Published July 25, 2012

Dallas Independent School District

Data Packet for 2012-13 Planning

Evaluation and Accountability Data Analysis, Reporting, and Research Services

> http://mydata.dallasisd.org OIR@dallasisd.org

School Number 272

MARIA MORENO

2012-13 Data Packet: Standard Issue

Table of Contents

2. Contents Table of Contents	3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions
STUDENTS AND STAFF	
5. Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	6. Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
7. Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
9. Teachers Teacher Statistics	
<u>STAAR</u>	
10. 3-8 Reading STAAR 3-8 Reading	13. 3-8 Writing STAAR 3-8 Writing
15. 3-8 Math STAAR 3-8 Mathematics	18. 3-8 Science STAAR 3-8 Science
20. Spanish Reading Spanish STAAR 3-8 Reading	23. Spanish Writing Spanish STAAR 3-8 Writing
ITBS & LOGRAMOS	
25. Compliance	26. ITBS Read ITBS Reading
28. ITBS Math ITBS Mathematics	32. LOG Read Logramos Reading
ENGLISH PROFICIENCY	
34. WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey	35. TELPAS Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2011-12 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

Notes

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11 forward, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2011-12. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2010-11 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2010-11 and 2011-12.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR M

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from the standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR M statistics are computed separately, following the TEA's reporting practice.
- Exclusive for 2012: Until standards are released in Spring 2013, the "Percentage Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Percentage Meeting Equivalent TAKS Standard" for reading and mathematics. (Equivalent standards not produced by TEA for other STAAR subjects.) The "Number not Satisfactory" report is replaced by "Average Number of Items Correct."
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC reading tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. STAAR compositions are rated twice on a scale of 0 to 4. The two ratings are summed for a range of possible score points from 0 to 8.
- STAAR M reports not currently available. At time of publication, insufficient information was available from the TEA to produce meaningful statistics for reporting.

SCE COMPLIANCE and ITBS / ITED / LOGRAMOS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are based on demographic data in the Dallas ISD student database.
- Kindergarten ITBS reading statistics are based on the Reading Profile Total (a combination of the Vocabulary, Word Analysis, and Listening subtests) for all years available. All other grades' ITBS reading statistics, and Logramos reading statistics for all grades, are based on Reading Total results. (Reading Total results are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Kindergarten ITBS mathematics statistics are based on the Mathematics subtest. All other grades' statistics are based on Mathematics Total results. (Mathematics Total summaries are not available with use of the ITBS level 5R form administered in kindergarten.) "Number tested" statistics include kindergarten.
- Mathematics Total results used for data packets are combinations of the Mathematics Concepts, Problems, and Computation subtest results. For schools who did not administer Mathematics Concepts or Mathematics Problems to their students, Mathematics Computation statistics are provided separately.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2011 to 2012, the TEA did not report the 2011 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2012, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2011.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment					
PK	65					
KN	67					
1	80					
2	90					
3	77					
4	76					
5	90					
6	82					
ALL	627					

STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Race	Stu	dents	Teac	chers
Etimicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	24	3.8	12	30.0
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0.0	*	*
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2	0.3	*	*
Hispanic	591	94.3	24	60.0
White	8	1.3	4	10.0
Multiple	2	0.3	0	0.0
Other* (teachers only)	—		0	0.0
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0	_	_

*For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	532	84.8
Economically disadvantaged	600	95.7
Limited English proficient (LEP)	405	64.6
Special education	43	6.9
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	59	9.4

Enrollment (1)

			African	American	America	an Indian	As	ian	Hisp	banic	W	nite	Multiple	category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%
	2010	63	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	62	98.4	1	1.6		_
PK	2011	64	1	1.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	62	96.9	1	1.6	0	0.0
	2012	65	1	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	63	96.9	0	0.0	1	1.5
	2010	92	2	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	88	95.7	2	2.2	—	—
KN	2011	85	1	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	83	97.6	1	1.2	0	0.0
	2012	67	1	1.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	65	97.0	1	1.5	0	0.0
	2010	81	3	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	77	95.1	1	1.2	—	—
1	2011	94	2	2.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	90	95.7	2	2.1	0	0.0
	2012	80	3	3.8	0	0.0	1	1.3	76	95.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	90	3	3.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	87	96.7	0	0.0	—	—
2	2011	86	2	2.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	83	96.5	0	0.0	1	1.2
	2012	90	2	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	86	95.6	2	2.2	0	0.0
	2010	91	7	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	83	91.2	1	1.1	—	—
3	2011	81	3	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	78	96.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	77	2	2.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	72	93.5	2	2.6	1	1.3
	2010	81	5	6.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	76	93.8	0	0.0	—	—
4	2011	92	5	5.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	85	92.4	1	1.1	1	1.1
	2012	76	3	3.9	0	0.0	1	1.3	72	94.7	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2010	59	4	6.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	55	93.2	0	0.0	—	_
5	2011	86	4	4.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	82	95.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	90	10	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	78	86.7	2	2.2	0	0.0
	2010	85	1	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	83	97.6	1	1.2	—	_
6	2011	49	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	49	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2012	82	2	2.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	79	96.3	1	1.2	0	0.0
	2010	642	25	3.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	611	95.2	6	0.9	—	_
PK-6	2011	637	18	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	612	96.1	5	0.8	2	0.3
	2012	627	24	3.8	0	0.0	2	0.3	591	94.3	8	1.3	2	0.3

Enrollment (2)

			Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LI	₽	Special E	Education	At I	Rlsk	TA	٨G	New (to	District)	Ger	nder	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2010	63	52	82.5	46	73.0	0	0.0	39	61.9	0	0.0	63	100.0	42.9	57.1	0.0
PK	2011	64	61	95.3	46	71.9	1	1.6	45	70.3	0	0.0	64	100.0	39.1	60.9	0.0
	2012	65	62	95.4	43	66.2	1	1.5	54	83.1	0	0.0	65	100.0	46.2	53.8	0.0
	2010	92	82	89.1	61	66.3	3	3.3	69	75.0	1	1.1	29	31.5	57.6	42.4	2.2
KN	2011	85	77	90.6	55	64.7	1	1.2	74	87.1	0	0.0	30	35.3	49.4	50.6	1.2
	2012	67	64	95.5	46	68.7	1	1.5	55	82.1	0	0.0	23	34.3	49.3	50.7	0.0
	2010	81	74	91.4	64	79.0	3	3.7	72	88.9	6	7.4	6	7.4	54.3	45.7	2.5
1	2011	94	85	90.4	64	68.1	3	3.2	83	88.3	4	4.3	3	3.2	56.4	43.6	2.1
	2012	80	79	98.8	51	63.8	6	7.5	61	76.3	4	5.0	5	6.3	52.5	47.5	6.3
	2010	90	81	90.0	58	64.4	1	1.1	80	88.9	8	8.9	8	8.9	46.7	53.3	3.3
2	2011	86	79	91.9	63	73.3	5	5.8	77	89.5	11	12.8	5	5.8	53.5	46.5	8.1
	2012	90	85	94.4	64	71.1	5	5.6	87	96.7	7	7.8	6	6.7	54.4	45.6	6.7
	2010	91	85	93.4	49	53.8	9	9.9	69	75.8	7	7.7	8	8.8	51.6	48.4	2.2
3	2011	81	75	92.6	52	64.2	5	6.2	68	84.0	11	13.6	4	4.9	48.1	51.9	4.9
	2012	77	74	96.1	57	74.0	6	7.8	69	89.6	13	16.9	3	3.9	58.4	41.6	0.0
	2010	81	74	91.4	47	58.0	8	9.9	51	63.0	8	9.9	5	6.2	50.6	49.4	1.2
4	2011	92	85	92.4	51	55.4	13	14.1	65	70.7	5	5.4	8	8.7	52.2	47.8	3.3
	2012	76	73	96.1	51	67.1	4	5.3	71	93.4	11	14.5	7	9.2	51.3	48.7	0.0
	2010	59	57	96.6	23	39.0	9	15.3	37	62.7	5	8.5	3	5.1	44.1	55.9	0.0
5	2011	86	76	88.4	51	59.3	6	7.0	54	62.8	13	15.1	8	9.3	47.7	52.3	4.7
	2012	90	84	93.3	50	55.6	12	13.3	75	83.3	10	11.1	6	6.7	56.7	43.3	1.1
	2010	85	77	90.6	13	15.3	10	11.8	44	51.8	13	15.3	4	4.7	51.8	48.2	1.2
6	2011	49	46	93.9	26	53.1	4	8.2	33	67.3	2	4.1	5	10.2	44.9	55.1	0.0
	2012	82	79	96.3	43	52.4	8	9.8	60	73.2	14	17.1	11	13.4	45.1	54.9	1.2
	2010	642	582	90.7	361	56.2	43	6.7	461	71.8	48	7.5	126	19.6	50.5	49.5	1.7
PK-6	2011	637	584	91.7	408	64.1	38	6.0	499	78.3	46	7.2	127	19.9	49.6	50.4	3.3
	2012	627	600	95.7	405	64.6	43	6.9	532	84.8	59	9.4	126	20.1	52.0	48.0	2.1

Attendance

			ge Daily bership		Average Da	aily Attendanc	e	Ye	arly Transa	ctions		nuously olled	Stabili	ty Rate
Grade	Year	Ν	District	Ν	%	District N	District %	Ν	%	District %	Ν	District	%	District
	2010	90	13,234	85	94.3	12,616	95.3	14	15.5	21.5	74	11,233	82.0	84.9
KN	2011	83	13,211	80	95.8	12,645	95.7	13	15.6	21.4	80	11,602	96.2	87.8
	2012	64	13,633	60	94.7	13,086	96.0	10	15.7	20.1	56	11,731	87.7	86.0
	2010	78	13,848	75	96.7	13,286	95.9	13	16.7	20.0	69	11,978	88.6	86.5
1	2011	93	13,458	90	96.3	12,967	96.4	18	19.3	19.0	90	12,047	96.6	89.5
	2012	83	14,026	79	95.6	13,533	96.5	8	9.7	18.6	72	12,292	86.9	87.6
	2010	88	13,441	84	95.9	12,947	96.3	15	17.1	18.9	79	11,794	89.9	87.7
2	2011	84	13,013	82	97.6	12,593	96.8	11	13.1	17.1	83	11,853	98.5	91.1
	2012	89	13,338	86	96.2	12,932	97.0	11	12.4	17.0	80	11,883	89.8	89.1
	2010	89	13,291	86	96.2	12,854	96.7	16	18.0	17.6	76	11,815	85.4	88.9
3	2011	79	12,619	77	97.1	12,254	97.1	11	13.8	16.6	74	11,604	93.1	92.0
	2012	76	12,874	74	97.6	12,508	97.2	5	6.6	15.6	73	11,592	96.5	90.0
	2010	80	12,300	77	95.9	11,900	96.8	17	21.3	17.2	65	10,987	81.3	89.3
4	2011	89	12,506	87	97.2	12,150	97.1	7	7.9	15.7	86	11,544	96.6	92.3
	2012	74	12,493	71	96.6	12,139	97.2	5	6.8	16.7	68	11,218	91.9	89.8
	2010	58	11,688	56	96.0	11,310	96.8	9	15.5	17.0	51	10,453	87.7	89.4
5	2011	81	11,742	79	97.0	11,419	97.2	15	18.4	8.2	76	10,892	93.4	92.8
	2012	87	12,562	84	96.3	12,220	97.3	0	0.0	5.4	78	11,364	89.8	90.5
	2010	83	10,602	80	96.3	10,111	95.4	9	10.9	18.3	76	9,083	91.8	85.7
6	2011	48	10,126	46	97.0	9,742	96.2	1	2.1	17.1	45	9,074	94.0	89.6
	2012	82	10,683	79	95.9	10,315	96.6	5	6.1	17.9	73	9,420	88.6	88.2
	2010	566	88,404	543	95.9	85,025	96.2	93	16.4	18.7	490	77,343	86.6	87.5
KN-6	2011	558	86,676	541	96.8	83,770	96.6	76	13.6	16.6	534	78,616	95.7	90.7
	2012	555	89,609	533	96.1	86,734	96.8	44	7.9	16.0	500	79,500	90.1	88.7

Teachers

Teachers: 40

DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	12	30.0			
Hispanic	24	60.0			
White	4	10.0			
Multiple	0	0.0			
Other	0	0.0			

Gender	Number	Percentage				
Female	27	67.5				
Male	13	32.5				

ATTENDANCE / RETENTION

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2009-10	9.6	—
2010-11	9.0	97.5
2011-12	4.7	85.4

YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage				
Beginning (0)	3	7.5				
1	0	0.0				
2	2	5.0				
3	5	12.5				
4	2	5.0 0.0				
5	0					
1-3	7	17.5				
More than 3	30	75.0				
1 - 5	9	22.5				
6 - 10	8	20.0				
11 - 20	15	37.5				
More than 20	5	12.5				

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	*	89.3	84.0	*	85.7	83.3		81.8	90.0	84.4	84.0	32
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		*	68.0	67.9	*	*	46.7		72.2	60.0	67.9	76.8	28
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	55.6	75.9	72.7	*	78.6	65.9		69.2	80.8	73.8	80.3	65
	2010													
6	2011													
	2012		*	71.8	70.1	0.0	66.7	64.4		70.6	69.6	70.0	77.6	80
	2010													
3-6	2011													
	2012	*	46.7	75.1	72.6	20.0	72.5	66.2		72.6	73.9	73.2	79.5	205

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE		D IN GRA	DES 3-6				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	3	15	185	175	15	91	142	0	113	92	205	32,228

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): READING

STAAR Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	*	25	25	*	26	23		23	26	24	23	32
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		*	23	23	*	*	21		23	24	23	26	28
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	26	28	27	*	28	25		27	29	28	29	65
	2010													
6	2011													
	2012		*	27	27	15	26	25		27	27	27	30	80
	2010													
3-6	2011													
	2012	*	22	26	26	17	26	24		25	27	26	27	205

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE	R TESTE	d in grai	DES 3-6				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	3	15	185	175	15	91	142	0	113	92	205	32,228

REPORTING CATEGORY 1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 2. Understanding/Analysis 3. Understanding/Analysis Grade Year 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8) of Literary Texts of Informational Texts 2010 3 2011 2012 65.6 53.6 64.6 2010 4 2011 2012 57.1 52.0 48.9 2010 5 2011 2012 60.9 64.6 56.0 2010 6 2011 2012 61.3 57.3 51.5 2010 3-6 2011 2012 61.3 55.6 57.4

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): WRITING

STAAR Writing (2)

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		*	23	22	*	*	21		22	24	22	24	29

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 4				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	2	26	29	2	5	16	0	19	10	29	7,036

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.8	3.1	55.2	55.0

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

¹Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=between Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=betw een Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=betw een Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

STAAR Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	*	63.2	67.2	*	63.0	62.5		60.5	72.4	65.3	77.0	72
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		*	78.6	75.0	*	85.7	73.3		81.6	71.4	76.7	79.5	73
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	66.7	77.0	73.3	0.0	79.2	70.3		72.3	78.9	75.3	76.2	85
	2010													
6	2011													
	2012		*	73.1	74.2	*	68.9	67.2		84.8	65.2	73.4	73.3	79
	2010													
3-6	2011													
	2012	*	64.3	73.1	72.6	31.6	74.0	68.3		73.9	71.6	72.8	76.6	309

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	d in grai	DES 3-6				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	3	14	290	270	19	196	246	0	161	148	309	45,260

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): MATHEMATICS

STAAR Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012	*	*	24	24	*	23	23		23	25	24	27	72
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012		*	27	27	*	29	27		27	27	27	28	73
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	26	30	29	15	30	27		29	30	29	30	85
	2010													
6	2011													
	2012		*	25	25	*	24	23		28	23	25	27	79

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

STAAR Math (4)

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

				REPORTING CATEGORY		
Grade	Year	1. Numbers, Operations, and Quantitative Reasoning	2. Patterns, Relationships, and Algebraic Reasoning	3. Geometry and Spatial Reasoning	4. Measurement	5. Probability and Statistics
	2010					
3	2011					
	2012	50.5	48.1	65.0	50.9	41.0
	2010					
4	2011					
	2012	60.2	56.6	61.3	45.7	44.9
	2010					
5	2011					
	2012	58.4	58.8	62.2	52.2	61.3
	2010					
6	2011					
	2012	43.5	56.4	53.0	39.4	50.2
	2010					
3-6	2011					
	2012	53.2	55.2	60.3	47.1	49.8

STAAR Science (2)

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012	*	26	28	27	18	27	26		28	27	28	30	85

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	2	9	74	75	8	49	66	0	46	39	85	10,697

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	4. Organisms and Environments
	2010				
5	2011				
	2012	70.1	71.4	57.3	57.4

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			82.9	83.8	*	82.9	82.9		72.7	94.7	82.9	88.7	41
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			77.8	82.5	*	77.8	77.8		75.0	80.0	77.8	84.2	45
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			60.0	60.0	*	60.0	60.0		50.0	66.7	60.0	77.4	20
	2010													
3-6	2011													
	2012			76.4	78.4	*	76.4	76.4		70.0	82.1	76.4	84.3	106

PERCENTAGE MEETING TAKS PASSING STANDARD

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-6				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	106	97	5	106	106	0	50	56	106	14,101

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (Spanish): READING

STAAR (SP) Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
3	2011													
	2012			21	21	*	21	21		20	22	21	23	41
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			25	26	*	25	25		24	26	25	26	45
	2010													
5	2011													
	2012			23	23	*	23	23		22	24	23	28	20
	2010													
3-6	2011													
	2012			23	23	*	23	23		22	24	23	25	106

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-6				
2010												0
2011												0
2012	0	0	106	97	5	106	106	0	50	56	106	14,101

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010			
3	2011			
	2012	67.1	52.3	46.5
	2010			
4	2011			
	2012	53.6	59.8	56.5
	2010			
5	2011			
	2012	47.0	51.6	50.0
	2010			
3-6	2011			
	2012	57.5	55.3	51.4

AVERAGE NUMBER (#) OF ITEMS CORRECT

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010													
4	2011													
	2012			23	24	*	23	23		22	25	23	26	44

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 4											
2010												0							
2011												0							
2012	0	0	44	39	1	44	44	0	19	25	44	4,902							

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2010				
4	2011				
	2012	3.8	3.1	55.2	55.0

¹Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4. The ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition.

State Compensatory Education (SCE) Compliance for At-Risk Students

Compliance

		READING TOTAL (**READING PROFILE TOTAL)									
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)					
	2010	5.9	21.1	13.9	61.2	36					
K**	2011	17.9	16.7	17.5	63.3	40					
	2012	40.0	33.3	37.0	62.2	27					
	2010	35.0	71.4	44.4	55.2	27					
1	2011	3.7	54.5	18.4	58.1	38					
	2012	25.0	42.1	34.3	55.4	35					
	2010	38.7	87.5	48.7	48.6	39					
2	2011	11.1	77.8	27.8	50.6	36					
	2012	30.0	*	33.3	49.8	33					
	2010					0					
5	2011	26.1	43.5	34.8	36.2	46					
	2012	12.0	75.0	32.4	37.2	37					
	2010	37.3	80.0	47.0	51.9	66					
1-5	2011	13.0	53.5	27.5	47.2	120					
	2012	22.5	55.9	33.3	46.3	105					

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE on the ITBS

			MATHEMATI	CS TOTAL	(**MATHEM	ATICS)
Grade	Year	At Risk	Not At Risk	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	52.2	50.0	51.6	51.2	91
K**	2011	19.7	41.7	22.7	56.8	88
	2012	50.9	41.7	49.2	55.1	65
	2010	34.3	55.6	36.7	48.8	79
1	2011	16.7	41.7	19.8	53.1	96
	2012	30.0	42.1	32.9	50.7	79
	2010	53.2	80.0	56.3	54.6	87
2	2011	37.2	77.8	41.4	56.8	87
	2012	36.4	*	37.4	57.9	91
	2010					0
5	2011	25.5	25.0	25.4	44.8	71
	2012	28.8	66.7	34.6	43.8	78
	2010	46.8	58.5	48.6	51.5	257
K-5	2011	24.6	41.5	27.2	53.3	342
	2012	36.0	50.0	38.0	52.2	313
	2010	216	41	257	40,163	
Number Tested	2011	289	53	342	51,019	

46

313

51,521

	2010	68	34	102	20,252	
Number Tested	2011	105	55	160	29,740	
Tested	2012	86	46	132	30,807	

MARIA MORENO (272)

Tested

2012

267

ITBS: READING TOTAL (**READING PROFILE TOTAL)

ITBS Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	*	15.2	9.7	*	14.3	5.9		5.0	25.0	13.9	61.2	36
K**	2011	*	*	18.4	12.1	*	22.2	17.9		21.1	14.3	17.5	63.3	40
	2012	*	*	36.0	36.0	*	28.6	40.0		33.3	44.4	37.0	62.2	27
	2010		*	41.7	48.0	*	27.3	35.0		60.0	25.0	44.4	55.2	27
1	2011	*	*	20.6	17.6	*	0.0	3.7		9.5	29.4	18.4	58.1	38
	2012		*	38.7	32.4	*	16.7	25.0		26.7	40.0	34.3	55.4	35
	2010		*	47.2	44.4	*	25.0	38.7		52.9	45.5	48.7	48.6	39
2	2011		*	27.3	25.8	*	7.7	11.1		38.1	13.3	27.8	50.6	36
	2012	*	*	31.0	32.3	*	14.3	30.0		31.6	35.7	33.3	49.8	33
	2010		66.7	45.0	45.9	*	26.3	37.3		56.3	38.2	47.0	51.9	66
1-2	2011	*	*	23.9	21.5	0.0	4.8	7.4		23.8	21.9	23.0	54.4	74
	2012	*	*	35.0	32.3	11.1	15.4	28.3		29.4	38.2	33.8	52.7	68
	2010													0
5	2011		*	36.4	30.8	*	35.3	26.1		40.0	30.8	34.8	36.2	46
	2012	*	*	34.4	30.0	*	*	12.0		23.8	43.8	32.4	37.2	37
	2010		66.7	45.0	45.9	*	26.3	37.3		56.3	38.2	47.0	51.9	66
1-5	2011	*	14.3	28.8	25.0	0.0	18.4	13.0		29.0	25.9	27.5	47.2	120
	2012	*	11.1	34.8	31.6	7.1	16.7	22.5		27.3	40.0	33.3	46.3	105
	2010	0	6	60	61	3	19	51	0	32	34	66	13,990	
Number Tested	2011	1	7	111	104	9	38	77	0	62	58	120	22,979	
	2012	3	9	92	95	14	18	71	0	55	50	105	23,868	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

ITBS: READING TOTAL (**READING PROFILE TOTAL)

ITBS Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	*	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	1,717	36
K**	2011	*	*	1	1	*	0	1		1	0	1	2,106	40
	2012	*	*	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	2,027	27
	2010		*	4	4	*	1	1		4	0	4	1,729	27
1	2011	*	*	1	1	*	0	0		0	1	1	1,963	38
	2012		*	2	2	*	0	1		0	2	2	1,963	35
	2010		*	0	0	*	0	0		0	1	1	954	39
2	2011		*	3	2	*	0	0		3	0	3	1,018	36
	2012	*	*	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	1,017	33
	2010		1	4	4	*	1	1		4	1	5	2,683	66
1-2	2011	*	*	4	3	0	0	0		3	1	4	2,981	74
	2012	*	*	2	2	0	0	1		0	2	2	2,981	68
	2010													0
5	2011		*	4	3	*	1	1		1	3	4	701	46
	2012	*	*	3	2	*	*	1		1	2	3	822	37
	2010		1	4	4	*	1	1		4	1	5	2,683	66
1-5	2011	*	0	8	6	0	1	1		4	4	8	3,692	120
	2012	*	0	5	4	0	0	2		1	4	5	3,803	105
														-
	2010	0	6	60	61	3	19	51	0	32	34	66	13,990	
Number Tested	2011	1	7	111	104	9	38	77	0	62	58	120	22,979	
				I				I						

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

MARIA MORENO (272)

23,868

ITBS Math (1)

PERCENTAGE AT OR A	BOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE
--------------------	--------------------------

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	*	52.9	49.4	*	60.7	52.2		44.2	61.5	51.6	51.2	91
K**	2011	*	*	23.3	20.5	*	16.1	19.7		15.9	29.5	22.7	56.8	88
	2012	*	*	49.2	50.8	*	50.0	50.9		53.1	45.5	49.2	55.1	65
	2010		*	38.2	37.5	*	34.9	34.3		39.5	33.3	36.7	48.8	79
1	2011	*	*	20.9	18.6	*	21.9	16.7		18.9	20.9	19.8	53.1	96
	2012		*	32.0	33.8	*	26.0	30.0		26.8	39.5	32.9	50.7	79
	2010		*	56.0	57.0	*	60.7	53.2		58.5	54.3	56.3	54.6	87
2	2011		*	42.4	41.3	*	42.2	37.2		43.5	39.0	41.4	56.8	87
	2012	*	*	37.9	37.6	*	38.5	36.4		38.8	35.7	37.4	57.9	91
	2010	*	25.0	49.4	48.3	16.7	51.7	46.8		47.1	50.4	48.6	51.5	257
K-2	2011	*	0.0	28.6	26.6	11.1	27.2	24.4		25.9	29.7	27.7	55.6	271
	2012	*	16.7	39.1	39.9	18.2	37.7	38.3		38.5	39.8	39.1	54.5	235
	2010													0
5	2011		*	24.3	26.6	*	26.1	25.5		38.2	13.5	25.4	44.8	71
	2012	*	*	35.6	35.7	0.0	36.2	28.8		34.1	35.3	34.6	43.8	78
	2010	*	25.0	49.4	48.3	16.7	51.7	46.8		47.1	50.4	48.6	51.5	257
K-5	2011	*	14.3	27.7	26.6	8.3	27.0	24.6		28.2	26.1	27.2	53.3	342
	2012	*	20.0	38.3	38.9	11.1	37.4	36.0		37.3	38.8	38.0	52.2	313

	2010	2	8	247	232	6	180	216	0	136	121	257	40,163
Number Tested	2011	3	7	332	308	12	230	289	0	177	165	342	51,019
rootou	2012	4	10	298	293	18	206	267	0	166	147	313	51,521

ITBS: MATHEMATICS TOTAL (MATHEMATICS)**

ITBS Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	*	17	14	*	16	15		6	11	17	2,358	91
K**	2011	*	*	4	2	*	2	4		3	1	4	2,815	88
	2012	*	*	4	3	*	2	3		3	1	4	2,472	65
	2010		*	6	6	*	4	4		3	3	6	2,266	79
1	2011	*	*	6	5	*	6	6		3	3	6	2,716	96
	2012		*	1	2	*	1	1		2	0	2	2,473	79
	2010		*	15	15	*	11	11		7	9	16	2,484	87
2	2011		*	10	8	*	8	8		6	4	10	2,746	87
	2012	*	*	5	5	*	4	5		4	1	5	2,843	91
	2010	*	1	38	35	0	31	30		16	23	39	7,108	257
K-2	2011	*	0	20	15	1	16	18		12	8	20	8,277	271
	2012	*	1	10	10	1	7	9		9	2	11	7,788	235
	2010													0
5	2011		*	2	2	*	2	2		1	1	2	1,395	71
	2012	*	*	7	6	0	5	5		5	2	7	1,426	78
	2010	*	1	38	35	0	31	30		16	23	39	7,108	257
K-5	2011	*	0	22	17	1	18	20		13	9	22	9,696	342
	2012	*	1	17	16	1	12	14		14	4	18	9,214	313
	2010	2	8	247	232	6	180	216	0	136	121	257	40,163	

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	2010	2	8	247	232	6	180	216	0	136	121	257	40,163
Number Tested	2011	3	7	332	308	12	230	289	0	177	165	342	51,019
lostou	2012	4	10	298	293	18	206	267	0	166	147	313	51,521

ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

ITBS Math (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010		*	51.3	54.2	*	49.2	48.6		55.8	47.2	51.9	63.5	79
1	2011	*	*	53.8	51.2	*	59.4	50.0		50.9	51.2	51.0	66.7	96
	2012		*	55.3	55.1	*	49.0	52.5		45.2	65.8	55.0	62.5	80
	2010		*	64.3	65.8	*	69.6	61.0		61.0	67.4	64.4	61.4	87
2	2011		*	41.2	41.3	*	43.8	39.7		47.8	34.1	41.4	62.0	87
	2012	*	*	46.0	45.9	*	49.2	44.3		44.9	45.2	45.1	63.6	91
	2010		66.7	58.1	60.3	*	58.8	55.1		58.3	58.5	58.4	62.5	166
1-2	2011	*	*	47.7	46.4	14.3	51.6	45.1		49.5	42.9	46.4	64.4	183
	2012	*	*	50.3	50.3	20.0	49.1	47.7		45.1	55.0	49.7	63.0	171
	2010													0
5	2011		*	23.6	25.8	*	31.3	28.8		28.6	18.4	23.3	48.6	73
	2012	*	*	33.8	32.4	0.0	36.2	26.9		29.5	34.3	31.6	45.9	79
	2010		66.7	58.1	60.3	*	58.8	55.1		58.3	58.5	58.4	62.5	166
1-5	2011	*	16.7	40.7	40.5	10.0	46.0	41.1		44.0	35.2	39.8	59.7	256
	2012	*	11.1	45.1	44.9	11.8	45.4	41.2		40.0	48.7	44.0	57.8	250
	2010	0	6	160	151	3	119	147	0	84	82	166	26,937	

PERCENTAGE AT OR ABOVE THE 40th PERCENTILE

	2010	0	6	160	151	3	119	147	0	84	82	166	26,937
Number Tested	2011	2	6	248	232	10	176	214	0	134	122	256	38,176
100100	2012	3	9	237	234	17	163	216	0	135	115	250	38,650

ITBS: MATHEMATICS COMPUTATION

ITBS Math (4)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010		*	17	17	*	10	11		10	7	17	4,129	79
1	2011	*	*	15	13	*	13	13		8	7	15	4,427	96
	2012		*	11	12	*	9	11		8	4	12	4,060	80
	2010		*	34	31	*	30	30		14	21	35	3,776	87
2	2011		*	15	13	*	14	14		9	6	15	3,810	87
	2012	*	*	8	8	*	8	8		3	5	8	3,820	91
	2010		1	51	48	*	40	41		24	28	52	7,905	166
1-2	2011	*	*	30	26	0	27	27		17	13	30	8,237	183
	2012	*	*	19	20	1	17	19		11	9	20	7,880	171
	2010													0
5	2011		*	2	2	*	2	2		0	2	2	1,416	73
	2012	*	*	2	2	0	2	2		1	1	2	1,169	79
	2010		1	51	48	*	40	41		24	28	52	7,905	166
1-5	2011	*	0	32	28	0	29	29		17	15	32	9,660	256
	2012	*	1	21	22	1	19	21		12	10	22	9,049	250
														-
	2010	0	6	160	151	3	119	147	0	84	82	166	26,937	
Number														

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

	2010	0	6	160	151	3	119	147	0	84	82	166	26,937
Number Tested	2011	2	6	248	232	10	176	214	0	134	122	256	38,176
rootou	2012	3	9	237	234	17	163	216	0	135	115	250	38,650

Logramos: READING TOTAL

LOG Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*		90.7	90.0	*	90.7	90.4		90.6	91.3	90.9	89.3	55
к	2011			66.7	66.7	*	66.0	66.7		44.0	91.3	66.7	90.9	48
	2012			86.5	85.7		86.1	86.5		84.6	87.5	86.5	89.9	37
	2010			84.9	85.4		84.9	86.3		82.1	88.0	84.9	89.1	53
1	2011	*		76.8	76.5	*	76.4	76.8		77.4	76.9	77.2	89.4	57
	2012			76.1	75.6	*	76.1	76.1		63.0	94.7	76.1	89.2	46
	2010			90.0	90.9		91.8	91.7		84.0	96.0	90.0	93.8	50
2	2011			92.3	91.8		92.2	92.3		84.6	100.0	92.3	94.9	52
	2012			91.4	90.7		91.4	91.4		96.7	85.7	91.4	93.3	58
	2010	*		88.5	88.7	*	89.1	89.4		85.9	91.8	88.6	90.7	158
K-2	2011	*		78.8	78.6	*	78.4	78.8		69.5	89.3	79.0	91.7	157
	2012			85.1	84.3	*	85.0	85.1		81.4	88.7	85.1	90.8	141
	2010													0
5	2011			*	*		*	*		*		*	93.5	1
	2012			80.0	79.5	*	79.5	80.0		81.0	78.9	80.0	91.4	40
	2010	*		88.5	88.7	*	89.1	89.4		85.9	91.8	88.6	90.7	158
K-5	2011	*		79.0	78.8	*	78.6	79.0		69.9	89.3	79.1	91.9	158
	2012			84.0	83.2	*	83.8	84.0		81.3	86.7	84.0	90.9	181
														•
	2010	1	0	157	142	2	156	151	0	85	73	158	19,041	

	2010	1	0	157	142	2	156	151	0	85	73	158	19,041
Number Tested	2011	1	0	157	146	2	154	157	0	83	75	158	21,141
resteu	2012	0	0	181	173	4	179	181	0	91	90	181	21,150

Logramos: READING TOTAL

LOG Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*		24	21	*	23	21		13	11	24	3,523	55
к	2011			8	7	*	8	8		2	6	8	3,964	48
	2012			14	14		14	14		4	10	14	3,679	37
	2010			20	18		20	20		12	8	20	2,529	53
1	2011	*		10	9	*	9	10		5	5	10	2,459	57
	2012			7	7	*	7	7		3	4	7	2,437	46
	2010			27	25		27	26		12	15	27	3,040	50
2	2011			27	25		27	27		15	12	27	3,227	52
	2012			26	26		26	26		14	12	26	2,934	58
	2010	*		71	64	*	70	67		37	34	71	9,092	158
K-2	2011	*		45	41	*	44	45		22	23	45	9,650	157
	2012			47	47	*	47	47		21	26	47	9,050	141
	2010													0
5	2011			*	*		*	*		*		*	856	1
	2012			11	11	*	11	11		6	5	11	912	40
	2010	*		71	64	*	70	67		37	34	71	9,092	158
K-5	2011	*		45	41	*	44	45		22	23	45	10,519	158
	2012			58	58	*	58	58		27	31	58	9,962	181
	2010	1	0	157	142	2	156	151	0	85	73	158	19,041	
Number Tested	2011	1	0	157	146	2	154	157	0	83	75	158	21,141	

NUMBER AT OR ABOVE THE 80th PERCENTILE

MARIA MORENO (272)

Tested

21,150

	Level 1	in 2011	Level 2	in 2011	Level 3	in 2011	Levels 1-3 in 2011		
Grade	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2012							
5	0	-	1	100.0	0	-	1	100.0	
6	1	0.0	3	66.7	0	-	4	50.0	
ALL	1	0.0	4	75.0	0	-	5	60.0	

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

TELPAS

PERFORMANCE IN 2012

PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	iting	Rea	ding	Com	posite		Number Rated Both Years		2011 Level			
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning	30	66.7	31	68.9	34	75.6	34	75.6	34	75.6	Ï		Beginning	-			
KN	Intermediate	4	8.9	6	13.3	7	15.6	7	15.6	7	15.6		-	Intermediate	-		-	
(45)	Advanced	6	13.3	4	8.9	4	8.9	2	4.4	2	4.4		_	Advanced	-	-	-	-
	Advanced High	5	11.1	4	8.9	0	0.0	2	4.4	2	4.4			Advanced High	-	-	-	-
	Beginning	0	0.0	7	13.5	35	67.3	30	57.7	30	57.7			Beginning		3	0	
1	Intermediate	26	50.0	18	34.6	12	23.1	13	25.0	13	25.0		50	Intermediate	11		1	
(52)	Advanced	16	30.8	16	30.8	1	1.9	4	7.7	4	7.7		19 (38.0%)	Advanced	3	0	C)
	Advanced High	10	19.2	11	21.2	4	7.7	5	9.6	5	9.6			Advanced High	2	1	2	!
	Beginning	1	1.5	13	20.0	26	40.0	14	21.5	14	21.5			Beginning	11			
2	Intermediate	20	30.8	19	29.2	21	32.3	22	33.8	22	33.8		61	Intermediate	12		10	
(65)	Advanced	25	38.5	23	35.4	13	20.0	15	23.1	15	23.1		37 (60.7%)	Advanced	4	7	3	\$
	Advanced High	19	29.2	10	15.4	5	7.7	14	21.5	14	21.5			Advanced High	2	4	8	\$
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	8.8	4	7.0	4	7.0			Beginning		2	4	
3	Intermediate	7	12.3	12	21.1	16	28.1	15	26.3	15	26.3		56	Intermediate	3		12	
(57)	Advanced	24	42.1	20	35.1	17	29.8	17	29.8	17	29.8		28 (50.0%)	Advanced	0	5	1:	2
	Advanced High	26	45.6	25	43.9	19	33.3	21	36.8	21	36.8			Advanced High	0	0	2	0
	Beginning	3	5.9	3	5.9	16	31.4	2	4.0	2	4.0			Beginning 2		2		
4	Intermediate	22	43.1	22	43.1	17	33.3	16	32.0	16	32.0		46	Intermediate	1		11	
(51)	Advanced	18	35.3	21	41.2	16	31.4	10	20.0	13	26.0		22 (47.8%)	Advanced	0	2	1	1
	Advanced High	8	15.7	5	9.8	2	3.9	22	44.0	19	38.0			Advanced High	0	0	1	9

Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

PERFORMANCE IN 2012

PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	Listening		Speaking		ting	Rea	ding	Com	posite
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.0	1	2.1	1	2.1
5	Intermediate	24	49.0	24	49.0	24	49.0	7	14.6	7	14.6
(49)	Advanced	16	32.7	17	34.7	15	30.6	14	29.2	20	41.7
	Advanced High	9	18.4	8	16.3	9	18.4	26	54.2	20	41.7
	Beginning	1	2.2	1	2.2	1	2.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
6	Intermediate	5	11.1	17	37.8	14	31.1	7	15.6	7	15.6
(45)	Advanced	34	75.6	23	51.1	22	48.9	14	31.1	15	33.3
	Advanced High	5	11.1	4	8.9	8	17.8	24	53.3	23	51.1
	Beginning	35	9.6	55	15.1	118	32.4	85	23.5	85	23.5
ALL	Intermediate	108	29.7	118	32.4	111	30.5	87	24.0	87	24.0
(364)	Advanced	139	38.2	124	34.1	88	24.2	76	21.0	86	23.8
	Advanced High	82	22.5	67	18.4	47	12.9	114	31.5	104	28.7

Number Rated Both Years			2011 Level								
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High						
	Beginning			1							
46	Intermediate	3		3							
28 (60.9%)	Advanced	0	6	1	4						
	Advanced High	0	0	19							
	Beginning		(0							
42	Intermediate	1		4							
26 (61.9%)	Advanced	0	2	1	2						
	Advanced High	Advanced High 0 0									
	Beginning		4	48							
301	Intermediate	31		41							
160 (53.2%)	Advanced	7	22	5	2						
	Advanced High	4	5	9	1						

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2011 to 2012.