

School Number 217 WILLIAM B. TRAVIS VANGUARD FOR TALENTED AND GIFTED



#### 2016-17 Data Packet: Standard Issue

#### **Table of Contents**

2. Contents ..... Table of Contents

#### **STUDENTS AND STAFF**

6. Summary ...... Summary of Student and Teacher Statistics

- 8. Enroll (2) ..... Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group
- 10. Teachers ..... Teacher Statistics

#### STAAR (English)

Reading (3-8) .... STAAR 3-8 Reading in English
Math (3-8) ...... STAAR 3-8 Mathematics in English

#### ENGLISH PROFICIENCY

27. TELPAS ..... Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

#### LOCAL ASSESSMENT

28. ACP ..... Assessments of Course Performance

3. Notes ..... Notes and Data Descriptions

7. Enroll (1) ..... Enrollment Statistics by Ethnicity

9. Attendance ...... Student Attendance Statistics

15. Writing (3-8) ..... STAAR 3-8 Writing in English

23. Science (3-8) ..... STAAR 3-8 Science in English

#### 2015-16 SCHOOL SUMMARY

- Student percentages are computed as the percentage of total student enrollment.
- Additional statistics are available in ENROLLMENT and TEACHER reports.
- Race/ethnic categories are based on the newest federal standards for ethnicity and race reporting, though some categories have been combined because of small group sizes. Students reporting their ethnicity as "Hispanic" are not counted in any race category.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program, come from a family with an annual income at or below the official poverty line, or are eligible for national or state programs that provide need-based financial assistance.
- Teacher demographics and assignments are from the district's Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission to the TEA.

#### **ENROLLMENT**

Notes

- Statistics are based on the school's enrollment at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period.
- "Economically disadvantaged" students are those who qualify for free or reduced-price lunch through the federal government's program, come from a family with an annual income at or below the official poverty line, or are eligible for national or state programs that provide need-based financial assistance.
- "New" students were new to the district in 2015-16. They are counted as new if not enrolled in a district campus before the last day of the 2014-15 school year.
- The "retention rate" is the percentage of students in the same grade at the end of the fifth six-weeks grading period for both 2014-15 and 2015-16.

#### **ATTENDANCE**

- Statistics are based on student attendance through the end of the sixth six-weeks grading period (the end of the school year).
- "Average daily membership" is the total number of school days students were SCHEDULED or ENROLLED divided by the number of school days in the year.
- The "average daily attendance" number (N) is the total number of school days students were IN ATTENDANCE divided by the number of school days in the year. The "average daily attendance" percentage (%) is the average attendance rate. For each student, the number of days attended is divided by the number of days enrolled. This rate is then averaged across students.
- The number (N) of "yearly transactions" is the total number of transfer and withdrawal transactions in the school year. The percentage (%) is the number of transactions divided by "average daily membership," which gives (on average) the percentage of the membership associated with a transaction.
- "Continuously enrolled" students are enrolled and in attendance for a minimum number of instructional days in at least one course at the school between the beginning of the school year and the first day of the main STAAR testing period. The minimum number varies depending on the school year's calendar, but is typically around 130 days.
- The "stability rate" is the number of continuously enrolled students divided by "average daily membership."

#### **TEACHERS**

Notes

- Teacher demographics and assignments are from the district's Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission to the TEA.
- Teacher absences counted towards the average DO NOT include vacation days (coded as VAC in personnel database).
- Retention rate for a school year is computed with numerator "# of teachers assigned to the school both that year and the year prior" and denominator "# of teachers assigned to the school for the prior year." That is, the retention rate is the percentage of the prior year's teachers who continued at the school.

# STAAR, STAAR L, and STAAR A

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- Student group assignments are from the district's Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) submission to the TEA.
- STAAR statistics are based on results from standard STAAR only. STAAR L and STAAR A statistics are computed and reported separately.
- "Percentage Satisfactory" is the percentage of students achieving Level 2 (Satisfactory, at the current phase-in standard) or Level 3 (Advanced).
- Statistics by reporting category are averages for all students (across student groups and genders).
- An "average percentage correct" is computed as follows: for each student, divide the number of items correctly answered by the total number of items in the test section, then average the resulting percentages across all students. For short answers on EOC English tests, an "average rating" is presented; STAAR EOC short answers are rated on a scale of 0 to 3. For written compositions on writing tests, "average score points" is presented. Additional details provided in report footnotes.
- Reading statistics for SSI grades 5 and 8 are based on scores cumulative through the second administration.

# <u>TELPAS</u>

- Students are counted as having tested if any one of the four domains is rated. Because a TELPAS composite rating is not assigned to a student unless all four domains are rated, the number of TELPAS composite ratings may be smaller than the number tested.
- If a student's composite rating did not increase at least one level from 2015 to 2016, the TEA did not report the 2015 composite rating.
- If a student is rated as advanced high in 2016, the TEA does not differentiate between the advanced and advanced high levels from 2015.

# Assessments of Course Performance (ACP)

- Cells marked with an asterisk (\*) indicate five or fewer students were tested. Blank cells indicate no students were tested.
- ACPs are semester exams administered in grades K-12. Not all courses have ACPs. In MIDDLE and HIGH school, ACP scores account for a portion of students' semester grades (10% and 15%). "Average course mark (no ACP)" is computed from semester grades before factoring in ACP scores. In ELEMENTARY school, ACP results account for 5% of students' semester grades for core courses; course-grade statistics are not reported here for elementary grades.
- Raw scores on the ACPs (percentage of items correct) are scaled each year to maintain similar annual passing rates for the district.
- A scale score of at least 70% is required to pass an ACP. For grade levels with numeric grading, a course mark of at least 70% is required to pass a course.
- Statistics are computed separately for Semester 1 and Semester 2 tests; time of administration during the school year is not relevant. Results from tests written for different school years are not combined.

# STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Grade	Enrollment
4	66
5	64
ALL	130

# STUDENT AND TEACHER RACE/ETHNICITY

Ethnicity/Race	Stud	dents	Teac	hers
Etimicity/Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Black/African American	6	4.6	2	18.2
American Indian/Alaska Native	0	0.0	*	*
Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	18	13.8	*	*
Hispanic	13	10.0	1	9.1
White	89	68.5	6	54.5
Multiple	4	3.1	2	18.2
Other* (teachers only)			0	0.0
Not reported (students only)	0	0.0		

\*For teachers, "Other" category includes American Indican/Alaska Native and Asian/Hawaiian/Pacific Islander.

#### SELECT STUDENT GROUP ENROLLMENT

Group	Number	Percent
At-Risk	7	5.4
Economically disadvantaged	15	11.5
Limited English proficient (LEP)	6	4.6
Special education	0	0.0
Talented and Gifted (TAG)	130	100.0

			African A	American	American Indian		Asian		Hispanic		White		Multiple category	
Grade	Year	Enrollment	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
	2014	66	2	3.0	0	0.0	2	3.0	13	19.7	49	74.2	0	0.0
4	2015	65	3	4.6	1	1.5	7	10.8	7	10.8	46	70.8	1	1.5
	2016	66	3	4.5	0	0.0	11	16.7	6	9.1	44	66.7	2	3.0
	2014	64	9	14.1	0	0.0	3	4.7	16	25.0	34	53.1	2	3.1
5	2015	67	2	3.0	0	0.0	2	3.0	13	19.4	50	74.6	0	0.0
	2016	64	3	4.7	0	0.0	7	10.9	7	10.9	45	70.3	2	3.1
	2014	130	11	8.5	0	0.0	5	3.8	29	22.3	83	63.8	2	1.5
4-6	2015	132	5	3.8	1	0.8	9	6.8	20	15.2	96	72.7	1	0.8
	2016	130	6	4.6	0	0.0	18	13.8	13	10.0	89	68.5	4	3.1

WILLIAM B. TRAVIS VANGUARD FOR TALENTED AND GIFTED (217)

August 9, 2016 Dallas ISD Evaluation & Assessment (Office of Institutional Research) rpt\_ENROLL\_ETH 2016-17 Data Packet

# **Enrollment Statistics by Select Student Group**

# Enroll (2)

			Economically Disadvantaged		LE	LEP		Special Education		At Rlsk		TAG		District)	Gender		Retention
Grade	Year	Enrollment	Ν	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	N	%	Ν	%	% Male	% Female	Rate (%)
	2014	66	10	15.2	4	6.1	0	0.0	6	9.1	66	100.0	9	13.6	43.9	56.1	0.0
4	2015	65	10	15.4	4	6.2	0	0.0	6	9.2	65	100.0	10	15.4	58.5	41.5	0.0
	2016	66	7	10.6	2	3.0	0	0.0	2	3.0	66	100.0	9	13.6	47.0	53.0	0.0
	2014	64	18	28.1	3	4.7	3	4.7	4	6.3	64	100.0	1	1.6	35.9	64.1	0.0
5	2015	67	10	14.9	4	6.0	0	0.0	5	7.5	67	100.0	0	0.0	43.3	56.7	0.0
	2016	64	8	12.5	4	6.3	0	0.0	5	7.8	64	100.0	1	1.6	57.8	42.2	0.0
	2014	130	28	21.5	7	5.4	3	2.3	10	7.7	130	100.0	10	7.7	40.0	60.0	0.0
4-6	2015	132	20	15.2	8	6.1	0	0.0	11	8.3	132	100.0	10	7.6	50.8	49.2	0.0
	2016	130	15	11.5	6	4.6	0	0.0	7	5.4	130	100.0	10	7.7	52.3	47.7	0.0

# WILLIAM B. TRAVIS VANGUARD FOR TALENTED AND GIFTED (217)

August 9, 2016 Dallas ISD Evaluation & Assessment (Office of Institutional Research) rpt\_ENROLL\_OTH 2016-17 Data Packet

#### Attendance

			ige Daily bership	Average Daily Attendance			Ye	Yearly Transactions			nuously rolled	Stability Rate		
Grade	Year	Ν	District	N	%	District N	District N District %		%	District %	Ν	District	%	District
	2014	67	12,546	66	98.4	12,183	97.1	0	0.0	15.0	67	11,321	100.0	90.2
4	2015	65	12,745	64	98.0	12,343	96.9	0	0.0	16.2	65	11,520	99.7	90.4
	2016	66	12,688	65	97.8	12,300	96.9	1	1.5	15.8	66	11,676	99.6	92.0
	2014	65	12,130	64	98.4	11,794	97.2	0	0.0	4.0	64	10,985	98.8	90.6
5	2015	67	12,122	65	97.7	11,747	96.9	0	0.0	6.6	67	11,052	100.0	91.2
	2016	65	12,178	63	97.4	11,815	97.0	0	0.0	6.5	64	11,217	99.1	92.1
	2014	132	35,500	130	98.4	34,406	96.9	0	0.0	12.0	131	31,904	99.4	89.9
4-6	2015	132	35,763	129	97.8	34,584	96.7	0	0.0	14.0	132	32,219	99.8	90.1
	2016	131	35,388	128	97.6	34,287	96.9	1	0.8	13.0	130	32,492	99.3	91.8

### Teachers

# Teachers: 11

#### DISTRIBUTIONS

Ethnicity/Race	Number	Percentage			
African American	2	18.2			
Hispanic	1	9.1			
White	6	54.5			
Multiple	2	18.2			
Other	0	0.0			

Gender	Number	Percentage
Female	5	45.5
Male	6	54.5

# **ATTENDANCE / RETENTION**

	Average Absences	Retention Rate
2013-14	7.2	100.0
2014-15	3.4	90.9
2015-16	9.1	72.7

NOTE: Absence statistics for 2008-09 and 2009-10 include all teachers at both middle and elementary schools.

# YEARS EXPERIENCE

Years	Number	Percentage			
Beginning (0)	0	0.0			
1	0	0.0			
2	0	0.0			
3	0	0.0			
4	0	0.0			
5	1	9.1			
1-3	0	0.0			
More than 3	11	100.0			
1 - 5	1	9.1			
6 - 10	3	27.3			
11 - 20	2	18.2			
More than 20	5	45.5			

# 3-8 (EN) Read (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	59.4	67
4	2015	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	57.9	65
	2016	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	*	*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	65.0	66
	2014	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	*	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	78.5	63
5	2015	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	77.5	67
	2016	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	72.8	64
	2014	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.7	130
3-5	2015	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	65.7	132
	2016	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	65.9	130

# **PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 or 3)**

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADES 3-5												
2014	83	11	29	26	1	7	10	1	52	78	130	21,304		
2015	96	5	20	21		8	10		66	66	132	21,526		
2016	89	6	13	16	2	6	8		68	62	130	21,651		

# 3-8 (EN) Read (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	0	*	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	2,858	67
4	2015	0	*	0	0		*	*		0	0	0	2,928	65
	2016	0	*	0	0	*	*	*		0	0	0	2,439	66
	2014	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	0	0	0	1,582	63
5	2015	0	*	0	0		*	*		0	0	0	1,692	67
	2016	0	*	0	0		*	*		0	0	0	2,037	64
	2014	0	0	0	0	*	0	0	*	0	0	0	7,089	130
3-5	2015	0	*	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	7,391	132
	2016	0	0	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	7,377	130

# NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

					NUMBE	R TESTE	d in grai	DES 3-5				
2014	83	11	29	26	1	7	10	1	52	78	130	21,304
2015	96	5	20	21		8	10		66	66	132	21,526
2016	89	6	13	16	2	6	8		68	62	130	21,651

# 3-8 (EN) Read (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	90.0	*	69.2	71.4		*	66.7		83.3	89.2	86.6	12.0	67
4	2015	89.1	*	57.1	80.0		*	*		86.5	82.1	84.6	12.8	65
	2016	84.1	*	66.7	71.4	*	*	*		74.2	91.4	83.3	14.1	66
	2014	87.9	55.6	56.3	42.1	*	*	*	*	63.6	82.9	76.2	11.5	63
5	2015	100.0	*	84.6	81.8		*	*		96.6	97.4	97.0	14.9	67
	2016	84.4	*	85.7	55.6		*	*		78.4	96.3	85.9	17.3	64
	2014	89.2	63.6	62.1	50.0	*	57.1	50.0	*	75.0	85.9	81.5	11.1	130
3-5	2015	94.8	*	75.0	81.0		75.0	80.0		90.9	90.9	90.9	13.8	132
	2016	84.3	50.0	76.9	62.5	*	66.7	75.0		76.5	93.5	84.6	15.7	130

#### PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

					NUMBI	ER TESTE	ED IN GRA	DE 3-5				
2014	83	11	29	26	1	7	10	1	52	78	130	21,304
2015	96	5	20	21		8	10		66	66	132	21,526
2016	89	6	13	16	2	6	8		68	62	130	21,651

# 3-8 (EN) Read (4)

# AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		RE	PORTING CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Understanding Across Genres (GR 3-5) 1. Understanding/Analysis Across Genres (GR 6-8)	2. Understanding/Analysis of Literary Texts	3. Understanding/Analysis of Informational Texts
	2014	92.1	91.3	90.0
4	2015	92.3	91.5	90.0
	2016	95.8	85.7	92.0
	2014	93.2	89.7	89.7
5	2015	94.9	94.3	92.1
	2016	93.0	90.7	94.7
	2014	92.6	90.5	89.9
3-5	2015	93.6	92.9	91.1
	2016	94.4	88.2	93.3

3-8 (EN) Writing (1)

# **PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 or 3)**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	61.9	67
4	2015	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	59.4	65
	2016	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	*	*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	59.4	66

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 4													
2014	50	2	13	7		4	6		30	37	67	7,022			
2015	46	3	7	10		4	5		37	28	65	6,988			
2016	44	3	6	7	2	2	3		31	35	66	6,961			

# 3-8 (EN) Writing (2)

# NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	0	*	0	0		*	0		0	0	0	2,675	67
4	2015	0	*	0	0		*	*		0	0	0	2,837	65
	2016	0	*	0	0	*	*	*		0	0	0	2,829	66

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 4													
2014	50	2	13	7		4	6		30	37	67	7,022			
2015	46	3	7	10		4	5		37	28	65	6,988			
2016	44	3	6	7	2	2	3		31	35	66	6,961			

3-8 (EN) Writing (3)

# PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	76.0	*	30.8	42.9		*	33.3		53.3	75.7	65.7	3.7	67
4	2015	63.0	*	42.9	50.0		*	*		62.2	67.9	64.6	4.2	65
	2016	75.0	*	33.3	57.1	*	*	*		67.7	80.0	74.2	3.7	66

		NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 4													
2014	50	2	13	7		4	6		30	37	67	7,022			
2015	46	3	7	10		4	5		37	28	65	6,988			
2016	44	3	6	7	2	2	3		31	35	66	7,022			

			REPORTING CATEGO	RY	
Grade	Year	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Personal Narrative GR 7: Expository	1. Composition <sup>1</sup> GR 4: Expository GR 7: Personal Narrative	2. Revision	3. Editing
	2014	6.5	6.0	92.0	88.4
4	2015	7.0	5.9	84.1	90.5
	2016	_	5.6	92.2	91.4

#### AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

<sup>1</sup>Average score points (range 0-8). A composition is rated twice on a scale of 1 to 4, and the ratings are summed to determine score points for the composition. Score point scale: 0=Nonscorable; 2=Very Limited; 3=betw een Very Limited and Basic; 4=Basic; 5=betw een Basic and Satisfactory; 6=Satisfactory; 7=betw een Satisfactory and Accomplished; 8=Accomplished

NOTE: Beginning in 2016, only one composition was required.

# State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): MATHEMATICS

# 3-8 (EN) Math (1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	59.0	67
4	2015	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	61.9	65
	2016	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	*	*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	65.3	66
	2014	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	*	*	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	81.4	63
5	2015	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	68.5	67
	2016	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	81.3	64
	2014	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.3	130
3-5	2015	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	65.1	132
	2016	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	71.0	130

**PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 or 3)** 

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2014	83	11	29	26	1	7	10	1	52	78	130	35,222
2015	96	5	20	21		8	10		66	66	132	35,738
2016	89	6	13	16	2	6	8		68	62	130	35,762

# State of Texas Assessment of Academic Readiness 3-8 (English): MATHEMATICS

# 3-8 (EN) Math (2)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	0	*	0	0		*	*		0	0	0	4,803	67
4	2015	0	*	0	0		*	*		0	0	0	4,556	65
	2016	0	*	0	0	*	*	*		0	0	0	4,100	66
	2014	0	0	0	0	*	*	*	*	0	0	0	2,088	63
5	2015	0	*	0	0		*	*		0	0	0	3,559	67
	2016	0	*	0	0		*	*		0	0	0	2,135	64
	2014	0	0	0	0	*	0	0	*	0	0	0	11,879	130
3-5	2015	0	*	0	0		0	0		0	0	0	12,462	132
	2016	0	0	0	0	*	0	0		0	0	0	10,388	130

# NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

					NUMBE	R TESTE	D IN GRA	DES 3-5				
2014	83	11	29	26	1	7	10	1	52	78	130	35,222
2015	96	5	20	21		8	10		66	66	132	35,738
2016	89	6	13	16	2	6	8		68	62	130	35,762

# 3-8 (EN) Math (3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	76.0	*	69.2	57.1		*	66.7		76.7	70.3	73.1	14.0	67
4	2015	84.8	*	42.9	70.0		*	*		81.1	71.4	76.9	10.4	65
	2016	79.5	*	66.7	57.1	*	*	*		77.4	80.0	78.8	15.1	66
	2014	90.9	55.6	56.3	52.6	*	*	*	*	77.3	78.0	77.8	15.7	63
5	2015	66.0	*	69.2	54.5		*	*		75.9	57.9	65.7	12.3	67
	2016	88.9	*	28.6	55.6		*	*		73.0	85.2	78.1	14.3	64
	2014	81.9	63.6	62.1	53.8	*	57.1	60.0	*	76.9	74.4	75.4	13.3	130
3-5	2015	75.0	*	60.0	61.9		25.0	40.0		78.8	63.6	71.2	10.6	132
	2016	84.3	16.7	46.2	56.3	*	16.7	25.0		75.0	82.3	78.5	14.1	130

#### PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

					NUMBI	ER TESTE	ED IN GRA	DE 3-5				
2014	83	11	29	26	1	7	10	1	52	78	130	35,222
2015	96	5	20	21		8	10		66	66	132	35,738
2016	89	6	13	16	2	6	8		68	62	130	35,762

# AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

			REPORTING	CATEGORY	
Grade	Year	1. Numerical Representations and Relationships	2. Computations and Algebraic Relationships	3. Geometry and Measurement	4. Data Analysis and Personal Financial Literacy
	2014				
4	2015	92.7	88.0	83.8	85.8
	2016	93.7	88.5	84.1	86.1
	2014				
5	2015	83.8	86.7	90.4	90.8
	2016	92.6	89.1	89.2	88.5
	2014				
3-5	2015	88.2	87.3	87.2	88.4
	2016	93.1	88.8	86.6	87.3

3-8 (EN) Science (1)

# **PERCENTAGE SATISFACTORY (Levels 2 or 3)**

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	100.0	88.9	93.8	89.5	*	*	*	*	95.7	97.6	96.9	61.3	64
5	2015	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	59.1	67
	2016	100.0	*	100.0	100.0		*	*		100.0	100.0	100.0	67.8	64

					NUME	BER TEST	ed in gr	ADE 5				
2014	34	9	16	19	1	3	4	1	23	41	64	9,073
2015	50	2	13	11		4	5		29	38	67	9,009
2016	45	3	7	9		4	5		37	27	64	9,281

3-8 (EN) Science (2)

# NUMBER UNSATISFACTORY (Level 1)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	0	1	1	2	*	*	*	*	1	1	2	3511	64
5	2015	0	*	0	0		*	*		0	0	0	3685	67
	2016	0	*	0	0		*	*		0	0	0	2988	64

					NUME	BER TEST	ED IN GR	ADE 5				
2014	34	9	16	19	1	3	4	1	23	41	64	9,073
2015	50	2	13	11		4	5		29	38	67	9,009
2016	45	3	7	9		4	5		37	27	64	9,281

3-8 (EN) Science (3)

# PERCENTAGE ADVANCED (Level 3)

Grade	Year	White	African Amer	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	Special Educ	LEP	At Risk	Migrant	Male	Female	All	District	Number Tested (All Students)
	2014	44.1	11.1	31.3	21.1	*	*	*	*	47.8	26.8	34.4	5.3	64
5	2015	48.0	*	46.2	18.2		*	*		58.6	36.8	46.3	6.6	67
	2016	53.3	*	28.6	44.4		*	*		56.8	48.1	53.1	6.9	64

	NUMBER TESTED IN GRADE 5											
2014	34	9	16	19	1	3	4	1	23	41	64	9,073
2015	50	2	13	11		4	5		29	38	67	9,009
2016	45	3	7	9		4	5		37	27	64	9,281

# AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ITEMS CORRECT BY REPORTING CATEGORY

		REPORTING CATEGORY									
Grade	Year	1. Matter and Energy	2. Force, Motion, and Energy	3. Earth and Space	4. Organisms and Environments						
	2014	80.5	85.9	78.5	86.6						
5	2015	93.1	87.8	84.8	91.2						
	2016	82.8	88.6	86.5	92.2						

# Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System

# **PERFORMANCE IN 2016**

#### PROGRESSION FROM 2015 TO 2016

Grade 2015-16	Domain:	Liste	ening	Spea	aking	Wri	ting	Rea	ding	Composite		
(N Rated)	2016 Level	Ν	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
	Beginning	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
ALL	Intermediate	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
(6)	Advanced	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
	Advanced High	6	100.0	6	100.0	6	100.0	6	100.0	6	100.0	

Number Rated Both Years			2015	Level			
N (%) Progressed	2016 Level	Beg	Int	Adv High			
	Beginning	0					
6	Intermediate	0	0				
6 (100.0%)	Advanced	0	0 0				
	Advanced High	0	0	0 6			

Indicates students who progressed at least one level from 2015 to 2016.

#### **SEMESTER 1 TESTS**

#### **SEMESTER 2 TESTS**

		Averages				% Passing District % Passing					Ave	erages	% Passin		ssing	ng District % F			
	lumber Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course		Number Tested	% Items Correct	Scale Score	Course Mark	Course Mark (no ACP)	ACP	Course	ACP	Course
GRA	DE 4 L	ANGUAGE	E ARTS							GR	RADE 4 L	ANGUAGE	E ARTS						
	67	89.1	92.2	91.1		100.0	100.0	57.9	89.4		66	93.0	95.0	93.6		100.0	100.0	65.0	89.8
GRA	GRADE 4 MATHEMATICS					GR	RADE 4 N		TICS										
	67	85.4	92.3	94.9		97.0	100.0	61.7	88.0										
GRA	GRADE 4 PHYSICAL EDUCATION						GR	RADE 4 P	HYSICAL	EDUCAT	ION								
	67	91.6	91.6	97.3		100.0	100.0	88.6	98.9		65	91.7	91.7	98.8		100.0	100.0	91.4	98.9
GRA	GRADE 4 READING					GR	RADE 4 R	EADING											
	67	91.0	94.0	92.5		100.0	100.0	64.6	88.6										
GRA	GRADE 4 SCIENCE					GR	RADE 4 S	CIENCE											
	67	91.7	93.3	92.1		98.5	100.0	63.4	95.1		66	91.5	93.3	94.1		100.0	100.0	62.7	94.4
GRA	DE 4 S	OCIAL ST	UDIES							GR	RADE 4 S	OCIAL ST	UDIES						
	67	79.9	86.6	92.0		100.0	100.0	40.1	95.6		66	74.2	82.4	92.4		98.5	100.0	47.2	95.4
GRA	DE 5 A	RT								GR	RADE 5 A	RT							
	65	85.8	90.3	99.4		100.0	100.0	79.8	98.5		64	93.2	93.2	98.8		100.0	100.0	85.9	98.9
GRA	DE 5 L	ANGUAGE	E ARTS							GR	RADE 5 L	ANGUAGE	E ARTS						
	65	95.2	95.8	90.1		100.0	100.0	68.1	93.2		64	90.1	93.4	90.4		100.0	98.4	74.2	94.4
GRA	DE 5 M		rics							GR	RADE 5 N		TICS						
	65	78.5	87.8	92.2		98.5	100.0	61.1	88.8		64	93.6	94.9	93.6		100.0	100.0	70.0	92.4
GRA	DE 5 R	EADING							]	GR	RADE 5 R	EADING							
	65	89.6	93.2	89.6		100.0	100.0	70.8	91.8		64	86.7	90.3	90.4		100.0	98.4	65.8	91.8
GRA	DE 5 S	CIENCE								GR	RADE 5 S	CIENCE		1					
	65	90.7	90.7	87.2		96.9	100.0	66.3	92.8										
					1		1	1			L	1	1	1					

# WILLIAM B. TRAVIS VANGUARD FOR TALENTED AND GIFTED (217)

August 9, 2016 Dallas ISD Evaluation & Assessment (Office of Institutional Research) rpt\_ACP 2016-17 Data Packet

# SEMESTER 1 TESTS

#### Averages % Passing **District % Passing** % Items Scale Number Course Course Mark ACP Course ACP Course Correct Tested Score Mark (no ACP)

#### **GRADE 5 SOCIAL STUDIES**

65 77.2 87.6 88	100.0	100.0 53.6	96.0
-----------------	-------	------------	------

#### **GRADE 5 SOCIAL STUDIES**

Number

Tested

% Items

Correct

64     92.6     94.4     87.8     100.0     100.0     53.6	95.2
--	------

Course Mark

(no ACP)

Averages

Course

Mark

Scale

Score

Course

District % Passing

Course

ACP

# SEMESTER 2 TESTS

ACP

% Passing