

2012-13 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2. Contents Table of Contents

STUDENTS AND STAFF

- 5. Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics7. Enrollment (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group
- 9. Teachers Teacher Statistics

STAAR

- 10. EOC Reading STAAR EOC Reading
- 18. EOC Math STAAR EOC Mathematics
- 26. EOC SS STAAR EOC Social Studies

ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

30. TELPASTexas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

LOCAL ASSESSMENT

31. ACP Assessments of Course Performance

- 3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions
- 6. Enrollment (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
- 8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
- 14. EOC Writing STAAR EOC Writing
- 22. EOC Science STAAR EOC Science

2011-12 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11 forward, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2011-12. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2010-11 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2010-11 and 2011-12.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR M

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from the standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR M statistics are computed separately, following the TEA's reporting practice.
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC reading tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. STAAR compositions are rated twice on a scale of 0 to 4. The two ratings are summed for a range of possible score points from 0 to 8.
- STAAR M reports not currently available. At time of publication, insufficient information was available from the TEA to produce meaningful statistics for reporting.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2011 to 2012, the TEA did not report the 2011 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2012, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2011.

ACP

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
9	127
ALL	127

STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Dage	Stud	dents	Teac	hers
Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	36	28.3	8	50.0
American Indian/Alaska Native	2	1.6	*	*
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0.0	*	*
Hispanic	82	64.6	1	6.3
White	7	5.5	7	43.8
Multiple	0	0.0	0	0.0
Other* (teachers only)	_	_	0	0.0
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0	_	_

^{*}For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	70	55.1
Economically disadvantaged	109	85.8
Limited English proficient (LEP)	11	8.7
Special education	9	7.1
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	25	19.7

			African American		American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White		Multiple category	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2010	252	189	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	62	24.6	1	0.4	_	_
9	2011	181	115	63.5	2	1.1	1	0.6	59	32.6	4	2.2	0	0.0
	2012	127	36	28.3	2	1.6	0	0.0	82	64.6	7	5.5	0	0.0
	2010	860	675	78.5	2	0.2	0	0.0	175	20.3	8	0.9		_
9-12	2011	756	553	73.1	2	0.3	2	0.3	189	25.0	10	1.3	0	0.0
	2012	127	36	28.3	2	1.6	0	0.0	82	64.6	7	5.5	0	0.0

			Economically Disadvantaged		LEP		Special Education		At Rlsk		TAG		New (to District)				Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2010	252	225	89.3	30	11.9	58	23.0	171	67.9	18	7.1	14	5.6	49.2	50.8	7.5
9	2011	181	167	92.3	19	10.5	37	20.4	121	66.9	8	4.4	29	16.0	55.8	44.2	7.2
	2012	127	109	85.8	11	8.7	9	7.1	70	55.1	25	19.7	10	7.9	55.1	44.9	0.0
	2010	860	724	84.2	85	9.9	186	21.6	644	74.9	69	8.0	51	5.9	49.4	50.6	5.7
9-12	2011	756	661	87.4	59	7.8	162	21.4	533	70.5	48	6.3	55	7.3	49.7	50.3	5.6
	2012	127	109	85.8	11	8.7	9	7.1	70	55.1	25	19.7	10	7.9	55.1	44.9	0.0

		Average Daily Average Daily Attendance Membership				Yearly Transactions				nuously olled	Stability Rate			
Grade	Year	N	District	N	%	District N	District %	N	%	District %	N	District	%	District
	2010	251	11,952	226	90.1	10,770	90.1	84	33.5	32.8	177	8,320	70.5	69.6
9	2011	180	10,655	176	97.8	9,881	92.7	31	17.2	30.2	151	8,460	83.9	79.4
	2012	127	10,922	125	98.4	10,196	93.3	5	3.9	28.0	126	8,726	99.0	79.9
	2010	849	37,214	757	89.2	33,857	91.0	214	25.2	24.3	585	27,494	68.9	73.9
9-12	2011	753	36,182	734	97.4	33,685	93.1	92	12.2	22.6	663	29,927	88.0	82.7
	2012	127	37,021	125	98.4	34,504	93.2	5	3.9	21.6	126	30,119	99.0	81.4

Teachers Teacher Statistics

Teachers: 16

DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage
African American	8	50.0
Hispanic	1	6.3
White	7	43.8
Multiple	0	0.0
Other	0	0.0

Gender	Number	Percentage
Female	8	50.0
Male	8	50.0

ATTENDANCE / RETENTION

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2009-10	10.2	_
2010-11	6.8	81.9
2011-12	7.5	1.5

YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage
Beginning (0)	1	6.3
1	1	6.3
2	2	12.5
3	1	6.3
4	2	12.5
5	0	0.0
1-3	4	25.0
More than 3	11	68.8
1 - 5	6	37.5
6 - 10	4	25.0
11 - 20	3	18.8
More than 20	2	12.5

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 and 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
ALL EOC		2010												
	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	88.9	82.9	85.9	83.5	50.0	60.0	64.6		79.4	91.2	84.8	56.1
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	9,147
		2010												
REAL	DING I	2011												
		2012	87.5	82.9	85.5	83.3	50.0	60.0	64.6		78.8	91.1	84.4	56.0
	.	2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	8	35	76	108	8	10	48	0	66	56	122	9,060
		2010												
READ	DING II	2011												
		2012	*		*	*					*	*	*	70.1
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	87

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
ALL EOC		2010												
	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	1	6	11	18	4	4	17		14	5	19	4,013
T		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	9,147
		2010												
READ	DING I	2011												
		2012	1	6	11	18	4	4	17		14	5	19	3,987
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	8	35	76	108	8	10	48	0	66	56	122	9,060
		2010												
READ	ING II	2011												
		2012	*		*	*					*	*	*	26
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	87

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	44.4	5.7	2.6	4.6	0.0	0.0	2.1		8.8	3.5	6.4	4.2
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	9,147
		2010												
READ	DING I	2011												
			37.5	5.7	2.6	4.6	0.0	0.0	2.1		7.6	3.6	5.7	4.2
	Tests	2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	8	35	76	108	8	10	48	0	66	56	122	9,060
		2010												
READ	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	*		*	*					*	*	*	9.2
		2010												
		2011												
		2012	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	87

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

REA	DING I	1. Understanding / Analysis Across Genres	1. Short Answ er #1 Average Rating ¹	Short Answ er #2 Average Rating ¹	2. Understanding / Analysis of Literary Texts	Understanding / Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010					
	2011					
	2012	71.0	1.5	1.6	68.4	73.1

RE	ADING II	1. Understanding / Analysis Across Genres	1. Short Answ er #1 Average Rating ¹	1. Short Answ er #2 Average Rating ¹	2. Understanding / Analysis of Literary Texts	Understanding / Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010					
	2011					
	2012	*	*	*	*	*

¹Short answer rating scale: 0=Insufficient; 1=Partially Sufficient; 2=Sufficient; 3=Exemplary

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 and 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	77.8	60.0	70.5	65.1	25.0	30.0	50.0		67.6	68.4	68.0	42.9
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	9,146
		2010												
WRIT	TING I	2011												
			75.0	60.0	69.7	64.8	25.0	30.0	50.0		66.7	67.9	67.2	42.7
	Tests													
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	8	35	76	108	8	10	48	0	66	56	122	9,057
		2010												
WRIT	ING II	2011												
		2012	*		*	*					*	*	*	58.4
	Tooto	2010												
		2011												
		2012	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	89

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
,		2012	2	14	23	38	6	7	24		22	18	40	5,226
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	9,146
		2010												
WRIT	ING I	2011												
			2	14	23	38	6	7	24		22	18	40	5,189
	Tools													
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	8	35	76	108	8	10	48	0	66	56	122	9,057
		2010												
WRIT	ING II	2011												
		2012	*		*	*					*	*	*	37
	Tests Taken	2010												
		2011												
		2012	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	89

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	9,146
		2010												
WRIT	WRITING I	2011												
		2012	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	8	35	76	108	8	10	48	0	66	56	122	9,057
		2010												
WRIT	WRITING II	2011												
		2012	*		*	*					*	*	*	0.0
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	89

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

WI	RITINGI	4. Literary Composition ¹	4. Expository Composition ¹	5. Revision	6. Editing
	2010				
	2011				
	2012	5.2	4.8	75.0	68.1

WRI	TING II	4. Expository Composition ¹	4. Persuasive Composition ¹	5. Revision	6. Editing
	2010				
	2011				
	2012	*	*	*	*

¹Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=betw een Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=betw een Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=betw een Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 and 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	88.9	91.4	92.3	90.8	50.0	80.0	89.6		91.2	89.5	90.4	79.8
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	11,598
		2010												
ALGE	BRA I	2011												
		2012	*	89.3	89.4	89.2	42.9	77.8	89.7		87.8	87.5	87.7	74.7
	- .	2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	5	28	47	74	7	9	39	0	41	40	81	8,786
		2010												
GEON	GEOMETRY	2011												
		2012	*	100.0	96.8	94.3	*	*	88.9		96.3	94.1	95.5	95.6
-		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
	Taken	2012	4	7	31	35	1	1	9	0	27	17	44	2,732

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

	Test		Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
			2010												
	LL EOC TESTS		2011												
	12010		2012	1	3	6	10	4	2	5		6	6	12	2,347
			2010												
	Test Take		2011												
			2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	11,598
			2010												
AL	ALGEBRA I		2011												
			2012	*	3	5	8	4	2	4		5	5	10	2,224
'			2010												
	Test Take		2011												
			2012	5	28	47	74	7	9	39	0	41	40	81	8,786
			2010												
GE	GEOMETRY	Y	2011												
			2012	*	0	1	2	*	*	1		1	1	2	120
•			2010												
	Test Take		2011												
	Taken		2012	4	7	31	35	1	1	9	0	27	17	44	2,732

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	22.2	11.4	5.1	6.4	0.0	0.0	2.1		11.8	3.5	8.0	10.1
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	11,598
		2010												
ALGE	BRA I	2011												
		2012	*	10.7	6.4	6.8	0.0	0.0	2.6		9.8	5.0	7.4	7.0
	- .	2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	5	28	47	74	7	9	39	0	41	40	81	8,786
		2010												
GEON	GEOMETRY	2011												
		2012	*	14.3	3.2	5.7	*	*	0.0		14.8	0.0	9.1	18.2
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	4	7	31	35	1	1	9	0	27	17	44	2,732

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

ALGE	EBRA I	1. Functional Relationships	Properties and Attributes of Functions	3. Linear Functions	Linear Equations and hequalities	5. Quadratic and Other Nonlinear Functions	
	2010						
	2011						
	2012	66.8 55.3		54.2	50.1	60.8	
_							
GEO	METRY	Geometric Structure	Geometric Patterns and Representations	Dimensionality and the Geometry of Location	4. Congruence and the Geometry of Size	5. Similarity and the Geometry of Shape	
	2010						
	2011						
	2012	50.2	68.2	60.0	59.2	56.3	

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 and 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	100.0	97.1	100.0	99.1	87.5	100.0	97.9		98.5	100.0	99.2	82.9
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,915
		2010												
BIOL	.OGY	2011												
		2012	100.0	97.1	100.0	99.1	87.5	100.0	97.9		98.5	100.0	99.2	82.9
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,864

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	0	1	0	1	1	0	1		1	0	1	1,528
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,915
		2010												
BIOL	.OGY	2011												
		2012	0	1	0	1	1	0	1		1	0	1	1,516
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,864

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	33.3	14.3	1.3	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		10.3	3.5	7.2	4.8
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,915
		2010												
BIOL	.OGY	2011												
		2012	33.3	14.3	1.3	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0		10.3	3.5	7.2	4.8
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,864

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

BIOL	LOGY	Cell Structure and Function	2. Mechanisms of Genetics	Biological Evolution and Classification	Biological Processes and Systems	5. Interdependence within Environmental Systems
	2010					
	2011					
	2012	55.5	58.0	69.4	58.1	70.5

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 and 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	100.0	91.4	96.2	94.5	75.0	100.0	91.7		95.6	94.7	95.2	75.7
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,834
		2010												
WOI GEOG	RLD RAPHY	2011												
		2012	100.0	91.4	96.2	94.5	75.0	100.0	91.7		95.6	94.7	95.2	76.0
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,758

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TE	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	0	3	3	6	2	0	4		3	3	6	2,145
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,834
		2010												
_	RLD RAPHY	2011												
		2012	0	3	3	6	2	0	4		3	3	6	2,100
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,758

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	33.3	11.4	11.5	10.1	12.5	0.0	4.2		19.1	5.3	12.8	6.7
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,834
		2010												
WO! GEOG	RLD RAPHY	2011												
		2012	33.3	11.4	11.5	10.1	12.5	0.0	4.2		19.1	5.3	12.8	6.8
	_	2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	9	35	78	109	8	10	48	0	68	57	125	8,758

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

	RLD RAPHY	1. History, Government, and Citizenship	2. Geography	3. Culture	4. Economics, Science, Technology, and Society	
	2010					
	2011					
	2012	64.6	76.9	63.0	65.5	

PERFORMANCE IN 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Comp	oosite
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
9	Intermediate	2	18.2	1	9.1	3	27.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
(11)	Advanced	2	18.2	5	45.5	5	45.5	0	0.0	1	9.1
	Advanced High	7	63.6	5	45.5	3	27.3	11	100.0	10	90.9
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ALL	Intermediate	2	18.2	1	9.1	3	27.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
(11)	Advanced	2	18.2	5	45.5	5	45.5	0	0.0	1	9.1
	Advanced High	7	63.6	5	45.5	3	27.3	11	100.0	10	90.9

PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		()	
10	Intermediate	0		0	
10 (100.0%)	Advanced	0	1	()
	Advanced High	0	0	Ç	9
	Beginning		()	
10	Intermediate	0		0	
10 (100.0%)	Advanced	0	1	0	
	Advanced High	0	0	ç	9

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2011 to 2012.

TESTS	ADMINISTERED II	N SEMESTER 1

			Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	6 Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
AL	.GEBRA	I							
	78	56.5	76.3	80.2	80.9	80.8	97.4	46.1	84.0
AF	RTI								
	121	51.0	75.9	93.2	96.3	90.9	100.0	75.6	95.5
ВІ	OLOGY F	PRE-AP							
	124	35.2	65.3	77.6	79.8	21.8	96.8	54.0	86.8
ΕN	IGLISH I								
	9	63.9	75.9	79.1	79.6	66.7	88.9	80.3	88.4
ΕN	IGLISH I	PRE-AP							
	111	53.3	72.0	80.7	82.2	63.1	97.3	73.4	92.2
GE	OMETR	Y PRE-AP							
	45	47.6	68.5	81.1	83.3	53.3	100.0	62.3	89.4
SF	ANISH I								
	80	77.9	87.5	90.9	91.5	96.3	100.0	76.6	90.8
SF	ANISH II								
	11	61.4	74.2	86.3	88.4	54.5	100.0	73.7	91.8
W	ORLD GE	OGRAPH	Y PRE-A	P					
	123	68.0	79.6	81.8	82.2	87.0	97.6	78.4	93.3

TESTS ADMINISTERED IN SEMESTER 2								
	Averages				% Passing		District % Passing	
Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
ALGEBRA I								
ART I								
123	56.1	78.1	91.7	94.1	95.9	100.0	76.9	93.3
BIOLOGY PRE-AP								
ENGLISH I							I	
ENGLISH I PRE-AP								
GEOMETRY PRE-AP								
SPANISH I							T	
81	78.7	85.8	93.9	95.3	82.7	100.0	70.9	85.0
SPANISH II							I	
11	64.2	76.1	90.2	92.7	63.6	100.0	76.4	89.6
WORLD GEOGRAPHY PRE-AP								