DATA PACKET for 2015-16 planning

Evaluation & Assessment Office of Institutional Research http://mydata.dallasisd.org OIR@dallasisd.org

December 15, 2015

chool Number 802

2015-16 Data Packet: Standard Issue

Table of Contents

2. Contents Table of Contents

STUDENTS AND STAFF

5. Summary Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics

7. Enroll (2) Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group

STAAR (English)

9. Reading (3-8) STAAR 3-8 Reading in English

- 17. Math (3-8) STAAR 3-8 Mathematics in English
- 25. Science (3-8) STAAR 3-8 Science in English

ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

33. WMLS Woodcock-Muñoz Language Survey

LOCAL ASSESSMENT

35. ACP Assessments of Course Performance

3. Notes Notes and Data Descriptions

- 6. Enroll (1) Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity
- 8. Attendance Student Attendance Statistics
- 13. Writing (3-8) STAAR 3-8 Writing in English
- 21. Math (EOC) STAAR EOC Mathematics
- 29. SS (3-8) STAAR 3-8 Social Studies in English

34. TELPAS Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

2014-15 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program, come from a family with an annual income at or below the official poverty line, or are eligible for national or state programs that provide need-based financial assistance.
- MAGNET PROGRAMS: Teacher statistics are not available for magnets, academies, or vanguards. See statistics for the whole campus.

ENROLLMENT

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program, come from a family with an annual income at or below the official poverty line, or are eligible for national or state programs that provide need-based financial assistance.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2014-15. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2013-14 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2013-14 and 2014-15.

ATTENDANCE

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were SCHEDULED or ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled and in attendance for a minimum number of instructional days in at least one course at the school between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main STAAR testing period. The minimum number varies depending on the school year's calendar, but is typically around 130 days.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

OLIVER W. HOLMES HUMANITIES/COMMUNICATIONS ACADEMY (80

Notes

STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR A

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are from the district's Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission to the TEA.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR A statistics are computed separately, following TEA reporting practice.
- "Percentage Satisfactory" is the percentage of students achieving Level 2 (Satisfactory) or Level 3 (Advanced).
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC English tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. Additional details provided in report footnotes.
- Reading statistics for SSI grades 5 and 8 are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

TELPAS

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2014 to 2015, the TEA did not report the 2014 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2015, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2014.

<u>ACP</u>

- Cells marked with an asterisk (*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- The ACPs are semester exams administered in grades K-12. Not all courses have ACPs. In MIDDLE and HIGH school, ACP results account for a portion of students' final semester grades (10% and 15% respectively). "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores. In ELEMENTARY school, ACP results account for 5% of students' semester grades for core courses; course-grade statistics are not reported in data packets for elementary grades.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70 percent is required to pass an ACP. A course mark of at least 70 percent is required to pass a course.
- Statistics are computed separately for Semester 1 and Semester 2 tests; time of administration during the school year is not relevant. Results from tests written for different school years are not combined.

STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
6	34
7	45
8	48
ALL	127

STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Race	Stuc	dents	Teac	hers*
Etimeity/Nace	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	60	47.2		
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0.0		
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0.0		
Hispanic	65	51.2		
White	1	0.8		
Multiple	1	0.8		
Other* (teachers only)	_	_		
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0		

*Teacher statistics not available separately for magnets, academies, or vanguards.

SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	88	69.3
Economically disadvantaged	124	97.6
Limited English proficient (LEP)	40	31.5
Special education	4	3.1
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	30	23.6

			African A	American	America	an Indian	As	ian	Hisp	anic	W	nite	Multiple	category
Grade	Year	Enrollment	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%
	2013	17	8	47.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	9	52.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
6	2014	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2015	34	19	55.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	14	41.2	1	2.9	0	0.0
	2013	16	4	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
7	2014	16	10	62.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2015	45	18	40.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	57.8	0	0.0	1	2.2
	2013	26	17	65.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	8	30.8	0	0.0	1	3.8
8	2014	15	3	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2015	48	23	47.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	52.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2013	59	29	49.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	49.2	0	0.0	1	1.7
6-8	2014	38	20	52.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	18	47.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	2015	127	60	47.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	65	51.2	1	0.8	1	0.8

Enroll (2)

Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group

			Econo Disadva	mically antaged	LI	P	Special E	Education	At F	RIsk	TA	٨G	New (to	District)	Ger	nder	Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2013	17	16	94.1	4	23.5	0	0.0	5	29.4	7	41.2	0	0.0	52.9	47.1	0.0
6	2014	7	6	85.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	28.6	2	28.6	0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.0
	2015	34	33	97.1	9	26.5	3	8.8	25	73.5	11	32.4	1	2.9	35.3	64.7	0.0
	2013	16	16	100.0	8	50.0	0	0.0	10	62.5	6	37.5	0	0.0	31.3	68.8	0.0
7	2014	16	13	81.3	3	18.8	1	6.3	11	68.8	6	37.5	0	0.0	43.8	56.3	0.0
	2015	45	45	100.0	19	42.2	1	2.2	30	66.7	6	13.3	1	2.2	42.2	57.8	0.0
	2013	26	23	88.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	19.2	13	50.0	0	0.0	34.6	65.4	0.0
8	2014	15	14	93.3	8	53.3	0	0.0	11	73.3	6	40.0	0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0
	2015	48	46	95.8	12	25.0	0	0.0	33	68.8	13	27.1	0	0.0	56.3	43.8	0.0
	2013	59	55	93.2	12	20.3	0	0.0	20	33.9	26	44.1	0	0.0	39.0	61.0	0.0
6-8	2014	38	33	86.8	11	28.9	1	2.6	24	63.2	14	36.8	0	0.0	42.1	57.9	0.0
	2015	127	124	97.6	40	31.5	4	3.1	88	69.3	30	23.6	2	1.6	45.7	54.3	0.0

OLIVER W. HOLMES HUMANITIES/COMMUNICATIONS ACADEMY (8

December 15, 2015 Dallas ISD Evaluation & Assessment (Office of Institutional Research) rpt_ENROLL_OTH 2015-16 Campus Data Packet

Attendance

			ge Daily bership	Average Daily Attendance				Yea	arly Transad	tions		nuously olled	Stability Rate	
Grade	Year	Ν	District	Ν	%	District N	District %	Ν	%	District %	Ν	District	%	District
	2013	17	11,425	17	97.6	11,026	96.5	0	0.0	21.2	17	10,240	99.2	89.6
6	2014	7	10,824	7	97.1	10,429	96.4	0	0.0	17.6	7	9,598	100.0	88.7
	2015	36	10,896	35	97.1	10,493	96.3	2	5.5	19.6	35	9,647	96.2	88.5
	2013	16	10,460	15	97.3	10,035	95.9	1	6.3	24.7	16	9,275	100.0	88.7
7	2014	17	11,172	17	96.0	10,706	95.8	0	0.0	19.0	15	9,899	87.2	88.6
	2015	47	10,670	44	94.9	10,206	95.6	3	6.4	22.7	40	9,349	85.7	87.6
	2013	26	9,854	25	95.4	9,387	95.3	0	0.0	17.8	25	8,619	95.9	87.5
8	2014	15	10,418	15	97.9	9,939	95.4	0	0.0	8.1	15	9,146	97.8	87.8
	2015	50	10,940	48	96.9	10,445	95.5	0	0.0	14.2	47	9,604	94.0	87.8
	2013	59	31,739	57	96.6	30,448	95.9	1	1.7	21.3	58	28,134	98.2	88.6
6-8	2014	39	32,415	38	96.9	31,074	95.9	0	0.0	15.0	37	28,643	93.7	88.4
	2015	133	32,507	128	96.3	31,144	95.8	5	3.8	18.8	122	28,600	91.7	88.0

*Prior-year statistics were recomputed to reflect current attendance requirements.

3-8 (EN) Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		75.0	100.0	87.5		*	*		77.8	100.0	88.2	57.7	17
6	2014		82.6	61.8	69.1	*	51.9	63.4		53.6	86.7	70.7	63.1	58
	2015	*	81.3	78.6	79.3		77.8	77.3		100.0	71.4	80.6	59.8	31
	2013		*	100.0	93.8		100.0	100.0		*	90.9	93.8	67.3	16
7	2014		72.4	76.9	76.0		53.8	62.9		77.8	71.4	74.5	60.5	55
	2015		77.8	84.6	83.7	*	89.5	86.7		90.0	76.0	82.2	58.4	45
	2013		100.0	87.5	95.7			*		88.9	100.0	96.2	82.7	26
8	2014												81.5	0
	2015		91.3	88.0	89.1		75.0	84.8		88.9	90.5	89.6	78.0	48
	2013		89.7	96.6	92.7		100.0	90.0		87.0	97.2	93.2	68.6	59
6-8	2014		76.9	68.3	72.4	*	52.5	63.2		65.5	79.3	72.6	68.2	113
	2015	*	84.2	84.6	84.7	*	82.5	83.5		91.2	79.1	84.7	65.5	124

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 or 3)

					NUMBE	R TESTE	d in grai	DES 6-8				
2013		29	29	55		12	20		23	36	59	29,692
2014		52	60	105	1	40	76		55	58	113	30,015
2015	1	57	65	118	1	40	85		57	67	124	30,042

3-8 (EN) Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		2	0	2		*	*		2	0	2	4,523	17
6	2014		4	13	17	*	13	15		13	4	17	3,679	58
	2015	*	3	3	6		2	5		0	6	6	4,035	31
	2013		*	0	1		0	0		*	1	1	3,215	16
7	2014		8	6	12		6	13		6	8	14	4,075	55
	2015		4	4	7	*	2	4		2	6	8	4,092	45
	2013		0	1	1			*		1	0	1	1,586	26
8	2014												1,797	0
	2015		2	3	5		3	5		3	2	5	2,236	48
	2013		3	1	4		0	2		3	1	4	9,324	59
6-8	2014		12	19	29	*	19	28		19	12	31	9,551	113
	2015	*	9	10	18	*	7	14		5	14	19	10,363	124

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

					NUMBE	R TESTE	d in grai	DES 6-8				
2013		29	29	55		12	20		23	36	59	29,692
2014		52	60	105	1	40	76		55	58	113	30,015
2015	1	57	65	118	1	40	85		57	67	124	30,042

3-8 (EN) Read (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		12.5	0.0	6.3		*	*		0.0	12.5	5.9	11.3	17
6	2014		4.3	0.0	1.8	*	0.0	0.0		3.6	0.0	1.7	7.1	58
	2015	*	6.3	7.1	6.9		11.1	4.5		10.0	4.8	6.5	9.8	31
	2013		*	33.3	25.0		37.5	30.0		*	27.3	25.0	8.7	16
7	2014		6.9	3.8	6.0		0.0	2.9		7.4	3.6	5.5	10.0	55
	2015		11.1	0.0	7.0	*	0.0	0.0		0.0	12.0	6.7	9.3	45
	2013		11.8	37.5	26.1			*		33.3	17.6	23.1	15.5	26
8	2014												13.1	0
	2015		4.3	16.0	10.9		0.0	0.0		11.1	9.5	10.4	14.0	48
	2013		10.3	24.1	20.0		25.0	15.0		17.4	19.4	18.6	11.7	59
6-8	2014		5.8	1.7	3.8	*	0.0	1.3		5.5	1.7	3.5	10.1	113
	2015	*	7.0	7.7	8.5	*	2.5	1.2		7.0	9.0	8.1	11.0	124

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

					NUMBI	ER TESTE	ED IN GRA	DE 6-8				
2013		29	29	55		12	20		23	36	59	29,692
2014		52	60	105	1	40	76		55	58	113	30,015
2015	1	57	65	118	1	40	85		57	67	124	30,042

3-8 (EN) Read (4)

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2013	74.7	67.1	62.1
6	2014	58.6	56.6	61.9
	2015	66.1	71.6	62.4
	2013	73.1	75.9	77.6
7	2014	65.1	59.5	58.0
	2015	60.9	63.8	66.9
	2013	78.8	75.3	71.3
8	2014			
	2015	74.2	61.6	66.7
	2013	76.1	73.1	70.4
6-8	2014	61.8	58.0	60.0
	2015	67.3	64.9	65.7

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

3-8 (EN) Writing (1)

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 or 3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		*	100.0	100.0	57.1	16
7	2014		89.7	65.4	78.0		53.8	71.4		74.1	82.1	78.2	54.6	55
	2015		61.1	65.4	62.8	*	57.9	50.0		60.0	68.0	64.4	57.4	45

				NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 7				
2013	4	12	16		8	10		5	11	16	9,785
2014	29	26	50		13	35		27	28	55	10,339
2015	18	26	43	1	19	30		20	25	45	9,866

3-8 (EN) Writing (2)

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		*	0	0		0	0		*	0	0	4,198	16
7	2014		3	9	11		6	10		7	5	12	4,690	55
	2015		7	9	16	*	8	15		8	8	16	4,203	45

				NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 7				
2013	4	12	16		8	10		5	11	16	9,785
2014	29	26	50		13	35		27	28	55	10,339
2015	18	26	43	1	19	30		20	25	45	9,866

3-8 (EN) Writing (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		*	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		*	0.0	0.0	1.5	16
7	2014		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	55
	2015		5.6	0.0	2.3	*	0.0	0.0		0.0	4.0	2.2	4.8	45

				NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 7				
2013	4	12	16		8	10		5	11	16	9,785
2014	29	26	50		13	35		27	28	55	10,339
2015	18	26	43	1	19	30		20	25	45	9,866

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition ¹ GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2013	4.1	5.2	74.6	72.1
7	2014	4.1	5.0	70.8	59.4
	2015	4.0	4.0	62.4	62.1

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

¹Average score points (range 0-8). A STAAR composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=betw een Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=betw een Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=betw een Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): MATHEMATICS

3-8 (EN) Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		50.0	100.0	75.0		*	100.0		77.8	75.0	76.5	60.6	17
6	2014		69.6	75.8	72.2	*	73.1	65.0		60.7	86.2	73.7	64.3	57
	2015	*	56.3	64.3	58.6		77.8	50.0		80.0	47.6	58.1	62.6	31
	2013		*	83.3	81.3		75.0	80.0		*	81.8	81.3	59.9	16
7	2014		55.2	80.8	66.0		61.5	57.1		70.4	64.3	67.3	55.1	55
	2015		46.7	46.2	42.3		30.0	38.1		46.2	46.7	46.4	46.2	28
	2013		90.9	*	83.3					*	90.9	84.6	74.0	13
8	2014												73.2	0
	2015		68.8	76.9	75.6	*	66.7	66.7		76.2	72.7	74.4	66.9	43
	2013		73.9	87.0	79.5		83.3	78.9		75.0	83.3	80.4	63.7	46
6-8	2014		61.5	78.0	69.2	*	69.2	61.3		65.5	75.4	70.5	63.3	112
	2015	*	57.4	66.0	61.5	*	58.8	53.4		68.2	56.9	61.8	59.8	102

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 or 3)

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 6-8				
2013		23	23	44		12	75		16	30	46	26,676
2014		52	59	104	1	39	75		55	57	112	27,304
2015	1	47	53	96	1	34	73		44	58	102	26,894

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): MATHEMATICS

3-8 (EN) Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		4	0	4		*	0		2	2	4	4,133	17
6	2014		7	8	15	*	7	14		11	4	15	3,478	57
	2015	*	7	5	12		2	11		2	11	13	3,682	31
	2013		*	2	3		2	2		*	2	3	3,851	16
7	2014		13	5	17		5	15		8	10	18	4,536	55
	2015		8	7	15		7	13		7	8	15	3,835	28
	2013		1	*	2					*	1	2	1,709	13
8	2014												2,001	0
	2015		5	6	10	*	5	10		5	6	11	3,282	43
	2013		6	3	9		2	4		4	5	9	9,693	46
6-8	2014		20	13	32	*	12	29		19	14	33	10,015	112
	2015	*	20	18	37	*	14	34		14	25	39	10,799	102

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

					NUMBE	R TESTE	d in grai	DES 6-8				
2013		23	23	44		12	75		16	30	46	26,676
2014		52	59	104	1	39	75		55	57	112	27,304
2015	1	47	53	96	1	34	73		44	58	102	26,894

3-8 (EN) Math (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		0.0	11.1	6.3		*	*		11.1	0.0	5.9	8.5	17
6	2014		4.3	3.0	3.7	*	0.0	0.0		0.0	6.9	3.5	9.6	57
	2015	*	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	31
	2013		*	8.3	6.3		12.5	10.0		*	9.1	6.3	4.8	16
7	2014		3.4	7.7	6.0		0.0	0.0		7.4	3.6	5.5	6.7	55
	2015		0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	28
	2013		0.0	*	0.0			*		*	0.0	0.0	0.9	13
8	2014												1.0	0
	2015		0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	43
	2013		0.0	8.7	4.5		8.3	5.3		6.3	3.3	4.3	5.3	46
6-8	2014		3.8	5.1	4.8	*	0.0	0.0		3.6	5.3	4.5	6.2	112
	2015	*	0.0	0.0	0.0	*	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	102

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

					NUMBI	ER TESTE	ED IN GRA	DE 6-8				
2013		23	23	44		12	19		16	30	46	26,676
2014		52	59	104	1	39	75		55	57	112	27,304
2015	1	47	53	96	1	34	73		44	58	102	26,894

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Numerical Representations and Relationships	2. Computations and Algebraic Relationships	3. Geometry and Measurement	4. Data Analysis and Personal Financial Literacy
	2013				
6	2014				
	2015	47.0	45.8	39.1	46.5
	2013				
7	2014				
	2015	41.7	40.9	30.6	27.8
	2013				
8	2014				
	2015	52.1	51.4	46.2	47.3
	2013				
6-8	2014				
	2015	47.7	46.8	39.7	41.7

OLIVER W. HOLMES HUMANITIES/COMMUNICATIONS ACADEMY (8

December 15, 2015 Dallas ISD Evaluation & Assessment (Office of Institutional Research) rpt_STAAR38_E_math_4_obj 2015-16 Campus Data Packet

State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness End-Of-Course: MATHEMATICS

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2013												95.4
-	BRA I	2014												97.2
(GRA	DE 8)	2015		90.0	100.0	95.2		*	100.0		91.7	100.0	95.2	97.3
		2013												2,474
Tests Taken	2014												2,117	
		2015		10	11	21		5	11		12	9	21	2,355

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 or 3)

Т	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2013												115
	BRAI	2014												60
(GRA	DE 8)	2015		1	0	1		*	0		1	0	1	64
		2013												2,474
	Tests Taken													2,117
		2015		10	11	21		5	11		12	9	21	2,355

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Te	est	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District
		2013												24.2
ALGE		2014												28.8
(GRADE 8)		2015		10.0	54.5	33.3		*	27.3		50.0	11.1	33.3	43.3
		2013												2,474
Tests Taken	2014												2,117	
	Taken	2015		10	11	21		5	11		12	9	21	2,355

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

_	EBRA I ADE 8)	1. Functional Relationships	2. Properties and Attributes of Functions	3. Linear Functions	4. Linear Equations and Inequalities	5. Quadratic and Other Nonlinear Functions
	2013					
	2014					
	2015	74.4	72.2	63.8	64.3	60.8

3-8 (EN) Science (1)

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 or 3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		82.4	87.5	82.6			*		77.8	88.2	84.6	65.8	26
8	2014												61.9	
	2015		50.0	64.7	61.0	*	56.3	42.1		57.6	60.7	59.0	64.3	61

				NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2013	17	8	23			5		9	17	26	8,998
2014											9,466
2015	26	34	59	1	16	38		33	28	61	11,875

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		3	1	4			*		2	2	4	3074	26
8	2014												3605	
	2015		13	12	23	*	7	22		14	11	25	4238	61

				NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2013	17	8	23			5		9	17	26	8,998
2014											9,466
2015	26	34	59	1	16	38		33	28	61	11,875

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		0.0	50.0	17.4			*		44.4	5.9	19.2	7.1	26
8	2014												11.3	
	2015		3.8	2.9	3.4	*	0.0	0.0		6.1	0.0	3.3	11.6	61

				NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2013	17	8	23			5		9	17	26	8,998
2014											9,466
2015	26	34	59	1	16	38		33	28	61	11,875

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	4. Organisms and Environments
	2013	73.6	62.8	70.6	68.1
8	2014				
	2015	62.9	59.0	59.6	63.0

OLIVER W. HOLMES HUMANITIES/COMMUNICATIONS ACADEMY (8

December 15, 2015 Dallas ISD Evaluation & Assessment (Office of Institutional Research) rpt_STAAR38_E_sc_4_obj 2015-16 Campus Data Packet

PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 or 3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		64.7	75.0	73.9			*		77.8	64.7	69.2	59.3	26
8	2014												53.5	0
	2015		50.0	40.0	46.7		16.7	30.3		50.0	38.1	44.7	53.7	47

				NUME	BER TEST	ed in gr	ADE 8				
2013	17	8	23			5		9	17	26	8,991
2014											9,464
2015	22	25	45		12	33		26	21	47	9,815

NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		6	2	6			*		2	6	8	3656	26
8	2014												4402	0
	2015		11	15	24		10	23		13	13	26	4546	47

				NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE8				
2013	17	8	23			5		9	17	26	8,991
2014											9,464
2015	22	25	45		12	33		26	21	47	9,815

3-8 SS (3)

PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2013		11.8	25.0	21.7			*		33.3	11.8	19.2	8.8	26
8	2014												7.3	0
	2015		0.0	4.0	2.2		0.0	0.0		3.8	0.0	2.1	5.9	47

				NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 8				
2013	17	8	23			5		9	17	26	8,991
2014											9,464
2015	22	25	45		12	33		26	21	47	9,815

AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. History	2. Geography and Culture	3. Government and Otizenship	4. Economics, Science, Technology, and Society
	2013	63.7	72.8	55.8	59.6
8	2014				
	2015	46.4	45.0	53.0	37.2

OLIVER W. HOLMES HUMANITIES/COMMUNICATIONS ACADEMY (8

December 15, 2015 Dallas ISD Evaluation & Assessment (Office of Institutional Research) rpt_STAAR38_E_ss_4_obj 2015-16 Campus Data Packet

PERCENTAGE DEMONSTRATING IMPROVEMENT

	Level 1	in 2014	Level 2	in 2014	Level 3	in 2014	Levels 1-	3 in 2014
Grade	NumberPercentageTestedImprovedBoth Yearsin 2015		Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2015	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2015	Number Tested Both Years	Percentage Improved in 2015
7	0		1	*	0		1	*
ALL	0		1	*	0		1	*

OLIVER W. HOLMES HUMANITIES/COMMUNICATIONS ACADEMY (8

December 15, 2015 Dallas ISD Evaluation & Assessment (Office of Institutional Research) rpt_W MLS 2015-16 Campus Data Packet

Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

PERFORMANCE IN 2015

PROGRESSION FROM 2014 TO 2015

Grade 2014-15	Domain:	List	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	iting	Rea	ding	Com	posite	Number Rated Both Years			2014	Level	
(N Rated)	2015 Level	N	%	N	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	N (%) Progressed	2015 Level	Beg	Int	Adv	Adv High
	Beginning	1	11.1	1	11.1	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0		Beginning		(D	
6	Intermediate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1	9	Intermediate	0		1	
(9)	Advanced	7	77.8	8	88.9	8	88.9	7	77.8	6	66.7	2 (22.2%)	Advanced	0	0	(6
	Advanced High	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	22.2	2	22.2		Advanced High	0	0	2	2
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.3	1	5.9	0	0.0		Beginning		(C	
7	Intermediate	13	68.4	13	68.4	13	68.4	2	11.8	2	11.8	17	Intermediate	0		2	
(19)	Advanced	5	26.3	5	26.3	4	21.1	9	52.9	15	88.2	2 (11.8%)	Advanced	0	2	1	3
	Advanced High	1	5.3	1	5.3	1	5.3	5	29.4	0	0.0		Advanced High	0	0	(0
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	8.3	0	0.0		Beginning		(C	
8	Intermediate	7	58.3	7	58.3	9	75.0	3	25.0	3	25.0	10	Intermediate	0		2	
(12)	Advanced	4	33.3	4	33.3	2	16.7	6	50.0	7	58.3	3 (30.0%)	Advanced	0	1	ŧ	5
	Advanced High	1	8.3	1	8.3	1	8.3	2	16.7	2	16.7		Advanced High	0	0	2	2
	Beginning	1	2.5	1	2.5	2	5.0	2	5.3	0	0.0		Beginning		(C	
ALL	Intermediate	20	50.0	20	50.0	22	55.0	5	13.2	6	15.8	36	Intermediate	0		5	
(40)	Advanced	16	40.0	17	42.5	14	35.0	22	57.9	28	73.7	7 (19.4%)	Advanced	0	3	2	24
	Advanced High	3	7.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	9	23.7	4	10.5		Advanced High	0	0	2	4

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2014 to 2015.

SEMESTER 1 TESTS

SEMESTER 2 TESTS

			% Pa	assing	District %	6 Passing			Ave	erages		% Pa	assing	District %	% Passing						
Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Number Tested	 % Items Correct 	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course				
LGEBRA I PRE-AP										ALGEBRA I PRE-AP											
26	45.5	64.4	81.8	83.7	30.8	92.3	61.9	95.7													
MATHEMA	TICS 6								MATHEMA	ATICS 6											
8	34.9	69.5	77.5	78.4	50.0	100.0	53.3	86.0	14	44.9	70.0	74.2	74.7	64.3	71.4	48.0	80.5				
MATHEMA	EMATICS 6 PRE-AP									MATHEMATICS 6 PRE-AP											
18	39.6	68.8	79.3	80.5	55.6	100.0	58.5	96.9	17	52.2	65.9	76.3	77.5	47.1	88.2	63.9	94.7				
MATHEMATICS 7									MATHEMATICS 7												
29	34.6	68.9	80.6	82.0	41.4	96.6	52.4	86.3	27	41.9	68.9	78.7	79.8	44.4	96.3	41.9	80.5				
	TICS 7 PR	E-AP							MATHEMATICS 7 PRE-AP												
27	41.0	63.1	82.1	84.2	22.2	100.0	60.7	96.6	16	39.5	62.2	82.6	84.8	6.3	100.0	61.0	95.6				
	TICS 8								MATHEMATICS 8												
28	40.0	70.0	79.4	80.4	57.1	92.9	49.2	86.0	27	42.9	68.3	80.0	81.3	44.4	96.3	46.6	85.6				
IS BAND:		G AND N	IUSIC EL	EMENTS					MS BAND	LISTENIN	G AND N	IUSIC EL	EMENTS								
15	56.7	71.1	91.5	93.8	60.0	100.0	63.6	98.2	16	58.2	72.2	87.7	89.4	68.8	93.8	82.6	98.1				
NS HEALT		TION (SE	M 2						MS HEALTH EDUCATION (SEM 2												
									15	65.8	78.2	76.7	76.5	86.7	86.7	73.5	88.7				
PHYSICAL	EDUCATI	ON 6							PHYSICAL EDUCATION 6												
									12	86.1	86.1	88.2	88.4	100.0	100.0	87.2	99.5				
PHYSICAL EDUCATION 7 & 8 (SEM 2								PHYSICAL	EDUCATI	ON 7 & 8	(SEM 2										
									35	85.2	85.2	91.1	91.7	100.0	100.0	92.2	99.3				
	ANGUAG	EARTS	6						READING	LANGUAG	E ARTS	6									
9	58.5	72.3	85.6	87.0	55.6	100.0	53.9	88.1	16	59.4	74.1	81.6	82.5	81.3	100.0	52.7	86.8				

OLIVER W. HOLMES HUMANITIES/COMMUNICATIONS ACADEMY (80

December 15, 2015 Dallas ISD Evaluation & Assessment (Office of Institutional Research) rpt_ACP 2015-16 Campus Data Packet

SEMESTER 1 TESTS

SEMESTER 2 TESTS

				% Passing District % Passing			6 Passing			Averages				% Pa	issing	District % Passin				
	umber ested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	Numl Test		% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	
READ	READING LANGUAGE ARTS 6 PRE-AP									READING LANGUAGE ARTS 6 PRE-AP										
	17	53.5	65.1	83.4	85.4	23.5	88.2	74.8	96.9	14		55.5	66.6	82.1	83.8	42.9	100.0	71.5	96.8	
READ	READING LANGUAGE ARTS 7									READING LANGUAGE ARTS 7										
	12	35.7	65.5	72.2	72.9	33.3	75.0	59.0	87.6	6		63.0	74.8	74.0	73.9	83.3	66.7	60.7	85.8	
READ	READING LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP								READING LANGUAGE ARTS 7 PRE-AP											
	47	53.0	65.6	79.0	80.4	31.9	97.9	77.0	97.8	34		56.3	65.5	81.4	83.1	29.4	100.0	75.4	97.3	
READ	READING LANGUAGE ARTS 8								READING LANGUAGE ARTS 8											
	15	46.9	71.5	78.1	78.9	60.0	93.3	64.3	87.0	13		72.4	74.9	72.2	71.8	76.9	84.6	73.2	86.2	
READ		ANGUAG	EARTS	8 PRE-A	2					READING LANGUAGE ARTS 8 PRE-AP										
	40	59.1	67.7	83.0	84.7	47.5	100.0	78.0	97.5	35		71.8	71.8	81.5	82.6	65.7	100.0	83.8	97.4	
SCIE	NCE 6									SCIENCE 6										
	12	53.1	71.8	81.5	82.6	58.3	100.0	61.8	90.8	17		50.0	68.1	80.4	81.7	52.9	100.0	56.4	86.7	
SCIE	NCE 6	PRE-AP								SCIENCE 6 PRE-AP										
	14	55.2	66.4	88.1	90.5	42.9	100.0	76.6	97.5	14		58.6	68.9	86.7	88.7	50.0	100.0	83.1	97.5	
SCIE	NCE 7									SCIENC	E 7									
	24	60.7	73.8	80.5	81.2	62.5	87.5	52.1	87.1	29		77.4	86.2	83.6	83.3	96.6	100.0	71.6	86.3	
SCIE	NCE 7	PRE-AP								SCIENCE 7 PRE-AP										
	33	61.4	72.5	82.2	83.3	63.6	100.0	79.2	96.9	14		74.0	81.9	85.2	85.6	100.0	100.0	82.2	97.7	
SCIE	SCIENCE 8 & SCIENCE 8 PRE-AP								SCIENCE 8 & SCIENCE 8 PRE-AP											
	51	63.8	75.8	82.9	83.7	76.5	96.1	65.4	89.5	48		66.0	76.3	84.2	85.1	87.5	97.9	65.9	90.1	
SOCI	AL ST	UDIES 6								SOCIAL	STU	DIES 6								
	26	55.1	70.1	79.7	80.8	50.0	100.0	51.1	89.8	31		55.0	70.0	81.0	82.2	51.6	100.0	57.5	88.7	

OLIVER W. HOLMES HUMANITIES/COMMUNICATIONS ACADEMY (80

December 15, 2015 Dallas ISD Evaluation & Assessment (Office of Institutional Research) rpt_ACP 2015-16 Campus Data Packet

SEMESTER 1 TESTS

SEMESTER 2 TESTS

			Ave	erages		% Passing		District % Passing					Ave	rages		% Pa	ssing	District %	b Passing	
	umber ested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		umber ested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course	
TECH	TECHNOLOGY APPLICATIONS											GY APPLI	CATION	S						
	24	51.6	69.8	80.4	81.6	45.8	100.0	61.7	93.1											
TECH	TECHNOLOGY APPLICATIONS (SEM 2									TECH	INOLO	GY APPLI	CATION	S (SEM 2	2					
											21	58.8	74.7	79.2	79.7	71.4	100.0	65.3	91.8	
TEXA	EXAS STUDIES 7									TEXAS STUDIES 7										
	37	54.5	69.6	82.7	84.2	51.4	91.9	52.7	87.1		28	50.8	72.2	82.8	84.0	64.3	96.4	64.1	86.9	
TEXA	AS STU	IDIES 7 PF	RE-AP							TEXAS STUDIES 7 PRE-AP										
	19	73.1	74.0	80.1	80.8	63.2	100.0	76.5	97.8		15	60.1	68.5	79.2	80.4	40.0	80.0	83.0	97.7	
UNIT	JNITED STATES STUDIES 8									UNITE	ED ST/	ATES STU	DIES 8							
	20	38.0	66.8	81.7	83.4	25.0	95.0	49.9	88.8		22	44.0	66.4	73.7	74.5	36.4	68.2	50.4	88.9	
UNIT	JNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP									UNITED STATES STUDIES 8 PRE-AP										
	30	54.6	67.6	84.7	86.6	43.3	100.0	75.6	98.5		18	63.5	68.7	86.2	88.1	44.4	100.0	69.2	97.6	