	52.5	47.0	48.6	83
	2012	*	31.1	48.8	40.0	0.0	64.0	36.1		40.8	39.0	40.0	45.9	90
	2010	*	39.3	45.8	42.2	0.0	50.5	43.3		37.4	47.0	42.5	62.5	280
1-5	2011	*	49.3	48.7	47.8	42.9	45.3	42.7		48.5	49.7	49.0	59.7	308
	2012	*	41.4	48.6	45.3	7.1	55.6	43.1		50.9	39.5	45.5	57.8	310

	2010	2	135	142	258	6	109	210	0	131	149	280	26,937
Number Tested	2011	3	152	150	291	7	117	206	0	163	145	308	38,176
restea	2012	2	157	146	298	14	90	218	0	163	147	310	38,650

FRANK GUZICK (240) 30

### NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	9	9	16	*	6	15		9	10	19	4,129	151
1	2011	*	10	13	21	*	8	13		15	9	24	4,427	90
	2012	*	10	8	18	*	6	11		12	7	19	4,060	122
	2010	*	3	10	9	*	8	8		2	11	13	3,776	129
2	2011	*	1	11	12	*	8	9		7	5	12	3,810	135
	2012		7	3	11	*	1	5		5	6	11	3,820	98
	2010	*	12	19	25	0	14	23		11	21	32	7,905	280
1-2	2011	*	11	24	33	*	16	22		22	14	36	8,237	225
	2012	*	17	11	29	*	7	16		17	13	30	7,880	220
	2010													0
5	2011		6	1	7	*	1	2		3	4	7	1,416	83
	2012	*	2	6	8	0	5	5		3	5	8	1,169	90
	2010	*	12	19	25	0	14	23		11	21	32	7,905	280
1-5	2011	*	17	25	40	0	17	24		25	18	43	9,660	308
	2012	*	19	17	37	0	12	21		20	18	38	9,049	310

	2010	2	135	142	258	6	109	210	0	131	149	280	26,937
Number Tested	2011	3	152	150	291	7	117	206	0	163	145	308	38,176
Toolog	2012	2	157	146	298	14	90	218	0	163	147	310	38,650

LOG Read (1) Logramos: READING TOTAL

### PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	ΑΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			91.7	91.2		94.1	94.1		91.7	91.7	91.7	89.3	36
K	2011			92.9	92.6	*	92.9	92.6		100.0	85.7	92.9	90.9	28
	2012	*		93.6	93.8	*	93.3	93.5		78.6	100.0	93.8	89.9	48
	2010			94.9	94.6	*	94.9	94.7		90.6	100.0	94.9	89.1	59
1	2011			87.5	87.5		87.5	87.1		83.3	100.0	87.5	89.4	32
	2012			90.6	90.3	*	90.3	90.6		94.4	85.7	90.6	89.2	32
	2010			93.2	92.7	*	93.2	92.9		88.2	96.3	93.2	93.8	44
2	2011			92.9	94.4	*	92.9	92.7		90.6	95.8	92.9	94.9	56
	2012			90.3	90.3		90.3	90.3		90.9	88.9	90.3	93.3	31
	2010			93.5	93.1	*	94.2	94.0		90.4	97.0	93.5	90.7	139
K-2	2011			91.4	92.0	83.3	91.4	91.2		90.0	93.5	91.4	91.7	116
	2012	*		91.8	91.8	*	91.6	91.7		88.9	94.7	91.9	90.8	111
	2010													0
5	2011			85.0	84.2		85.0	89.5		83.3	85.7	85.0	93.5	20
	2012			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	91.4	3
	2010			93.5	93.1	*	94.2	94.0		90.4	97.0	93.5	90.7	139
K-5	2011			90.4	90.9	83.3	90.4	90.9		89.5	91.7	90.4	91.9	136
	2012	*		92.0	92.0	*	91.8	92.0		89.1	94.9	92.1	90.9	114

	2010	0	0	139	131	3	137	133	0	73	66	139	19,041
Number Tested	2011	0	0	136	132	6	136	132	0	76	60	136	21,141
Toolog	2012	1	0	113	113	4	110	112	0	55	59	114	21,150

LOG Read (2) Logramos: READING TOTAL

# NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	ΑΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010			25	23		25	24		15	10	25	3,523	36
K	2011			16	15	*	16	16		8	8	16	3,964	28
	2012	*		38	38	*	35	36		8	30	38	3,679	48
	2010			29	28	*	29	28		13	16	29	2,529	59
1	2011			11	11		11	11		8	3	11	2,459	32
	2012			10	10	*	10	10		6	4	10	2,437	32
	2010			24	23	*	24	24		6	18	24	3,040	44
2	2011			26	25	*	26	25		14	12	26	3,227	56
	2012			11	11		11	11		8	3	11	2,934	31
	2010			78	74	*	78	76		34	44	78	9,092	139
K-2	2011			53	51	0	53	52		30	23	53	9,650	116
	2012	*		59	59	*	56	57		22	37	59	9,050	111
	2010													0
5	2011			7	6		7	7		2	5	7	856	20
	2012			*	*	*	*	*		*	*	*	912	3
	2010			78	74	*	78	76		34	44	78	9,092	139
K-5	2011			60	57	0	60	59		32	28	60	10,519	136
	2012	*		60	60	*	57	58		22	38	60	9,962	114

	2010	0	0	139	131	3	137	133	0	73	66	139	19,041
Number Tested	2011	0	0	136	132	6	136	132	0	76	60	136	21,141
Toolog	2012	1	0	113	113	4	110	112	0	55	59	114	21,150

#### PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2011	Level 2	in 2011	Level 3	in 2011	Levels 1-3 in 2011		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2012							
5	1	0.0	0	-	0	-	1	0.0	
ALL	1	0.0	0	-	0	-	1	0.0	

Grade

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2012**

#### Domain: Listening **Speaking** Writing Reading Composite (2010-11)(N Rated) 2012 Level Ν % Ν % % % Ν Ν % Beginning 68.9 33 73.3 45 100.0 45 100.0 45 100.0 KN Intermediate 11 24.4 12 26.7 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 6.7 0.0 0 0.0 0.0 0 Advanced 0 0.0 (45)0 0.0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 0 0.0 Advanced High 7 22.6 29.0 21 67.7 Beginning 16 51.6 16 51.6 1 4 12.9 7 22.6 5 16.1 2 6.5 2 6.5 Intermediate Advanced 10 32.3 29.0 12.9 22.6 8 25.8 (31)5 Advanced High 32.3 19.4 1 3.2 6 19.4 16.1 Beginning 16.1 19.4 4 12.9 3.2 1 3.2 2 3 9.7 19.4 9 29.0 45.2 14 45.2 Intermediate 14 7 32.3 12 38.7 Advanced 22.6 8 25.8 10 12 38.7 (31)Advanced High 16 51.6 11 35.5 25.8 12.9 12.9

15.3

30.5

25.4

28.8

4.4

17.8

44.4

33.3

18

15

17

12

18

17

12

2

20

14

20.3

30.5

28.8

20.3

4.4

44.4

31.1

20.0

8

20

22

9

28

10

13.6

33.9

37.3

15.3

11.1

62.2

22.2

4.4

23

14

15

10

13

#### PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level		
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High	
	Beginning		-	_		
	Intermediate	ı		-		
_	Advanced	1	1	-	_	
	Advanced High	-	1	-	-	
	Beginning		1	2		
27	Intermediate	2		0		
15 (55.6%)	Advanced	6	2	(	)	
	Advanced High	3	2	(	)	
	Beginning			1		
30	Intermediate	8		6		
17 (56.7%)	Advanced	5	0	(	3	
	Advanced High	0	0	4	1	
	Beginning		8	8		
54	Intermediate	2		18		
18 (33.3%)	Advanced	0	2	1	0	
	Advanced High	0	1	1	3	
	Beginning		4	4		
41	Intermediate	2		8		
21 (51.2%)	Advanced	0	4	8		
	Advanced High	0	1	1	4	

Beginning

Advanced

Beginning

Advanced

Intermediate

Advanced High

Intermediate

Advanced High

3

(61)

4

(47)

9

22

13

15

6

10

13

16

15.3

37.3

22.0

25.4

13.3

22.2

28.9

35.6

14.8

37.7

23.0

24.6

17.0

21.3

27.7

34.0

#### **PERFORMANCE IN 2012**

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Comp	oosite
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	1	3.3	1	3.3	1	3.3	1	2.9	1	3.3
5	Intermediate	7	23.3	10	33.3	9	30.0	3	8.8	1	3.3
(34)	Advanced	14	46.7	13	43.3	11	36.7	10	29.4	10	33.3
	Advanced High	8	26.7	6	20.0	9	30.0	20	58.8	18	60.0
	Beginning	55	22.8	63	26.1	84	34.9	80	32.1	78	32.4
ALL	Intermediate	51	21.2	73	30.3	71	29.5	52	20.9	49	20.3
(249)	Advanced	69	28.6	61	25.3	57	23.7	56	22.5	56	23.2
	Advanced High	66	27.4	44	18.3	29	12.0	61	24.5	58	24.1

# PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning			1	
30	Intermediate	0		1	
25 (83.3%)	Advanced	1	6	3	3
	Advanced High	0	5	1	3
	Beginning		2	:6	
182	Intermediate	14		33	
96 (52.7%)	Advanced	12	14	2	7
	Advanced High	3	9	4	4

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2011 to 2012.