

2012-13 Data Packet: Standard Issue Table of Contents

2.	Contents	Table of Contents	3.	Notes	Notes and Data Descriptions
STUI	DENTS AND STA	<u>FF</u>			
6.	Summary	Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics	7.	Enrollment (1)	Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
8.	Enrollment (2)	Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group	9.	Attendance	Student Attendance Statistics
10.	Teachers	Teacher Statistics			
STA	<u>AR</u>				
11.	EOC Reading	STAAR EOC Reading	15.	EOC Writing	STAAR EOC Writing
19.	EOC Math	STAAR EOC Mathematics	23.	EOC Science	STAAR EOC Science
27.	EOC SS	STAAR EOC Social Studies			
TAK:	S & TAKS (ACCO	MMODATED)			
31.	TAKS Reading	.TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Reading/ELA	35.	TAKS Math	TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Mathematics
39.	TAKS Science	TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Science	43.	TAKS SS	TAKS/TAKS(Acc) Social Studies
ENG	LISH PROFICIEN	<u>CY</u>			
47.	TELPAS	.Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System			
COL	LEGE READINES	<u>s</u>			
48.	SAT/ACT	SAT/ACT Average Scores for Seniors	49.	PSAT	PSAT Average Scores
LOC	AL ASSESSMENT	<u>r</u>			
50.	ACP	Assessments of Course Performance			

2011-12 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- For 2010-11 forward, race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes; students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category. For prior years, race/ethnicity is based on the previous categories.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those receiving free or reduced-price lunches.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2011-12. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2010-11 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2010-11 and 2011-12.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled for a minimum number of instructional days between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main TAKS testing period. This number varies from 125 to 130 depending on the calendar in a particular school year.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

TEACHERS

- Teacher demographics and assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR M

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from the standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR M statistics are computed separately, following the TEA's reporting practice.
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC reading tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. STAAR compositions are rated twice on a scale of 0 to 4. The two ratings are summed for a range of possible score points from 0 to 8.
- STAAR M reports not currently available. At time of publication, insufficient information was available from the TEA to produce meaningful statistics for reporting.

TAKS and TAKS-Modified

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are those reported to the TEA in the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission for the district.
- TAKS statistics are based on both TAKS and TAKS-Accommodated results. TAKS-M statistics are based exclusively on TAKS-M results.
- Statistics on the objective performance summaries are averages for all students in the grade indicated.
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then compute the average percentage for all students. For written compositions, an "average composition rating" is presented. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.
- Cell shading for percentage meeting standard is based on the AYP minimum requirement for 2012.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2011 to 2012, the TEA did not report the 2011 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2012, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2011.

COLLEGE READINESS

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- For SAT and ACT, "Percent Tested" is computed with "Number Tested" as numerator and, as demoninator, the Grade 12 "Enrollment" reported on Enrollment (1) and Enrollment (2) reports. For PSAT the denominator for "Percent Tested" is from the appropriate grade/year on the Enrollment reports.
- Score summaries for SAT and ACT contain all scores available for the schoool year at the time of publication.
- The SAT and ACT are administered throughout the school year by The College Board and ACT, Inc. A student takes the SAT or ACT at the time and location of her choice, and she may take either test multiple times. If a student has results from multiple administrations, only the latest is used.
- SAT scores range from 200 to 800. ACT scores range from 0 to 36.
- PSAT scores range from 20 to 80. PSAT is administered once in the fall of each year. District students taking PSAT are tested at their schools. Statistics for a grade level are based on all students in that grade level in the fall with a PSAT score for that year. (Students' scores will be used in multiple statistics if they took the PSAT in more than one year.)

ACP

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are given as final course exams in grades 7-12. They account for a portion of students' final semester grades: 15 percent for high school and 10 percent for middle school. "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
9	58
10	54
11	51
12	44
ALL	207

STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Door	Stud	dents	Tead	hers
Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	35	16.9	5	41.7
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0.0	*	*
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	4	1.9	*	*
Hispanic	158	76.3	0	0.0
White	8	3.9	6	50.0
Multiple	0	0.0	1	8.3
Other* (teachers only)	_	_	0	0.0
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0	_	_

^{*}For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	70	33.8
Economically disadvantaged	161	77.8
Limited English proficient (LEP)	10	4.8
Special education	2	1.0
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	0	0.0

		African A	American	America	an Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	Wi	nite	Multiple	category	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	2010	57	11	19.3	0	0.0	2	3.5	40	70.2	4	7.0	_	_
9	2011	57	12	21.1	0	0.0	1	1.8	41	71.9	3	5.3	0	0.0
	2012	58	11	19.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	43	74.1	2	3.4	0	0.0
	2010	46	8	17.4	0	0.0	1	2.2	34	73.9	3	6.5	_	_
10	2011	60	12	20.0	0	0.0	2	3.3	45	75.0	1	1.7	0	0.0
	2012	54	10	18.5	0	0.0	1	1.9	41	75.9	2	3.7	0	0.0
	2010	39	15	38.5	0	0.0	2	5.1	20	51.3	2	5.1	_	_
11	2011	48	8	16.7	0	0.0	1	2.1	36	75.0	3	6.3	0	0.0
	2012	51	8	15.7	0	0.0	2	3.9	40	78.4	1	2.0	0	0.0
	2010	42	10	23.8	0	0.0	4	9.5	28	66.7	0	0.0	_	_
12	2011	40	12	30.0	1	2.5	1	2.5	24	60.0	2	5.0	0	0.0
	2012	44	6	13.6	0	0.0	1	2.3	34	77.3	3	6.8	0	0.0
	2010	184	44	23.9	0	0.0	9	4.9	122	66.3	9	4.9	_	_
9-12	2011	205	44	21.5	1	0.5	5	2.4	146	71.2	9	4.4	0	0.0
	2012	207	35	16.9	0	0.0	4	1.9	158	76.3	8	3.9	0	0.0

MIDDLE COLLEGE (90)

		Econor Disadva	mically antaged	LE	₽	Special E	Education	At I	Rlsk	TA	\G	New (to	District)	Gei	nder	Retention	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
9	2010	57	24	42.1	2	3.5	0	0.0	16	28.1	0	0.0	14	24.6	45.6	54.4	0.0
	2011	57	26	45.6	3	5.3	0	0.0	21	36.8	0	0.0	12	21.1	50.9	49.1	0.0
	2012	58	47	81.0	2	3.4	1	1.7	13	22.4	0	0.0	9	15.5	44.8	55.2	0.0
	2010	46	16	34.8	1	2.2	1	2.2	6	13.0	0	0.0	1	2.2	50.0	50.0	0.0
10	2011	60	31	51.7	2	3.3	0	0.0	15	25.0	0	0.0	2	3.3	46.7	53.3	0.0
	2012	54	39	72.2	4	7.4	0	0.0	27	50.0	0	0.0	3	5.6	50.0	50.0	0.0
	2010	39	10	25.6	2	5.1	2	5.1	8	20.5	0	0.0	2	5.1	41.0	59.0	0.0
11	2011	48	22	45.8	1	2.1	1	2.1	8	16.7	0	0.0	2	4.2	52.1	47.9	0.0
	2012	51	39	76.5	3	5.9	0	0.0	19	37.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	43.1	56.9	0.0
	2010	42	6	14.3	1	2.4	0	0.0	3	7.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	40.5	59.5	0.0
12	2011	40	16	40.0	2	5.0	1	2.5	6	15.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	42.5	57.5	0.0
	2012	44	36	81.8	1	2.3	1	2.3	11	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	52.3	47.7	0.0
	2010	184	56	30.4	6	3.3	3	1.6	33	17.9	0	0.0	17	9.2	44.6	55.4	0.0
9-12	2011	205	95	46.3	8	3.9	2	1.0	50	24.4	0	0.0	16	7.8	48.3	51.7	0.0
	2012	207	161	77.8	10	4.8	2	1.0	70	33.8	0	0.0	12	5.8	47.3	52.7	0.0

MIDDLE COLLEGE (90)

		Average Daily Membership		Average Daily Attendance				Yearly Transactions			Continuously Enrolled		Stability Rate	
Grade	Year	N	District	N	%	District N	District %	N	%	District %	N	District	%	District
	2010	57	11,952	56	98.1	10,770	90.1	0	0.0	32.8	57	8,320	100.0	69.6
9	2011	56	10,655	56	98.9	9,881	92.7	0	0.0	30.2	59	8,460	100.0	79.4
	2012	58	10,922	58	99.2	10,196	93.3	0	0.0	28.0	58	8,726	99.4	79.9
	2010	46	9,504	44	97.2	8,652	91.0	0	0.0	23.8	46	6,991	100.0	73.6
10	2011	59	9,679	58	98.5	9,027	93.3	0	0.0	21.7	60	8,048	100.0	83.2
	2012	54	9,007	54	98.7	8,431	93.6	0	0.0	21.3	54	7,332	99.3	81.4
	2010	40	8,137	38	95.9	7,411	91.1	1	2.5	19.1	37	6,143	92.6	75.5
11	2011	47	8,013	46	97.8	7,476	93.3	0	0.0	18.6	48	6,764	100.0	84.4
	2012	51	8,913	50	98.5	8,306	93.2	0	0.0	18.7	51	7,319	100.0	82.1
	2010	41	7,621	40	95.3	7,024	92.2	0	0.0	17.2	39	6,040	94.0	79.3
12	2011	39	7,836	38	97.4	7,300	93.2	0	0.0	17.4	40	6,655	100.0	84.9
	2012	43	8,178	43	98.4	7,571	92.6	0	0.0	16.5	44	6,742	100.0	82.4
	2010	184	37,214	178	96.8	33,857	91.0	1	0.5	24.3	179	27,494	97.4	73.9
9-12	2011	201	36,182	198	98.2	33,685	93.1	0	0.0	22.6	207	29,927	100.0	82.7
	2012	207	37,021	204	98.7	34,504	93.2	0	0.0	21.6	207	30,119	100.0	81.4

Teachers Teacher Statistics

Teachers: 12

DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage				
African American	5	41.7				
Hispanic	0	0.0				
White	6	50.0				
Multiple	1	8.3				
Other	0	0.0				

Gender	Number	Percentage				
Female	7	58.3				
Male	5	41.7				

ATTENDANCE / RETENTION

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2009-10	6.2	_
2010-11	8.3	100.0
2011-12	4.3	71.4

YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage			
Beginning (0)	0	0.0			
1	0	0.0			
2	1	8.3			
3	1	8.3			
4	0	0.0			
5	1	8.3			
1-3	2	16.7			
More than 3	10	83.3			
1 - 5	3	25.0			
6 - 10	3	25.0			
11 - 20	2	16.7			
More than 20	4	33.3			

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 and 3)

Те	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	81.8	92.9	89.4	*	*	66.7		92.3	90.6	91.4	56.1
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,147
		2010												
READ	DING I	2011												
		2012	*	81.8	92.9	89.4	*	*	66.7		92.3	90.6	91.4	56.0
	_	2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,060

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	2	3	5	*	*	2		2	3	5	4,013
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,147
		2010												
READ	DING I	2011												
		2012	*	2	3	5	*	*	2		2	3	5	3,987
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,060

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	18.2	4.8	6.4	*	*	0.0		7.7	9.4	8.6	4.2
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,147
		2010												
READ	DING I	2011												
		2012	*	18.2	4.8	6.4	*	*	0.0		7.7	9.4	8.6	4.2
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,060

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

REA	DINGI	1. Understanding / Analysis Across Genres	1. Short Answ er #1 Average Rating ¹	Short Answ er #2 Average Rating ¹	2. Understanding / Analysis of Literary Texts	Understanding / Analysis of Informational Texts
	2010					
	2011					
	2012	78.9	1.4	1.5	77.7	79.4

¹Short answer rating scale: 0=Insufficient; 1=Partially Sufficient; 2=Sufficient; 3=Exemplary

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 and 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	81.8	83.3	83.0	*	*	50.0		84.6	84.4	84.5	42.9
	_ ,	2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,146
		2010												
WRIT	ING I	2011												
		2012	*	81.8	83.3	83.0	*	*	50.0		84.6	84.4	84.5	42.7
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,057

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	2	7	8	*	*	3		4	5	9	5,226
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,146
		2010												
WRIT	ING I	2011												
		2012	*	2	7	8	*	*	3		4	5	9	5,189
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,057

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	0.0	0.0	2.1	*	*	0.0		0.0	3.1	1.7	1.5
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,146
		2010												
WRIT	TING I	2011												
		2012	*	0.0	0.0	2.1	*	*	0.0		0.0	3.1	1.7	1.5
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	9,057

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

WRI	TINGI	4. Literary Composition ¹	4. Expository Composition ¹	5. Revision	6. Editing
	2010				
	2011				
	2012	5.4	5.1	81.3	80.6

¹Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=betw een Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=betw een Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=betw een Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 and 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	79.8
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	11,598
		2010												
ALGE	BRA I	2011												
		2012		*	100.0	100.0	*	*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	74.7
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	0	4	15	17	1	2	4	0	7	12	19	8,786
		2010												
GEON	METRY	2011												
		2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0			*		100.0	100.0	100.0	95.6
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	7	27	30	0	0	2	0	19	20	39	2,732

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

	Те	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
			2010												
4	ALL I		2011												
			2012	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	2,347
			2010												
		Tests Taken	2011												
			2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	11,598
			2010												
Α	LGE	BRA I	2011												
			2012		*	0	0	*	*	*		0	0	0	2,224
			2010												
		Tests Taken	2011												
			2012	0	4	15	17	1	2	4	0	7	12	19	8,786
			2010												
G	EOM	IETRY	2011												
			2012	*	0	0	0			*		0	0	0	120
			2010												
		Tests Taken	2011												
			2012	2	7	27	30	0	0	2	0	19	20	39	2,732

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	27.3	26.2	23.4	*	*	0.0		34.6	18.8	25.9	10.1
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	11,598
		2010												
ALGE	BRA I	2011												
		2012		*	33.3	35.3	*	*	*		42.9	33.3	36.8	7.0
	- .	2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	0	4	15	17	1	2	4	0	7	12	19	8,786
		2010												
GEON	METRY	2011												
		2012	*	14.3	22.2	16.7			*		31.6	10.0	20.5	18.2
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	7	27	30	0	0	2	0	19	20	39	2,732

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

ALGI	EBRA I	1. Functional Relationships	Properties and Attributes of Functions	3. Linear Functions	Linear Equations and hequalities	5. Quadratic and Other Nonlinear Functions	
	2010						
	2011						
	2012	78.3	70.2	71.9	74.2	68.4	
	_						-
GEO	METRY	Geometric Structure	Geometric Patterns and Representations	Dimensionality and the Geometry of Location	4. Congruence and the Geometry of Size	5. Similarity and the Geometry of Shape	
GEO	2010		Patterns and	the Geometry of	_		
GEO			Patterns and	the Geometry of	_		

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 and 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	82.9
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,915
		2010												
BIOL	.OGY	2011												
		2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	82.9
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,864

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,528
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,915
		2010												
BIOL	.OGY	2011												
		2012	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,516
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,864

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Тє	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	18.2	7.1	4.3	*	*	0.0		11.5	6.3	8.6	4.8
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,915
		2010												
BIOL	.OGY	2011												
		2012	*	18.2	7.1	4.3	*	*	0.0		11.5	6.3	8.6	4.8
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,864

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

BIC	DLOGY	Cell Structure and Function	2. Mechanisms of Genetics	Biological Evolution and Classification	Biological Processes and Systems	5. Interdependence within Environmental Systems
	2010					
	2011					
	2012	57.8	65.7	70.0	53.0	69.9

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 and 3)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	100.0	95.2	95.7	*	*	66.7		96.2	96.9	96.6	75.7
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,834
		2010												
WOI GEOG	RLD RAPHY	2011												
		2012	*	100.0	95.2	95.7	*	*	66.7		96.2	96.9	96.6	76.0
	_	2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,758

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	0	2	2	*	*	2		1	1	2	2,145
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,834
		2010												
WOI GEOG	RLD RAPHY	2011												
		2012	*	0	2	2	*	*	2		1	1	2	2,100
	_	2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,758

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Тє	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2010												
ALL TES	EOC STS	2011												
		2012	*	0.0	14.3	12.8	*	*	0.0		23.1	6.3	13.8	6.7
		2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,834
		2010												
WOI GEOG	RLD RAPHY	2011												
		2012	*	0.0	14.3	12.8	*	*	0.0		23.1	6.3	13.8	6.8
	_	2010												
	Tests Taken	2011												
		2012	2	11	42	47	1	2	6	0	26	32	58	8,758

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

	RLD RAPHY	1. History, Government, and Citizenship	2. Geography	3. Culture	4. Economics, Science, Technology, and Society	
	2010					
	2011					
	2012	65.6	73.7	60.2	68.1	

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.2	46
10	2011		100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.2	60
	2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	90.4	54
	2010	*	100.0	95.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		93.8	100.0	97.4	91.0	39
11	2011	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	92.3	49
	2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	90.7	51
	2010	100.0	100.0	98.9	100.0	*	*	100.0		98.5	100.0	99.3	88.3	142
10-11	2011	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.0	168
	2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	90.6	105

					NUMBE	R TESTEI	O IN ALL (GRADES				
2010	9	34	94	50	3	5	30	0	65	77	142	25,712
2011	7	32	124	77	1	6	47	0	83	85	168	25,892
2012	3	18	81	77	0	6	30	0	49	56	105	16,259

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 87 percent, the 2011-12 minimum for meeting AYP.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	1,086	46
10	2011		0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	1,160	60
	2012	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	779	54
	2010	*	0	1	0	*	*	0		1	0	1	632	39
11	2011	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	564	49
	2012	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	758	51
	2010	0	0	1	0	*	*	0		1	0	1	3,013	142
10-11	2011	0	0	0	0	*	0	0	·	0	0	0	3,357	168
	2012	*	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	1,537	105

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN ALL G	RADES				
2010	9	34	94	50	3	5	30	0	65	77	142	25,712
2011	7	32	124	77	1	6	47	0	83	85	168	25,892
2012	3	18	81	77	0	6	30	0	49	56	105	16,259

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Basic Understanding	Literary Elements and Techniques	Analysis and Evaluation	Written Composition: Effectiveness / Command of Conventions ¹	Revising and Editing
	2010	98.4	83.6	78.4	3.2	93.0
10	2011	96.9	83.3	77.9	3.1	93.1
	2012	96.1	83.0	78.3	2.9	90.9
	2010	90.1	83.4	77.1	2.7	90.6
11	2011	97.2	79.0	80.0	2.9	86.1
	2012	94.4	86.6	83.3	3.1	91.4
	2010	93.8	83.1	79.1	3.0	91.9
10-11	2011	95.7	81.6	78.9	3.0	90.0
	2012	95.2	84.8	80.7	3.0	

¹Average rating on written composition. TAKS compositions are rated on a scale of 1 to 4.

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)	
	2010	*	12.5	50.0	31.3	*	*	16.7		34.8	47.8	41.3	9.1	46	
10	2011		16.7	31.1	22.6		*	12.5		21.4	37.5	30.0	8.2	60	
	2012	*	40.0	29.3	38.5		*	0.0		37.0	29.6	33.3	13.5	54	
	2010	*	33.3	45.0	40.0	*	*	25.0		37.5	39.1	38.5	20.9	39	
11	2011	*	37.5	29.7	22.7	*	*	20.0		26.9	39.1	32.7	11.8	49	
	2012	*	62.5	57.5	57.9		*	30.8		59.1	58.6	58.8	17.7	51	
	2010	33.3	32.4	48.9	38.0	*	*	33.3		40.0	48.1	44.4	15.4	142	
10-11	2011	42.9	37.5	29.8	26.0	*	0.0	14.9		31.3	34.1	32.7	13.9	168	
	2012	*	50.0	43.2	48.0		33.3	13.3		46.9	44.6	45.7	15.6	105	

		NUM BER TESTED IN ALL GRADES												
2010	9	34	94	50	3	5	30	0	65	77	142	25,712		
2011	7	32	124	77	1	6	47	0	83	85	168	25,892		
2012	3	18	81	77	0	6	30	0	49	56	105	16,259		

MIDDLE COLLEGE (90)

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)	
	2010	*	100.0	97.1	93.8	*	*	83.3		95.7	100.0	97.8	67.8	46	
10	2011		100.0	95.7	96.8		*	87.5		100.0	93.8	96.7	64.3	60	
	2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	70.1	54	
	2010	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	85.6	39	
11	2011	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.3	48	
	2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	88.3	51	
	2010	100.0	94.1	97.9	98.0	*	*	90.0		95.4	98.7	97.2	69.7	142	
10-11	2011	85.7	96.9	92.7	93.5	*	83.3	84.8		96.4	90.5	93.4	69.1	167	
	2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	79.1	105	

	NUMBER TESTED IN ALL GRADES												
2010	9	34	94	50	3	5	30	0	65	77	142	25,337	
2011	7	32	124	77	1	6	46	0	83	84	167	25,527	
2012	3	18	81	77	0	6	31	0	49	56	105	16,102	

Shaded cells indicate percentages below 83 percent, the 2011-12 minimum for meeting AYP.

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)	
	2010	*	0	1	1	*	*	1		1	0	1	2,682	46	
10	2011		0	2	1		*	2		0	2	2	3,192	60	
	2012	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	2,419	54	
	2010	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	998	39	
11	2011	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	923	48	
	2012	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	940	51	
	2010	0	2	2	1	*	*	3		3	1	4	7,672	142	
10-11	2011	1	1	9	5	*	1	7		3	8	11	7,879	167	
	2012	*	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	3,359	105	

	NUMBER TESTED IN ALL GRADES												
2010	9	34	94	50	3	5	30	0	65	77	142	25,337	
2011	7	32	124	77	1	6	46	0	83	84	167	25,527	
2012	3	18	81	77	0	6	31	0	49	56	105	16,102	

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

						OBJE	CTIVE				
Grade	Year	Functional Relationships	Properties and Attributes of Functions	Linear Functions	Linear Equations and Inequalities	Quadratic and Other Nonlinear Functions	Geometric Relationships and Spatial Reasoning	Tw o- and Three- Dimensional Representations	Measurement and Similarity	Percents, Proportions, Probability, and Statistics	Mathematical Processes and Tools
	2010	77.8	71.3	82.6	84.3	82.2	84.3	86.1	73.9	67.4	77.1
10	2011	84.0	78.0	86.0	90.0	88.3	82.7	84.0	79.5	71.3	74.8
	2012	84.8	82.6	93.0	90.0	81.9	80.4	89.3	80.4	77.8	76.5
	2010	84.1	92.3	87.7	94.9	91.8	80.2	78.8	86.1	80.0	76.4
11	2011	94.2	90.8	82.5	87.1	95.0	82.4	88.1	85.1	76.7	82.2
	2012	89.8	88.2	92.6	91.8	97.7	86.0	84.3	87.1	76.9	83.7
	2010	80.4	82.0	83.1	84.6	83.7	81.2	83.5	78.8	74.1	74.7
10-11	2011	85.7	79.6	81.7	84.7	84.3	79.0	81.5	78.9	72.8	74.2
	2012	87.2	85.3	92.8	90.9	89.5	83.1	86.9	83.7	77.3	80.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	0.0	20.6	25.0	*	*	0.0		34.8	8.7	21.7	12.2	46
10	2011		16.7	34.8	35.5		*	18.8		39.3	28.1	33.3	11.9	60
	2012	*	40.0	31.7	38.5		*	29.4		51.8	18.5	35.2	13.3	54
	2010	*	46.7	65.0	60.0	*	*	25.0		56.3	60.9	59.0	18.1	39
11	2011	*	12.5	44.4	31.8	*	*	33.3		53.8	27.3	41.7	19.4	48
	2012	*	50.0	57.5	57.9		*	14.3		63.6	51.7	56.9	24.9	51
	2010	77.8	26.5	38.3	38.0	*	*	20.0		44.6	35.1	39.4	15.3	142
10-11	2011	57.1	21.9	33.9	32.5	*	16.7	21.7		38.6	27.4	32.9	15.3	167
	2012	*	44.4	44.4	48.0		50.0	22.6		57.1	35.7	45.7	19.1	105

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN ALL G	RADES				
2010	9	34	94	50	3	5	30	0	65	77	142	25,337
2011	7	32	124	77	1	6	46	0	83	84	167	25,527
2012	3	18	81	77	0	6	31	0	49	56	105	16,102

MIDDLE COLLEGE (90)

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	АΙΙ	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	100.0	94.1	100.0	*	*	83.3		100.0	91.3	95.7	65.1	46
10	2011		100.0	89.1	90.3		*	75.0		92.9	90.6	91.7	65.7	60
	2012	*	100.0	85.4	87.2		*	76.5		100.0	77.8	88.9	68.6	54
	2010	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.9	39
11	2011	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	87.7	48
	2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	92.5	51
	2010	*	100.0	96.3	100.0	*	*	92.9		100.0	95.7	97.6	75.4	85
10-11	2011	*	100.0	93.9	94.3	*	*	84.0		96.3	94.4	95.4	75.6	108
	2012	*	100.0	92.6	93.5		100.0	87.1		100.0	89.3	94.3	80.5	105

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN ALL G	SRADES				
2010	5	23	54	26	3	3	14	0	39	46	85	15,251
2011	3	20	82	53	1	3	25	0	54	54	108	16,157
2012	3	18	81	77	0	6	31	0	49	56	105	16,099

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	0	2	0	*	*	1		0	2	2	2,910	46
10	2011		0	5	3		*	4		2	3	5	3,058	60
	2012	*	0	6	5		*	4		0	6	6	2,537	54
	2010	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	838	39
11	2011	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	888	48
	2012	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	599	51
	2010	*	0	2	0	*	*	1		0	2	2	3,748	85
10-11	2011	*	0	5	3	*	*	4		2	3	5	3,946	108
	2012	*	0	6	5		0	4		0	6	6	3,136	105

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN ALL G	RADES				
2010	5	23	54	26	3	3	14	0	39	46	85	15,251
2011	3	20	82	53	1	3	25	0	54	54	108	16,157
2012	3	18	81	77	0	6	31	0	49	56	105	16,099

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Nature of Science	Organization of Living Systems	Interdependence of Organisms and the Environment	Structures and Properties of Matter	Motion, Forces, and Energy
	2010	86.1	75.1	78.5	74.5	72.6
10	2011	83.8	75.2	73.9	75.4	68.3
	2012	81.3	58.8	79.1	67.8	72.2
	2010	76.3	73.1	80.8	79.5	79.0
11	2011	80.0	81.3	89.1	83.3	76.3
	2012	85.6	84.1	87.0	82.7	77.9
	2010	81.6	74.2	79.5	76.8	75.5
10-11	2011	82.1	77.9	80.7	78.9	71.9
	2012	83.4	71.1	83.0	75.1	75.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	12.5	20.6	18.8	*	*	0.0		30.4	13.0	21.7	10.4	46
10	2011		25.0	23.9	25.8		*	12.5		35.7	18.8	26.7	11.0	60
	2012	*	30.0	7.3	12.8		*	5.9		22.2	7.4	14.8	10.7	54
	2010	*	13.3	15.0	10.0	*	*	0.0		12.5	13.0	12.8	10.9	39
11	2011	*	12.5	27.8	18.2	*	*	22.2		42.3	13.6	29.2	17.3	48
	2012	*	50.0	42.5	39.5		*	21.4		50.0	41.4	45.1	19.2	51
	2010	*	13.0	18.5	15.4	*	*	0.0		23.1	13.0	17.6	10.6	85
10-11	2011	*	20.0	25.6	22.6	*	*	16.0		38.9	16.7	27.8	13.8	108
	2012	*	38.9	24.7	26.0		0.0	12.9		34.7	25.0	29.5	14.9	105

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN ALL C	RADES				
2010	5	23	54	26	3	3	14	0	39	46	85	15,251
2011	3	20	82	53	1	3	25	0	54	54	108	16,157
2012	3	18	81	77	0	6	31	0	49	56	105	16,099

PERCENTAGE MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	92.2	46
10	2011		100.0	97.8	96.8		*	93.8		100.0	96.9	98.3	91.9	60
	2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	93.4	54
	2010	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	97.7	39
11	2011	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	98.3	48
	2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	98.2	51
	2010	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	94.7	85
10-11	2011	*	100.0	98.8	98.1	*	*	96.0		100.0	98.1	99.1	94.8	108
	2012	*	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	95.8	105

					NUMBE	R TESTEI	IN ALL C	RADES				
2010	5	23	54	26	3	3	14	0	39	46	85	15,166
2011	3	20	82	53	1	3	25	0	54	54	108	16,081
2012	3	18	81	77	0	6	31	0	49	56	105	16,032

MIDDLE COLLEGE (90)

NUMBER NOT MEETING STANDARD

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	644	46
10	2011		0	1	1		*	1		0	1	1	718	60
	2012	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	529	54
	2010	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	159	39
11	2011	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	126	48
	2012	*	0	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	146	51
	2010	*	0	0	0	*	*	0		0	0	0	803	85
10-11	2011	*	0	1	1	*	*	1		0	1	1	844	108
	2012	*	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	675	105

					NUM BE	R TESTE	IN ALL C	GRADES							
2010	5	5 23 54 26 3 3 14 0 39 46 85 15,166													
2011	3	20	82	53	1	3	25	0	54	54	108	16,081			
2012	3 18 81 77 0 6 31 0 49 56 105 16,032														

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY OBJECTIVE

				OBJECTIVE		
Grade	Year	Issues and Events in U.S. History	Geographic Influences on History	Economic and Social Influences on History	Political Influences on History	Critical-Thinking Skills
	2010	87.9	93.1	94.1	89.9	89.9
10	2011	88.6	87.9	92.6	88.9	90.6
	2012	81.0	80.9	91.0	83.8	82.4
	2010	82.1	91.2	89.5	91.7	91.8
11	2011	87.3	91.7	92.5	93.1	92.6
	2012	86.9	94.6	93.1	93.3	95.9
	2010	85.2	92.2	92.0	90.7	90.8
10-11	2011	88.0	89.6	92.6	90.7	91.5
	2012	83.8	87.5	92.0	88.4	89.0

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING COMMENDED PERFORMANCE

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2010	*	75.0	73.5	62.5	*	*	33.3		82.6	69.6	76.1	36.0	46
10	2011		75.0	80.4	83.9		*	62.5		82.1	78.1	80.0	36.7	60
	2012	*	80.0	46.3	53.8		*	29.4		70.4	37.0	53.7	35.8	54
	2010	*	80.0	75.0	90.0	*	*	50.0		81.3	78.3	79.5	46.1	39
11	2011	*	87.5	88.9	86.4	*	*	88.9		92.3	86.4	89.6	51.2	48
	2012	*	100.0	82.5	81.6		*	71.4		86.4	86.2	86.3	56.3	51
	2010	*	78.3	74.1	73.1	*	*	42.9		82.1	73.9	77.6	40.6	85
10-11	2011	*	80.0	84.1	84.9	*	*	72.0	·	87.0	81.5	84.3	43.3	108
	2012	*	88.9	64.2	67.5		66.7	48.4	·	77.6	62.5	69.5	46.0	105

					NUM BE	R TESTED	IN ALL G	RADES							
2010	5	5 23 54 26 3 3 14 0 39 46 85 15,166													
2011	3	3 20 82 53 1 3 25 0 54 54 108 16,081													
2012	3	18	81	77	0	6	31	0	49	56	105	16,032			

PERFORMANCE IN 2012

Grade (2010-11)	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Comp	posite
(N Rated)	2012 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
ALL	Intermediate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
(9)	Advanced	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	22.2	1	11.1	1	11.1
	Advanced High	9	100.0	9	100.0	7	77.8	8	88.9	8	88.9

PROGRESSION FROM 2011 TO 2012

Number Rated Both Years			2011	Level	
N (%) Progressed	2012 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning		()	
7	Intermediate	0		0	
6 (85.7%)	Advanced	0	0	,	1
	Advanced High	0	0	(6

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2011 to 2012.

			Percei	nt Tested	All St	udents	African	American	His	panic	W	'hite	0	ther	Dis	strict
Test	Subtest	Year	%	District %	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean
		2009-10	76.2	51.1	32	445	7	461	21	417			4	*	3,913	417
	Critical Reading	2010-11	67.5	52.7	27	452	10	468	15	442			2	*	4,216	417
	. rodding	2011-12	70.5	55.5	31	451	4	*	24	453	2	*	1	*	4,482	416
		2009-10	76.2	51.1	32	484	7	474	21	473			4	*	3,913	441
SAT	Mathematics	2010-11	67.5	52.7	27	490	10	484	15	489			2	*	4,216	446
		2011-12	70.5	55.5	31	497	4	*	24	502	2	*	1	*	4,482	447
		2009-10	76.2	51.1	32	445	7	451	21	426			4	*	3,913	411
	Writing	2010-11	67.5	52.7	27	454	10	472	15	443			2	*	4,216	410
		2011-12	70.5	55.5	31	427	4	*	24	430	2	*	1	*	4,482	409
	English	2009-10	23.8	28.0	10	17.4	1	*	7	15.4			2	*	2,146	15.2
		2010-11	67.5	35.6	27	17.3	10	19.5	14	15.4	1	*	2	*	2,852	15.3
		2011-12	84.1	36.9	37	18.9	5	*	29	18.6	2	*	1	*	2,979	15.5
		2009-10	23.8	28.0	10	19.8	1	*	7	18.9			2	*	2,146	18.1
	Mathematics	2010-11	67.5	35.6	27	21.4	10	21.6	14	20.7	1	*	2	*	2,852	18.4
		2011-12	84.1	36.9	37	21.5	5	*	29	21.3	2	*	1	*	2,979	18.3
		2009-10	23.8	28.0	10	20.9	1	*	7	18.6			2	*	2,146	16.7
ACT	Reading	2010-11	67.5	35.6	27	20.3	10	23.1	14	18.0	1	*	2	*	2,852	16.8
		2011-12	84.1	36.9	37	20.4	5	*	29	20.4	2	*	1	*	2,979	16.9
		2009-10	23.8	28.0	10	20.0	1	*	7	18.9			2	*	2,146	17.9
	Science	2010-11	67.5	35.6	27	20.2	10	21.1	14	19.6	1	*	2	*	2,852	17.6
		2011-12	84.1	36.9	37	19.8	5	*	29	19.5	2	*	1	*	2,979	17.6
		2009-10	23.8	28.0	10	19.6	1	*	7	18.0			2	*	2,146	17.1
	Composite	2010-11	67.5	35.6	27	19.9	10	21.5	14	18.5	1	*	2	*	2,852	17.1
		2011-12	84.1	36.9	37	20.3	5	*	29	20.1	2	*	1	*	2,979	17.2

				All		Afric	an Amer	ican		Hispanic			White			Other			District	
Grade	Subtest	Year	N	Mean	% at BMRK*	N	Mean	% at BMRK*	N	Mean	% at BMRK*	N	Mean	% at BMRK*	N	Mean	% at BMRK*	N	Mean	% at BMRK*
	0.111	Fall 2009	48	43	16.7	9	41	11.1	35	43	14.3	3	*	*	1	*	*	8,192	36	7.1
	Critical Reading	Fall 2010	58	42	17.2	12	41	8.3	40	41	17.5	4	*	*	2	*	*	8,638	36	8.0
	ŭ	Fall 2011	56	40	8.9	10	42	10.0	42	39	7.1	3	*	*	1	*	*	7,987	36	8.1
		Fall 2009	48	46	47.9	9	44	33.3	35	47	48.6	3	*	*	1	*	*	8,192	39	18.2
10	Mathematics	Fall 2010	58	45	39.7	12	42	25.0	40	45	40.0	4	*	*	2	*	*	8,638	39	20.4
	Fall 2011	56	44	35.7	10	45	40.0	42	43	33.3	3	*	*	1	*	*	7,987	38	17.4	
		Fall 2009	48	42	14.6	9	42	11.1	35	42	11.4	3	*	*	1	*	*	8,192	36	6.4
Writi	Writing	Fall 2010	58	41	8.6	12	40	8.3	40	40	7.5	4	*	*	2	*	*	8,638	34	5.9
		Fall 2011	56	41	10.7	10	45	30.0	42	39	2.4	3	*	*	1	*	*	7,987	36	6.4
	0.111	Fall 2009	43	44	18.6	15	47	33.3	24	43	12.5	2	*	*	2	*	*	5,587	38	11.3
	Critical Reading	Fall 2010	50	46	30.0	9	42	11.1	37	47	32.4	3	*	*	1	*	*	5,224	40	14.2
	ŭ	Fall 2011	52	45	21.2	9	47	44.4	41	44	17.1	0			2	*	*	3,980	41	16.9
		Fall 2009	43	47	25.6	15	46	13.3	24	47	37.5	2	*	*	2	*	*	5,587	42	20.1
11	Mathematics	Fall 2010	50	49	44.0	9	44	11.1	37	50	48.6	3	*	*	1	*	*	5,224	43	23.9
		Fall 2011	52	47	36.5	9	44	11.1	41	48	41.5	0			2	*	*	3,980	43	26.9
		Fall 2009	43	43	18.6	15	44	20.0	24	43	16.7	2	*	*	2	*	*	5,587	38	13.2
	Writing	Fall 2010	50	43	28.0	9	42	11.1	37	44	29.7	3	*	*	1	*	*	5,224	38	12.8
		Fall 2011	52	46	32.7	9	46	33.3	41	45	29.3	0			2	*	*	3,980	40	16.9

^{*}The College Board benchmarks for Grade 10 are scores at or above which students have a 65 percent likelihood of meeting Grade 11 benchmarks. Critical reading: 49; math: 47; writing: 49. Benchmarks for Grade 11 are scores at or above which students have a 65 percent likelihood of meeting SAT benchmarks. Critical reading: 50; math: 50; writing: 49.

TESTS ADMINISTER	RED IN SEMESTER 1
------------------	-------------------

			Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	5 Passing
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
AL	GEBRA	I							
	20	56.9	76.5	77.5	77.7	80.0	85.0	46.1	84.0
AL	.GEBRA	II							
	54	83.6	89.1	79.7	78.1	96.3	100.0	66.0	85.1
ВІ	OLOGY								
	70	67.1	82.1	84.4	84.8	94.3	97.1	60.3	82.0
CH	IEMISTR	Υ							
	50	58.2	77.2	78.3	78.5	80.0	88.0	54.8	84.1
EN	IGLISH I								
	59	87.2	91.5	87.5	86.8	100.0	100.0	80.3	88.4
ΕN	IGLISH II								
	55	78.0	85.4	86.5	86.6	100.0	100.0	80.6	88.0
ΕN	IGLISH II	I							
	51	81.2	87.5	88.7	88.9	98.0	100.0	75.6	89.8
EN	IGLISH I	/							
	7	76.2	84.1	85.6	85.8	85.7	100.0	80.3	91.6
GE	OMETR	Y							
	63	72.1	82.2	83.1	83.2	98.4	98.4	59.1	80.4
HE	ALTH I								
	24	76.8	84.6	89.2	90.0	100.0	100.0	75.6	95.2
MA	THEMA	TICAL MO	DELS W/	APPLICA	ATIONS				
	28	70.7	81.7	76.2	75.3	96.4	92.9	62.9	86.6

	TESTS ADMINISTERED IN SEMESTER 2												
			Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	2 Passing				
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course				
AL	GEBRA												
AL	GEBRA	ll .											
	50	79.2	87.5	82.5	81.6	100.0	100.0	63.0	84.7				
BI	OLOGY												
	10	57.2	78.2	78.9	79.0	90.0	100.0	61.8	78.6				
CH	IEMISTR	Υ											
	48	54.3	76.8	78.9	79.2	85.4	95.8	56.2	84.1				
EN	IGLISH I												
EN	IGLISH II												
	54	76.7	84.5	87.7	88.2	96.3	100.0	74.9	84.5				
EN	IGLISH II	I											
	49	85.8	90.5	86.7	86.0	98.0	98.0	76.8	87.7				
EN	IGLISH I	/											
GE	OMETR	Y											
	23	64.3	78.6	82.5	83.2	87.0	100.0	55.5	79.8				
HE	ALTH I												
	26	80.4	86.9	90.5	91.2	100.0	100.0	77.4	94.5				
MA	THEMA	TICAL MOI	DELS W/	APPLICA	ATIONS								
	27	57.9	77.4	79.6	80.0	88.9	88.9	54.9	82.9				

TESTS ADMINISTERED IN SEMESTER 1

		Averages			% Passing		District % Passing			
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	
PHYSICS										
	56	51.3	74.8	78.5	79.2	69.6	92.9	47.2	84.8	
PRE-CALCULUS										
	20	85.2	90.5	85.0	84.0	100.0	100.0	62.9	87.9	
WORLD GEOGRAPHY										
	59	64.0	81.4	86.2	87.1	96.6	100.0	60.6	87.4	
WORLD HISTORY										
	55	55.5	77.4	78.0	78.1	90.9	94.5	58.3	91.4	

TESTS ADMINISTERED IN SEMESTER 2

				% Passing		District % Passing				
	Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	
PHYSICS										
	46	51.4	76.1	78.9	79.4	78.3	91.3	56.7	86.4	
PRE-CALCULUS										
	16	78.6	88.9	81.9	80.6	100.0	100.0	50.5	88.0	
WORLD GEOGRAPHY										
WORLD HISTORY										
	54	67.3	80.4	83.4	84.0	94.4	98.1	68.4	89.7	